



# FLORIDA COMMISSION ON OFFENDER REVIEW

4070 Esplanade Way, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2450

David A. Wyant  
*Commissioner/Chair*

S. Michelle Whitworth  
*Commissioner/Vice-Chair*

Richard D. Davison  
*Commissioner*

## LEGISLATIVE BUDGET REQUEST

Florida Commission on Offender Review  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2450

October 15, 2024

Brandi Gunder, Deputy Director of Budget  
Office of Policy and Budget  
Executive Office of the Governor  
1702 Capitol  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0001

Eric Pridgeon, Staff Director  
House Appropriations Committee  
221 Capitol  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1300

Tim Sadberry, Staff Director  
Senate Committee on Appropriations  
201 Capitol  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1300

Dear Directors:

Pursuant to Chapter 216, Florida Statutes, our Legislative Budget Request for the Florida Commission on Offender Review is submitted in the format prescribed in the budget instructions. The information provided electronically and contained herein is a true and accurate presentation of our proposed needs for the 2025-26 Fiscal Year. This submission has been approved by David A. Wyant, Chairman.

Sincerely,

David A. Wyant  
Chairman

**FLORIDA COMMISSION ON OFFENDER REVIEW**  
**PAY ADDITIVES REQUEST**  
**FOR FY 2025-2026**

Section 110.2035(7)(b), Florida Statutes, requires each state agency to include in its annual legislative budget request a proposed written plan for implementing temporary special duties – general pay additives for the next fiscal year. The agency is not requesting any additional rate or appropriations for these additives.

In accordance with previous rule authority in 60L-32.0012, Florida Administrative Code, the Commission has used existing rate and salary appropriations to grant pay additives when warranted based on the duties and responsibilities of the position. The requested additives are justified for reasons such as additional assigned duties and responsibilities not customarily assigned to the position when another position becomes vacant.

Pay additives are a valuable management tool which allows agencies to recognize and compensate employees for identified duties without providing a permanent pay increase.

**Temporary Special Duty**

The agency requests approval to continue to grant a 10% pay additive to Commission Investigators who perform additional duties as an acting Regional Administrator.

1. Justification:

The Division of Operations has 81 Commission Investigator positions, 7 Commission Investigator Supervisor positions and 5 Regional Administrator positions located in the field. A Regional Administrator vacancy creates a region without supervision. A Commission Investigator or Commission Investigator Supervisor will be given additional duties of regional supervision until the position has been filled.

2. Length of time additive will be used:

The additive will not be used more than 90 days at any given occurrence.

3. Classes and number of positions affected:

<u>Class Code</u>	<u>Class Title</u>	<u># of FTE</u>
8127	Commission Investigator	1

4. Area impacted:

This additive will impact employees statewide.

5. Historical data:

This pay additive was last used in October 2015.

6. Estimated cost of this additive:

Based on a base salary for a Commission Investigator, the calculation is as follows: \$40,018.64 x 10% = \$4,001.86 x 1 position = \$4,001.86 x .25 year (90 days) = \$1,000.47. The Commission is not requesting any additional rate or appropriations for this additive.

# Florida Commission on Offender Review

## Department Level Exhibits or Schedules

## Schedule VII: Agency Litigation Inventory

*For directions on completing this schedule, please see the "Legislative Budget Request (LBR) Instructions" located on the Florida Fiscal Portal.*

<b>Agency:</b>	<b>FLORIDA COMMISSION ON OFFENDER REVIEW</b>		
<b>Contact Person:</b>	Rana Wallace, General Counsel	<b>Phone Number:</b>	850-488-4460
<b>Names of the Case: (If no case name, list the names of the plaintiff and defendant.)</b>	RICHARD GOURLEY, Plaintiff,  v.  MELINDA COONROD, et al., Defendants.		
<b>Court with Jurisdiction:</b>	Eleventh Judicial Circuit, Miami-Dade County		
<b>Case Number:</b>	2020-CA-14518		
<b>Summary of the Complaint:</b>	Pro-se Plaintiff Richard Gourley is a state prison inmate who alleges the Commission used the wrong matrix guidelines to determine his presumptive parole release date.		
<b>Amount of the Claim:</b>	\$50,000,000.00 compensatory damages \$50,000,000.00 punitive damages <i>Note: It is unclear whether the requested relief is sought from each defendant individually or all defendants collectively.</i>		
<b>Specific Statutes or Laws (including GAA) Challenged:</b>	23-21.009, F.A.C. 23-21.010, F.A.C.		
<b>Status of the Case:</b>	09/12/2023: Plaintiff voluntarily dismissed his prior petition against the Commissioners. Plaintiff, however, filed the same or similar claims in this case. Although the case was filed in 2020, as of September 21, 2022, Plaintiff has failed to serve any defendant.		
<b>Who is representing (of record) the state in this lawsuit? Check all that apply.</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Agency Counsel	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Office of the Attorney General or Division of Risk Management	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Outside Contract Counsel	

If the lawsuit is a class action (whether the class is certified or not), provide the name of the firm or firms representing the plaintiff(s).	
--	--

*Office of Policy and Budget – June 2024*

## Schedule VII: Agency Litigation Inventory

*For directions on completing this schedule, please see the “Legislative Budget Request (LBR) Instructions” located on the Florida Fiscal Portal.*

