| MOTORIST MODERNIZATION - | HIGHWAY SAFETY AND MOTOR | FY2023-FY2024 |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| PHASE II | VEHICLES | JULY 2024 |

| Project Sponsor | Dave Kerner | Project Manager: | Joe Weldon |
|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|------------|
| Status Report Period | : July 1 – 31, 2024 | Current Project R&C Category: | 4 |
| Project Start Date | 11/17/2017 | Project End Date: | 06/22/2026 |

- PROJECT STATUS OVERVIEW

| Overview | of project progress during reporting period: Required for R&C Category | 1 |
|-----------|---|------|
| Project A | - Motor Vehicle Issuance | |
| 0 | General | |
| | Brevard, Hillsborough, Manatee, and Escambia County Tax Collectors continued testing in Stage | |
| | Began onboarding remaining Pilot Group 3 Tax Collectors | |
| 0 | Key Implementation Activities | |
| | Continued to support Release 3 (IFTA/IRP) stabilization | |
| | Continued with all Release 2 implementation meetings | |
| | Scheduling of Pilot Group 3 | |
| | Scheduling of Release 2c | |
| 0 | Team A (T&R Issuance) Current Sprint: Sprint 70 (Ends 08/13/2024) | |
| | Developers focusing on Original Dealer | |
| | Moved 2 developers temporarily to Team B due to not enough stories refined in backlog | |
| | SEU is conducting UAT for Release 2c. They are also trying to close out testing of Milestone S stories | |
| | Team is also supporting Release 2a and Release 2b which were deployed to production | |
| 0 | Team B (MV Globals) Current Sprint: Sprint 70 (Ends 08/14/2024) | |
| | Development and testing continue for Reports, MVR Request, Code Tables, Maintain Inventory, Messages, and Merge | |
| | Not completed all the anticipated work for Messages and Merge. These two items will be moved out of 2c | |
| | Working to complete Certifying Authority so it can be included in the 2c release, going to test Wednesday, 7/31 | |
| | Team is also supporting Release 2a and Release 2b which were deployed to production | |
| 0 | Portal/Fleet Current Sprint: Sprint 65 (Ends 08/15/2024) | |
| | BA's: Defined design and solution with updated requirements for mobile apps and kiosk flow (Sprint 63: May 24 – June 13). Prepa | ring |
| | bulk registration, renewals, and transfers. Refining military queue and plate requests via the portal as time permits. | - |
| | Developers: Developing the Mobile/Kiosk Environment APIs and has been approved to develop Military Request stories (T) as time permits. | е |

- QA continued functional testing ٠
- SEU rejoined for Sprint 65 ٠
- Enterprise 0

- The team completed the production implementation of the new web service for EFS & FRVIS the weekend of June 14, 2024
- The team began regression testing of the NMVTIS web service for ORION on June 17, 2024
 - Out of 68 test cases, 49 have passed, 4 with AAMVA for review, and 3 are in progress.
- Policies & Procedures and User Guides Current Cycle Release 2 & Release 3 0
 - Release 2a, 2b, 2c, and 2d includes: Globals, and Titles & Registration (T&R)
 - o Current location Release 2a, 2b: Posted SafetyNet & PartnerNet
 - Release 2c & 2d: Development
 - Release 2e: all user guide updates will be done at this time Release
 - Release 3 updates pending this week with new ADA compliance elements added for Alt Text
 - Release 1 User Guide Part One Finalized
 - Updates pending
 - Release 3 IFTA-IRP User Guide(s)
 - Current location: Posted SafetyNet & the four external user guides on PartnerNet
- Phase II Customer Communications
 - IFTA-IRP
 - 8 items in review and 8 in the gueue

Project B – Motor Carrier Services (IFTA/IRP)

 The team deployed updates to production to address priority bugs and continues to work bug and enhancement requests. The team continues to clean up production data and is working towards another conversion of data from the legacy system to the new system. The team continues to work on business processes and support for the solution.

Project C – Florida Smart ID

• There was no activity for the Florida Smart ID (FSID) Project during the month of July 2024.