<b>Agency:</b>	<b>FLORIDA COMMISSION ON OFFENDER REVIEW</b>		
<b>Contact Person:</b>	Rana Wallace, General Counsel	<b>Phone Number:</b>	(850) 488-4460
<b>Names of the Case: (If no case name, list the names of the plaintiff and defendant.)</b>	ROBERT HOWARD, et al., Plaintiffs, v. MELINDA COONROD, et al., Defendants.		
<b>Court with Jurisdiction:</b>	Eleventh Circuit, on appeal from the USDC MD FL		
<b>Case Number:</b>	23-10858		
<b>Summary of the Complaint:</b>	Plaintiffs, state sentenced prison inmates serving parole eligible life sentences or sentences of 470+ months for offenses committed as juveniles, challenged the constitutionality of Florida’s parole system and process, as applied to them and to others similarly situated. Defendants prevailed on Summary Judgment. Plaintiffs have appealed to the Eleventh Circuit.		
<b>Amount of the Claim:</b>	Declaratory and injunctive relief sought below, plus costs and attorney fees.		
<b>Specific Statutes or Laws (including GAA) Challenged:</b>	chapter 947, Fla. Stat. chapter 23-21, F.A.C.		
<b>Status of the Case:</b>	09/12/2023: Defendants prevailed on Summary Judgment in the District Court. Plaintiffs appealed to the Eleventh Circuit. Oral argument is set for the week of November 18, 2024.		
<b>Who is representing (of record) the state in this lawsuit? Check all that apply.</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Agency Counsel	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Office of the Attorney General or Division of Risk Management	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Outside Contract Counsel	
<b>If the lawsuit is a class action (whether the class is certified or not), provide the name of the firm or firms representing the plaintiff(s).</b>	Class was certified. Holland & Knight LLP, Miami, Florida Juvenile Law Center, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania		

## Schedule VII: Agency Litigation Inventory

*For directions on completing this schedule, please see the “Legislative Budget Request (LBR) Instructions” located on the Florida Fiscal Portal.*

<b>Agency:</b>	<b>FLORIDA COMMISSION ON OFFENDER REVIEW</b>		
<b>Contact Person:</b>	Rana Wallace, General Counsel	<b>Phone Number:</b>	(850) 488-4460
<b>Names of the Case: (If no case name, list the names of the plaintiff and defendant.)</b>	TEENA FOY, <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff,</p> v. FLORIDA COMMISSION ON OFFENDER REVIEW, et al., <p style="text-align: center;">Defendants.</p>		
<b>Court with Jurisdiction:</b>	USDC, ND FL		
<b>Case Number:</b>	4:24cv140-MW/MAF		
<b>Summary of the Complaint:</b>	Mother and victim of offender under conditional release supervision challenges the Commission’s placement of a no victim contact order on offender, claiming the imposition of the condition on the offender violates various constitutional rights of hers, including freedom of religion, freedom of association, etc.		
<b>Amount of the Claim:</b>	No set amount, but “damages for deprivation of her rights, either actual, enhanced, or nominal in nature” and “costs and reasonable attorneys’ fees[.]”		
<b>Specific Statutes or Laws (including GAA) Challenged:</b>	First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, Article I, Section 16 of the Florida Constitution.		
<b>Status of the Case:</b>	Temporary Injunction hearings held, temporary injunction order issued.		
<b>Who is representing (of record) the state in this lawsuit? Check all that apply.</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Agency Counsel	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Office of the Attorney General or Division of Risk Management	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Outside Contract Counsel	


If the lawsuit is a class action (whether the class is certified or not), provide the name of the firm or firms representing the plaintiff(s).	N/A
--	-----

*Office of Policy and Budget – June 2024*




# Florida Commission on Offender Review

Office of Commissioner Chair  
Org Code: 7801000000




# Florida Commission on Offender Review

Office of General Counsel  
 Org Code: 78010100000




# Florida Commission on Offender Review

Office of External Affairs  
Org Code: 7801020000000




# Florida Commission on Offender Review

Commissioner's Office  
Org Code: 78020100000




# Florida Commission on Offender Review

Commissioner's Office  
Org Code: 78020200000




# Florida Commission on Offender Review

Office of Clemency Investigations  
Org Code: 7803000000



# Florida Commission on Offender Review

Office of Executive Clemency  
Org Code: 78030100000




# Florida Commission on Offender Review

Office of Administration

Org Code: 7804000000

7804010000 (Human Resources)


7804020000 (Accounting & Budgeting)






# Florida Commission on Offender Review

Office of Operations  
Org Code: 78060000000  
78060300000 (Imaging)




# Florida Commission on Offender Review

Office of Revocations  
Org Code: 78060100000




# Florida Commission on Offender Review

Office of Victim Services  
Org Code: 78060201000




# Florida Commission on Offender Review

Office of Commission Clerk  
Org Code: 78060400000




# Florida Commission on Offender Review

Region I  
 Org Code: 78060501000  
 78060501010




# Florida Commission on Offender Review

Region II  
 Org Code: 78060502000  
 78060502010



# Florida Commission on Offender Review


Region III  
 Org Code: 78060503000  
 78060503010



Effective: 07/01/2024

# Florida Commission on Offender Review


Region IV  
 Org Code: 78060504000  
 78060504020  
 78060504030





# Florida Commission on Offender Review

Region V  
 Org Code: 78060505000  
 78060505010





## Schedule XIV Variance from Long Range Financial Outlook

Agency: Florida Commission on Offender Review

Contact: Karen Carter

Article III, section 19(a)3 of the Florida Constitution, requires each agency Legislative Budget Request to be based upon and reflect the long range financial outlook adopted by the Joint Legislative Budget Commission or to explain any variance from the outlook.

- 1) Does the long range financial outlook adopted by the Joint Legislative Budget Commission in September 2024 contain revenue or expenditure estimates related to your agency?

Yes  No

- 2) If yes, please list the estimates for revenues and budget drivers that reflect an estimate for your agency for Fiscal Year 2025-2026 and list the amount projected in the long range financial outlook and the amounts projected in your Schedule I or budget request.

	Issue (Revenue or Budget Driver)	R/B*	FY 2025-2026 Estimate/Request Amount	
			Long Range Financial Outlook	Legislative Budget Request
a				
b				
c				
d				
e				
f				

- 3) If your agency's Legislative Budget Request does not conform to the long range financial outlook with respect to the revenue estimates (from your Schedule I) or budget drivers, please explain the variance(s) below.

\* R/B = Revenue or Budget Driver

**SCHEDULE VI: DETAIL OF DEBT SERVICE**

**Department:** Florida Commission on Offender Re  
**Budget Entity:** 78010000

**Budget Period 2025-26**

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
<b>SECTION I</b>	<b>ACTUAL</b>	<b>ESTIMATED</b>	<b>REQUEST</b>
	<b>FY 2023-24</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>FY 2025-26</b>
Interest on Debt	(A) N/A	N/A	N/A
Principal	(B) N/A	N/A	N/A
Repayment of Loans	(C) N/A	N/A	N/A
Fiscal Agent or Other Fees	(D) N/A	N/A	N/A
Other Debt Service	(E) N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Debt Service	(F) N/A	N/A	N/A

Explanation: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

**SECTION II**

**ISSUE:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
<b>INTEREST RATE</b>	<b>MATURITY DATE</b>	<b>ISSUE AMOUNT</b>	<b>JUNE 30, 20__</b>	<b>JUNE 30, 20__</b>
(6)		(7)	(8)	(9)
		<b>ACTUAL</b>	<b>ESTIMATED</b>	<b>REQUEST</b>
		<b>FY 2023-24</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>FY 2025-26</b>
Interest on Debt		(G) N/A	N/A	N/A
Principal		(H) N/A	N/A	N/A
Fiscal Agent or Other Fees		(I) N/A	N/A	N/A
Other		(J) N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Debt Service		(K) N/A	N/A	N/A

**ISSUE:** \_\_\_\_\_

<b>INTEREST RATE</b>	<b>MATURITY DATE</b>	<b>ISSUE AMOUNT</b>	<b>JUNE 30, 20__</b>	<b>JUNE 30, 20__</b>
		<b>ACTUAL</b>	<b>ESTIMATED</b>	<b>REQUEST</b>
		<b>FY 2023-24</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>FY 2025-26</b>
Interest on Debt		(G) N/A	N/A	N/A
Principal		(H) N/A	N/A	N/A
Fiscal Agent or Other Fees		(I) N/A	N/A	N/A
Other		(J) N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Debt Service		(K) N/A	N/A	N/A

**SCHEDULE IX: MAJOR AUDIT FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

**Budget Period: 2025-26**

**Department:** Florida Commission on Offender Review

**Chief Internal Auditor:** Ryan Schenck

**Budget Entity:** 78010000

**Phone Number:** (850) 488-3415

(1) REPORT NUMBER	(2) PERIOD ENDING	(3) UNIT/AREA	(4) SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	(5) SUMMARY OF CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN	(6) ISSUE CODE
N/A					

# Fiscal Year 2025-26 LBR Technical Review Checklist

Department/Budget Entity (Service): Florida Commission on Offender Review  
 Agency Budget Officer/OPB Analyst Name: Karen Carter/Daniel Bisi

*A "Y" indicates "YES" and is acceptable, an "N/J" indicates "NO/Justification Provided" - these require further explanation/justification*

Action	Program or Service (Budget Entity Codes)				
78	01	00	00	000	

## 1. GENERAL

1.1 Are Columns A01, A04, A05, A91, A92, A93, A36, A10, IA1, IA4, IA5, IP1, IV1, IV3 and NV1 set to TRANSFER CONTROL for DISPLAY status and MANAGEMENT CONTROL for UPDATE status for both the Budget and Trust Fund columns (no trust fund files for narrative columns)? Is Column A02 set to TRANSFER CONTROL for DISPLAY status and MANAGEMENT CONTROL for UPDATE status for the Trust Fund Files (the Budget Files should already be on TRANSFER CONTROL for DISPLAY and MANAGEMENT CONTROL for UPDATE)? Are Columns A06, A07, A08 and A09 for Fixed Capital Outlay (FCO) set to TRANSFER CONTROL for DISPLAY status only (UPDATE status remains on OWNER)? <b>(CSDI or Web LBR Column Security)</b>	Yes				
1.2 Is Column A03 set to TRANSFER CONTROL for DISPLAY and UPDATE status for both the Budget and Trust Fund columns? <b>(CSDI)</b>	Yes				

### AUDITS:

1.3 Have Column A03 budget files been copied to Column A12? Run the Exhibit B Audit Comparison Report to verify. <b>(EXBR, EXBA)</b>	Yes				
1.4 Have Column A03 trust fund files been copied to Column A12? Run Schedule I <b>(SC1R, SC1 or SC1R, SC1D adding column A12)</b> to verify.	N/A				
1.5 Has Column A12 security been set correctly to ALL for DISPLAY status and MANAGEMENT CONTROL for UPDATE status for Budget and Trust Fund files? <b>(CSDR, CSA)</b>	Yes				
<b>TIP</b> The agency should prepare the budget request for submission in this order: 1) Copy Column A03 to Column A12, and 2) Lock columns as described above. A security control feature included in the LAS/PBS Web upload process requires columns to be in the proper status before uploading to the portal.					

## 2. EXHIBIT A (EADR, EXA)

2.1 Is the budget entity authority and description consistent with the agency's LRPP and does it conform to the directives provided on page 56 of the LBR	Yes				
2.2 Are the statewide issues generated systematically (estimated expenditures, nonrecurring expenditures, etc.) included?	Yes				
2.3 Are the issue codes and titles consistent with <i>Section 3</i> of the LBR Instructions (pages 14 through 27)? Do they clearly describe the issue?	Yes				

## Fiscal Year 2025-26 LBR Technical Review Checklist

Department/Budget Entity (Service): Florida Commission on Offender Review  
 Agency Budget Officer/OPB Analyst Name: Karen Carter/Daniel Bisi

*A "Y" indicates "YES" and is acceptable, an "N/J" indicates "NO/Justification Provided" - these require further explanation/justification*

Action	Program or Service (Budget Entity Codes)				
	78	01	00	00	000

### 3. EXHIBIT B (EXBR, EXB)

3.1 Is it apparent that there is a fund shift where an appropriation category's funding source is different between A02 and A03? Were the issues entered into LAS/PBS correctly? Check D-3A funding shift issue 340XXX0 - a unique deduct and unique add back issue should be used to ensure fund shifts display correctly on the LBR exhibits.	N/A				
<b>AUDITS:</b>					
3.2 Negative Appropriation Category Audit for Agency Request (Columns A03 and A04): Are all appropriation categories positive by budget entity and program component at the FSI level? Are all nonrecurring amounts less than requested amounts? <b>(NACR, NAC - Report should print "No Negative Appropriation")</b>	Yes				
3.3 Current Year Estimated Verification Comparison Report: Is Column A02 equal to Column B07? <b>(EXBR, EXBC - Report should print "Records Selected Net To Zero")</b>	Yes				
<b>TIP</b> Generally look for and be able to fully explain significant differences between A02 and A03.					
<b>TIP</b> Exhibit B - A02 equal to B07: Compares Current Year Estimated column to a backup of A02. This audit is necessary to ensure that the historical detail records have not been adjusted. Records selected should net to zero.					
<b>TIP</b> Requests for appropriations which require advance payment authority must use the sub-title "Grants and Aids". For advance payment authority to local units of government, the Aid to Local Government appropriation category (05XXXX) should be used. For advance payment authority to non-profit organizations or other units of state government, a Special Categories appropriation category (10XXXX) should be used.					

### 4. EXHIBIT D (EADR, EXD)

4.1 Is the program component objective statement consistent with the agency LRPP, and does it conform to the directives provided on page 59 of the LBR	Yes				
4.2 Is the program component code and title used correct?	Yes				
<b>TIP</b> Fund shifts or transfers of services or activities between program components will be displayed on an Exhibit D whereas it may not be visible on an Exhibit A.					

## Fiscal Year 2025-26 LBR Technical Review Checklist

Department/Budget Entity (Service): Florida Commission on Offender Review					
Agency Budget Officer/OPB Analyst Name: Karen Carter/Daniel Bisi					
<i>A "Y" indicates "YES" and is acceptable, an "N/J" indicates "NO/Justification Provided" - these require further explanation/justification</i>					
Action	Program or Service (Budget Entity Codes)				
	78	01	00	00	000

### 5. EXHIBIT D-1 (ED1R, EXD1)

5.1	Are all object of expenditures positive amounts? (This is a manual check.)	Yes			
<b>AUDITS:</b>					
5.2	Do the fund totals agree with the object category totals within each appropriation category? <b>(ED1R, XD1A - Report should print "No Differences Found For This Report")</b>	Yes			
5.3	FLAIR Expenditure/Appropriation Ledger Comparison Report: Is Column A01 less than Column B04? <b>(EXBR, EXBB - Negative differences [with a \$5,000 allowance] need to be corrected in Column A01.)</b>	Yes			
5.4	A01/State Accounts Disbursements and Carry Forward Comparison Report: Does Column A01 equal Column B08? <b>(EXBR, EXBD - Differences [with a \$5,000 allowance at the department level] need to be corrected in Column A01.)</b>	Yes			
TIP	If objects are negative amounts, the agency must make adjustments to Column A01 to correct the object amounts. In addition, the fund totals must be adjusted to reflect the adjustment made to the object data.				
TIP	If fund totals and object totals do not agree or negative object amounts exist, the agency must adjust Column A01.				
TIP	Exhibit B - A01 less than B04: This audit is to ensure that the disbursements and carry/certifications forward in A01 are less than FY 2023-24 approved budget. Amounts should be positive. The \$5,000 allowance is necessary for				
TIP	If B08 is not equal to A01, check the following: 1) the initial FLAIR disbursements or carry forward data load was corrected appropriately in A01; 2) the disbursement data from departmental FLAIR was reconciled to State Accounts; and 3) the FLAIR disbursements did not change after Column B08 was created. Note that there is a \$5,000 allowance at the department level.				

### 6. EXHIBIT D-3 (ED3R, ED3) (Not required in the LBR - for analytical purposes only.)

6.1	Are issues appropriately aligned with appropriation categories?	Yes			
TIP	Exhibit D-3 is not required in the budget submission but may be needed for this particular appropriation category/issue sort. Exhibit D-3 is also a useful report when identifying negative appropriation category problems.				

### 7. EXHIBIT D-3A (EADR, ED3A) (Required to be posted to the Florida Fiscal Portal)

7.1	Are the issue titles correct and do they clearly identify the issue? (See pages 14 through 27 of the LBR Instructions.)	Yes			
-----	---	-----	--	--	--



## Fiscal Year 2025-26 LBR Technical Review Checklist

Department/Budget Entity (Service): Florida Commission on Offender Review  
 Agency Budget Officer/OPB Analyst Name: Karen Carter/Daniel Bisi

*A "Y" indicates "YES" and is acceptable, an "N/J" indicates "NO/Justification Provided" - these require further explanation/justification*

Action	Program or Service (Budget Entity Codes)				
	78	01	00	00	000

7.2	Does the issue narrative adequately explain the agency's request and is the explanation consistent with the LRPP? (See pages 64 through 69 of the LBR Instructions.)	Yes				
7.3	Does the narrative for Information Technology (IT) issue follow the additional narrative requirements described on pages 66 through 69 of the LBR	Yes				
7.4	Are all issues with an IT component identified with a "Y" in the "IT COMPONENT?" field? If the issue contains an IT component, has that component been identified and documented?	Yes				
7.5	Does the issue narrative explain any variances from the Standard Expense and Human Resource Services Assessments package? Is the nonrecurring portion in the nonrecurring column? (See pages E.4 through E.7 of the LBR Instructions.)	N/A				
7.6	Does the salary rate request amount accurately reflect any new requests and are the amounts proportionate to the Salaries and Benefits request? Note: Salary rate should always be annualized.	Yes				
7.7	Does the issue narrative thoroughly explain/justify all Salaries and Benefits amounts entered into the Other Salary Amounts transactions (OADA/C)? Amounts entered into OAD are reflected in the Position Detail of Salaries and Benefits section of the Exhibit D-3A. (See pages 93 through 94 of the LBR	N/A				
7.8	Does the issue narrative include the Consensus Estimating Conference forecast, where appropriate?	N/A				
7.9	Does the issue narrative reference the specific county(ies) where applicable?	Yes				
7.10	Do the 160XXX0 issues reflect budget amendments that have been approved (or in the process of being approved) and that have a recurring impact (including Lump Sums)? Have the approved budget amendments been entered in Column A18 as instructed in Memo #24-040?	N/A				
7.11	When appropriate are there any 160XXX0 issues included to delete positions placed in reserve in the LAS/PBS Position and Rate Ledger (e.g. unfunded grants)? Note: Lump sum appropriations not yet allocated should <u>not</u> be	N/A				
7.12	Does the issue narrative include plans to satisfy additional space requirements when requesting additional positions?	N/A				
7.13	Has the agency included a 160XXX0 issue and 210XXXX and 260XXX0 issues as required for lump sum distributions?	N/A				
7.14	Do the amounts reflect appropriate FSI assignments?	Yes				
7.15	Are the 33XXXX0 issues negative amounts only and do not restore nonrecurring cuts from a prior year or fund any issues that net to a positive or zero amount? Check D-3A issues 33XXXX0 - a unique issue should be used for issues that net to zero or a positive amount.	Yes				

## Fiscal Year 2025-26 LBR Technical Review Checklist

Department/Budget Entity (Service): Florida Commission on Offender Review  
 Agency Budget Officer/OPB Analyst Name: Karen Carter/Daniel Bisi

A "Y" indicates "YES" and is acceptable, an "N/J" indicates "NO/Justification Provided" - these require further explanation/justification

Action	Program or Service (Budget Entity Codes)				
	78	01	00	00	000

7.16	Do the issue codes relating to special <i>Salaries and Benefits</i> issues (e.g., position reclassification, pay grade adjustment, overtime/on-call pay, etc.) have an "A" in the fifth position of the issue code (XXXXAXX) and are they self-contained (not combined with other issues)? (See pages 26 and 27 of the LBR	Yes				
7.17	Do the issues relating to <i>Information Technology (IT)</i> have a "C" in the sixth position of the issue code (36XXXCX) and are the correct issue codes used (361XXC0, 362XXC0, 363XXC0, 24010C0, 30010C0, 33011C0, 160E470, or 160E480)?	Yes				
7.18	Are the issues relating to <i>Major Audit Findings and Recommendations</i> properly coded (4A0XXX0, 4B0XXX0)?	N/A				
7.19	Does the issue narrative identify the strategy or strategies in the Five Year Statewide Strategic Plan for Economic Development?	Yes				
<b>AUDIT:</b>						
7.20	Does the General Revenue for 160XXXX (Adjustments to Current Year Expenditures) issues net to zero? ( <b>GENR, LBR1</b> )	N/A				
7.21	Does the General Revenue for 180XXXX (Intra-Agency Reorganizations) issues net to zero? ( <b>GENR, LBR2</b> )	N/A				
7.22	Does the General Revenue for 200XXXX (Estimated Expenditures Realignment) issues net to zero? ( <b>GENR, LBR3</b> )	N/A				
7.23	Have FCO appropriations been entered into the nonrecurring column (A04)? ( <b>GENR, LBR4 - Report should print "No Records Selected For Reporting" or a listing of D-3A issue(s) assigned to Debt Service (IOE N) or in some cases State Capital Outlay - Public Education Capital Outlay (IOE L)</b> )	N/A				
7.24	Has narrative been entered for all issues requested by the agency? Agencies do not need to include narrative for startup issues (1001000, 2103XXX, etc.) that were not input by the agency. ( <b>NAAR, BSNR</b> )	Yes				
7.25	Has the agency entered annualization issues (260XXX0) for any issue that was partially funded in Fiscal Year 2024-25? Review Column G66 to determine whether any incremental amounts are needed to fully fund an issue that was initially appropriated in Fiscal Year 2024-25. Do not add annualization issues for pay and benefit distribution issues, as those annualization issues (26AXXXX) have already been added to A03.	N/A				
<b>TIP</b>	Salaries and Benefits amounts entered using the OADA/C transactions must be thoroughly justified in the D-3A issue narrative. Agencies can run <b>OADA/OADR</b> from STAM to identify the amounts entered into OAD and ensure these entries have been thoroughly explained in the D-3A issue narrative.					

## Fiscal Year 2025-26 LBR Technical Review Checklist

Department/Budget Entity (Service): Florida Commission on Offender Review					
Agency Budget Officer/OPB Analyst Name: Karen Carter/Daniel Bisi					

*A "Y" indicates "YES" and is acceptable, an "N/J" indicates "NO/Justification Provided" - these require further explanation/justification*

Action	Program or Service (Budget Entity Codes)				
	78	01	00	00	000

TIP	The issue narrative must completely and thoroughly explain and justify each D-3A issue. Agencies must ensure it provides the information necessary for the OPB and legislative analysts to have a complete understanding of the issue submitted. Thoroughly review pages 64 through 69 of the LBR Instructions.	
TIP	Check BAPS to verify status of budget amendments. Check for reapprovals not picked up in the General Appropriations Act. Verify that Lump Sum appropriations in Column A02 do not appear in Column A03. Review budget amendments to verify that 160XXX0 issue amounts correspond accurately and net to zero for General Revenue funds.	
TIP	If an agency is receiving federal funds from another agency the FSI should = 9 (Transfer - Recipient of Federal Funds). The agency that originally receives the funds directly from the federal agency should use FSI = 3 (Federal Funds).	
TIP	If an appropriation made in the FY 2024-25 General Appropriations Act duplicates an appropriation made in substantive legislation, the agency must create a unique deduct nonrecurring issue to eliminate the duplicated appropriation. Normally this is taken care of through line item veto.	

**8. SCHEDULE I & RELATED DOCUMENTS (SC1R, SC1 - Budget Entity Level or SC1R, SC1D - Department)**

8.1	Has a separate department level Schedule I and supporting documents package been submitted by the agency?	N/A			
8.2	Has a Schedule I and Schedule IB been completed in LAS/PBS for each operating trust fund?	N/A			
8.3	Have the appropriate Schedule I supporting documents been included for the trust funds (Schedule IA, Schedule IC, and Reconciliation to Trial Balance)?	N/A			
8.4	Have the Examination of Regulatory Fees Part I and Part II forms been included for the applicable regulatory programs?	N/A			
8.5	Have the required detailed narratives been provided (5% trust fund reserve narrative; method for computing the distribution of cost for general management and administrative services narrative; adjustments narrative; revenue estimating methodology narrative; fixed capital outlay adjustment narrative)?	N/A			
8.6	Has the Inter-Agency Transfers Reported on Schedule I form been included as applicable for transfers totaling \$100,000 or more for the fiscal year?	N/A			
8.7	If the agency is scheduled for the annual trust fund review this year, have the Schedule ID and applicable draft legislation been included for recreation, modification or termination of existing trust funds?	N/A			
8.8	If the agency is scheduled for the annual trust fund review this year, have the necessary trust funds been requested for creation pursuant to section 215.32(2)(b), Florida Statutes - including the Schedule ID and applicable	N/A			

## Fiscal Year 2025-26 LBR Technical Review Checklist

Department/Budget Entity (Service): Florida Commission on Offender Review  
 Agency Budget Officer/OPB Analyst Name: Karen Carter/Daniel Bisi

*A "Y" indicates "YES" and is acceptable, an "N/J" indicates "NO/Justification Provided" - these require further explanation/justification*

Action	Program or Service (Budget Entity Codes)				
	78	01	00	00	000

8.9	Are the revenue codes correct? In the case of federal revenues, has the agency appropriately identified direct versus indirect receipts (object codes 000700, 000750, 000799, 001510 and 001599)? For non-grant federal revenues, is the correct revenue code identified (codes 000504, 000119, 001270, 001870, 001070)?	N/A				
8.10	Are the statutory authority references correct?	N/A				
8.11	Are the General Revenue Service Charge percentage rates used for each revenue source correct? (Refer to section 215.20, Florida Statutes, for appropriate General Revenue Service Charge percentage rates.)	N/A				
8.12	Is this an accurate representation of revenues based on the most recent Consensus Estimating Conference forecasts?	N/A				
8.13	If there is no Consensus Estimating Conference forecast available, do the revenue estimates appear to be reasonable?	N/A				
8.14	Are the federal funds revenues reported in Section I broken out by individual grant? Are the correct CFDA codes used?	N/A				
8.15	Are anticipated grants included and based on the state fiscal year (rather than federal fiscal year)?	N/A				
8.16	Are the Schedule I revenues consistent with the FSI's reported in the Exhibit D-	N/A				
8.17	If applicable, are nonrecurring revenues entered into Column A04?	N/A				
8.18	Has the agency certified the revenue estimates in columns A02 and A03 to be the latest and most accurate available? Does the certification include a statement that the agency will notify OPB of any significant changes in revenue estimates that occur prior to the Governor's Budget Recommendations being	N/A				
8.19	Is a 5% trust fund reserve reflected in Section II? If not, is sufficient justification provided for exemption? Are the additional narrative requirements	N/A				
8.20	Are appropriate General Revenue Service Charge nonoperating amounts included in Section II?	N/A				
8.21	Are nonoperating expenditures to other budget entities/departments cross-referenced accurately?	N/A				
8.22	Do transfers balance between funds (within the agency as well as between agencies)? (See also 8.6 for required transfer confirmation of amounts totaling \$100,000 or more.)	N/A				
8.23	Are nonoperating expenditures recorded in Section II and adjustments recorded in Section III?	N/A				
8.24	Are prior year September operating reversions appropriately shown in column A01, Section III?	N/A				
8.25	Are current year September operating reversions (if available) appropriately shown in column A02, Section III?	N/A				

## Fiscal Year 2025-26 LBR Technical Review Checklist

Department/Budget Entity (Service): Florida Commission on Offender Review  
 Agency Budget Officer/OPB Analyst Name: Karen Carter/Daniel Bisi

*A "Y" indicates "YES" and is acceptable, an "N/J" indicates "NO/Justification Provided" - these require further explanation/justification*

Action	Program or Service (Budget Entity Codes)				
	78	01	00	00	000

8.26	Does the Schedule IC properly reflect the unreserved fund balance for each trust fund as defined by the LBR Instructions, and is it reconciled to the agency accounting records?	N/A			
8.27	Has the agency analyzed for continuing appropriations (category 13XXXX) and properly accounted for in the appropriate column(s) in Section III?	N/A			
8.28	Does Column A01 of the Schedule I accurately represent the actual prior year accounting data as reflected in the agency accounting records, and is it provided in sufficient detail for analysis?	N/A			
8.29	Does Line I of Column A01 (Schedule I) equal Line K of the Schedule IC?	N/A			

### AUDITS:

8.30	Is Line I a positive number? (If not, the agency must adjust the budget request to eliminate the deficit).	N/A			
8.31	Is the June 30 Adjusted Unreserved Fund Balance (Line I) equal to the July 1 Unreserved Fund Balance (Line A) of the following year? If a Schedule IB was prepared, do the totals agree with the Schedule I, Line I? <b>(SC1R, SC1A - Report should print "No Discrepancies Exist For This Report")</b>	N/A			
8.32	Has a Department Level Reconciliation been provided for each trust fund and does Line A of the Schedule I equal the CFO amount? If not, the agency must correct Line A. <b>(SC1R, DEPT)</b>	N/A			
8.33	Has a Schedule IB been provided for ALL trust funds having an unreserved fund balance in columns A01, A02 and/or A03, and if so, does each column's total agree with line I of the Schedule I?	N/A			
8.34	Have A/R been properly analyzed and any allowances for doubtful accounts been properly recorded on the Schedule IC?	N/A			

TIP	The Schedule I is the most reliable source of data concerning the trust funds. It is very important that this schedule is as accurate as possible!				
TIP	Determine if the agency is scheduled for trust fund review. (See page 124 of the LBR Instructions.) Transaction DFTR in LAS/PBS is also available and provides an LBR review date for each trust fund.				
TIP	Review the unreserved fund balances and compare revenue totals to expenditure totals to determine and understand the trust fund status.				
TIP	Typically nonoperating expenditures and revenues should not be a negative number. Any negative numbers must be fully justified.				

### 9. SCHEDULE II (PSCR, SC2)

#### AUDIT:

## Fiscal Year 2025-26 LBR Technical Review Checklist

Department/Budget Entity (Service): Florida Commission on Offender Review  
 Agency Budget Officer/OPB Analyst Name: Karen Carter/Daniel Bisi

*A "Y" indicates "YES" and is acceptable, an "N/J" indicates "NO/Justification Provided" - these require further explanation/justification*

	Program or Service (Budget Entity Codes)				
Action	78	01	00	00	000

<p>9.1 Is the pay grade minimum for salary rate utilized for positions in segments 2 and 3? (<b>BRAR, BRAA - Report should print "No Records Selected For This Request"</b>) Note: Amounts other than the pay grade minimum should be fully justified in the D-3A issue narrative. (See <i>Base Rate Audit</i> on page 155 of the LBR Instructions.)</p>	Yes				
--	-----	--	--	--	--

## Fiscal Year 2025-26 LBR Technical Review Checklist

Department/Budget Entity (Service): Florida Commission on Offender Review  
 Agency Budget Officer/OPB Analyst Name: Karen Carter/Daniel Bisi

*A "Y" indicates "YES" and is acceptable, an "N/J" indicates "NO/Justification Provided" - these require further explanation/justification*

Action	Program or Service (Budget Entity Codes)				
Action	78	01	00	00	000

<b>10. SCHEDULE III (PSCR, SC3)</b>					
10.1	Is the appropriate lapse amount applied? (See page 90 of the LBR Instructions.)	N/A			
10.2	Are amounts in <i>Other Salary Amount</i> appropriate and fully justified? (See pages 93 and 94 of the LBR Instructions for appropriate use of the OAD transaction.) Use <b>OADI</b> or <b>OADR</b> to identify agency other salary amounts	N/A			
<b>11. SCHEDULE IV (EADR, SC4)</b>					
11.1	Are the correct Information Technology (IT) issue codes used?	Yes			
TIP	If IT issues are not coded (with "C" in 6th position or within a program component of 1603000000), they will not appear in the Schedule IV.				
<b>12. SCHEDULE VIIIA (EADR, SC8A)</b>					
12.1	Is there only one #1 priority, one #2 priority, one #3 priority, etc. reported on the Schedule VIII-A? Are the priority narrative explanations adequate? Note: FCO issues can be included in the priority listing.	Yes			
<b>13. SCHEDULE VIIIB-1 (EADR, S8B1)</b>					
13.1	<b>NOT REQUIRED FOR THIS YEAR</b>				
TIP	If all or a portion of an issue is intended to be reduced on a nonrecurring basis, include the total reduction amount in Column A91 and the nonrecurring portion in Column A92.				
<b>14. SCHEDULE VIIIB-2 (EADR, S8B2) (Required to be posted to the Florida Fiscal Portal)</b>					
14.1	Do the reductions comply with the instructions provided on pages 99 through 102 of the LBR Instructions regarding a 10% reduction in General Revenue and Trust Funds, including the verification that the 33BXXX0 issue has NOT been used? Verify that excluded appropriation categories and funds were not used (e.g. funds with FSI 3 and 9, etc.)	Yes			
TIP	Compare the debt service amount requested (IOE N or other IOE used for debt service) with the debt service need included in the Schedule VI: Detail of Debt Service, to determine whether any debt has been retired and may be reduced.				
TIP	If all or a portion of an issue is intended to be reduced on a nonrecurring basis, in the absence of a nonrecurring column, include that intent in narrative.				
<b>15. SCHEDULE VIIIC (EADR, S8C) (NO LONGER REQUIRED)</b>					
<b>16. SCHEDULE XI (UCSR, SCXI) (LAS/PBS Web - see pages 104-108 of the LBR Instructions for detailed</b>					
16.1	Agencies are required to generate this spreadsheet via the LAS/PBS Web. <b>The Final Excel version no longer has to be submitted to OPB for inclusion on the Governor's Florida Performs Website.</b> (Note: Pursuant to section 216.023(4) (b), Florida Statutes, the Legislature can reduce the funding level for any agency that does not provide this information.)	Yes			
16.2	Do the PDF files uploaded to the Florida Fiscal Portal for the LRPP (if submitting) and LBR match?	N/A			

## Fiscal Year 2025-26 LBR Technical Review Checklist

Department/Budget Entity (Service): Florida Commission on Offender Review					
Agency Budget Officer/OPB Analyst Name: Karen Carter/Daniel Bisi					

A "Y" indicates "YES" and is acceptable, an "N/J" indicates "NO/Justification Provided" - these require further explanation/justification

Action	Program or Service (Budget Entity Codes)				
	78	01	00	00	000

### AUDITS INCLUDED IN THE SCHEDULE XI REPORT:

16.3	Does the FY 2023-24 Actual (prior year) Expenditures in Column A36 reconcile to Column A01? ( <b>GENR, ACT1</b> )	Yes				
16.4	None of the executive direction, administrative support and information technology statewide activities (ACT0010 thru ACT0490) have output standards (Record Type 5)? ( <b>Audit #1 should print "No Activities Found"</b> )	Yes				
16.5	Does the Fixed Capital Outlay (FCO) statewide activity (ACT0210) only contain 08XXXX or 14XXXX appropriation categories? ( <b>Audit #2 should print "No Operating Categories Found"</b> )	N/A				
16.6	Has the agency provided the necessary standard (Record Type 5) for all activities which <u>should</u> appear in Section II? (Note: The activities listed in <b>Audit #3</b> do not have an associated output standard. In addition, the activities were not identified as a Transfer to a State Agency, as Aid to Local Government, or a Payment of Pensions, Benefits and Claims. Activities listed here should represent transfers/pass-throughs that are not represented by those above or administrative costs that are unique to the agency and are not appropriate to be allocated to all other activities.)	Yes				
16.7	Does Section I (Final Budget for Agency) and Section III (Total Budget for Agency) equal? ( <b>Audit #4 should print "No Discrepancies Found"</b> )	No				
TIP	If Section I and Section III have a small difference, it may be due to rounding and therefore will be acceptable.					

### 17. MANUALLY PREPARED EXHIBITS & SCHEDULES (Required to be posted to the Florida Fiscal Portal)

17.1	Do exhibits and schedules comply with LBR Instructions (pages 52 through 152 of the LBR Instructions), and are they accurate and complete?	Yes				
17.2	Does manual exhibits tie to LAS/PBS where applicable?	Yes				
17.3	Are agency organization charts (Schedule X) provided and at the appropriate level of detail?	Yes				
17.4	Does the LBR include a separate Schedule IV-B for each IT project over \$1 million (see page 128 and 129 of the LBR instructions for exceptions to this rule)? Have all IV-Bs been emailed to: <b>IT@LASPBS.STATE.FL.US</b> ?	N/A				
17.5	Are all forms relating to Fixed Capital Outlay (FCO) funding requests submitted in the proper form, including a Truth in Bonding statement (if applicable) ?	N/A				

### AUDITS - GENERAL INFORMATION

TIP	Review <i>Section 6: Audits</i> of the LBR Instructions (pages 154 through 156) for a list of audits and their descriptions.					
TIP	Reorganizations may cause audit errors. Agencies must indicate that these errors are due to an agency reorganization to justify the audit error.					



## Fiscal Year 2025-26 LBR Technical Review Checklist

Department/Budget Entity (Service): Florida Commission on Offender Review  
 Agency Budget Officer/OPB Analyst Name: Karen Carter/Daniel Bisi

*A "Y" indicates "YES" and is acceptable, an "N/J" indicates "NO/Justification Provided" - these require further explanation/justification*

	Program or Service (Budget Entity Codes)				
Action	78	01	00	00	000

<b>18. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM (CIP) (Required to be posted to the Florida Fiscal Portal)</b>					
18.1	Are the CIP-2, CIP-3, CIP-A and CIP-B forms included?	Yes			
18.2	Are the CIP-4 and CIP-5 forms submitted when applicable (see CIP	Yes			
18.3	Do all CIP forms comply with CIP Instructions where applicable (see CIP Instructions)?	Yes			
18.4	Does the agency request include 5 year projections (Columns A03, A06, A07, A08 and A09)?	N/A			
18.5	Are the appropriate counties identified in the narrative?	N/A			
18.6	Has the CIP-2 form (Exhibit B) been modified to include the agency priority for each project and the modified form saved as a PDF document?	N/A			
TIP	Requests for Fixed Capital Outlay appropriations which are Grants and Aids to Local Governments and Non-Profit Organizations must use the Grants and Aids to Local Governments and Non-Profit Organizations - Fixed Capital Outlay major appropriation category (140XXX) and include the sub-title "Grants and Aids". These appropriations utilize a CIP-B form as justification.				
<b>19. FLORIDA FISCAL PORTAL</b>					
19.1	Have all files been assembled correctly and posted to the Florida Fiscal Portal as outlined in the Florida Fiscal Portal Submittal Process?	Yes			