Project D – Enterprise Content Management

- Developing a cleanup batch job to assist with transition, adding additional document types needed by IFTA IRP. Job will prepare documents created prior to the FRVIS integration with the Content Service for ingestion by OnBase. Batch job deployed on 31 July.
- Continuing to meet with the business to validate business processes prior to transitioning MVSCAN to OnBase from Oracle.

| MOTORIST | MODERNIZATION | - HIGHWAY | SAFETY AND | MOTOR | FY2023-FY2024 |
|----------|---------------|-----------|------------|-------|---------------|
| PHASE II | | VEHICLES | 5 | | JUL Y 2024 |

| B. Overall Status | | | Required for R&C Category 1 2 3 4 |
|---|-----|----|---|
| | Yes | No | Explain: |
| Is the project on schedule? If no, explain why and what corrective action(s) are planned to bring the project back on schedule | | | CR-19 updated the Program schedule which was implemented in the IMS the wee of 02/14/2022. Incomplete stories from Milestones C – H were incorporated into future milestones. CR-34 updated the Program schedule which was implemented in the IMS the wee of 04/24/2023. Incomplete stories from Milestones I - M were incorporated into |
| | | x | Milestone N. CR-38 updated the Program schedule to add additional Milestones and sprints to the schedule to account for issues related to staffing and scope changes. Open Milestones were incorporated into Milestone P. |
| | | | CR-44 updated the Program schedule to decompose planned incremental release for Release 2 – ORION Pilot. |
| | | | CR-47 updated the Program schedule which was implemented in the IMS the wee of 04/29/2024. Incomplete stories from Milestones P - R were incorporated into future Milestones. There are still late tasks related to OCM which will be adjusted b a separate change request. |
| | | | Please refer to Section 3A for the latest information reported with regard to issue escalation/resolution efforts taken by the issue owner(s). |
| Will the project complete on schedule? | | | The Phase II Teams are continuing with development and testing of the Phase II functional backlog following the order of functionality defined in the approved Release Plan as updated by CR-19, CR-34 and CR-38. |
| | | | The issues impacting the Phase II schedule are: |
| | X | | Development Capacity (Issue #15) – Planned development capacity for Phase II is currently reduced by 4 open FTE developer positions. Developer vacancies have been an issue since Feb 2020, ranging from two to four open positions with each developer position providing approximately 1400 hours of development capacity per year. The |

| MOTORIST MODERNIZATION PHASE II | HIGHWAY SAFETY AND MOTOR | FY2023-FY2024 JULY 2024 |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | |

| B. Overall Status | | | Required for R&C Category |
|---|-----|----|---|
| | Yes | No | Explain: |
| | | | Program has tried to mitigate the impact of open developer and tester positions by deferring scope and elongating sprint development and testing. The latter has resulted in the overlap of Sprint work and User Acceptance Testing (UAT) as part of CR-38. The overlap adds stress to resource planning and staff retention as teams are forced to support UAT and Sprint work concurrently. The Program has also shifted developers across teams to concentrate on the highest priority development. If the open positions are not filled, late completion of milestones across the Program will continue and any remaining slack will be eliminated. The Program is currently staffed at 75% of the developer staffing originally planned in CR-19. CR-47 adjusted the schedule based upon impacts of Issues #15 and #79. |
| | | | • Phase II Development and Testing Late (Issue #20) Phase II Teams did not complete Milestone P, Q or R per the schedule. CR-47 updated the Program schedule which was implemented in the IMS the week of 04/29/2024. Incomplete stories from Milestones P - R were incorporated into future Milestones. Team B didn't complete Milestone S development or testing; They have the capacity to complete development and testing of both Milestone S and T during Milestone T. The Portal/Fleet Team and Team A didn't complete the testing of Milestone S. |
| | | | • Vacant SEU Positions (Issue #79) – Systems Evaluation Unit has had vacant positions since May 2022, which currently are at eleven. The vacant positions impact testing of OMM Phase II, as testers are pulled to support other testing efforts (e.g., WRAPS, legislative releases). CR-47 adjusted the schedule based upon impacts of Issues #15 and #79. |
| Is the project currently within budget? If no, explain why and what corrective action(s) are planned to bring the project back within budget | Х | | |

| B. Overall Status | | | | | Required for R&C Catego | ory | | 1 2 3 4 | | |
|--|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|--|--|
| | | | | No | | Explain: | | | | |
| v | /ill the project remain wit | hin budget? | Х | | | | | | | |
| Were any s | Were any scope changes proposed or approved during this reporting period? | | | x | See Section B – Sco | See Section B – Scope Changes. | | | | |
| Have any risks or issues impacted the project during the reporting period? | | | | | Issue #15 – Developer Vacancies Issue #20 – Phase II Development and Testing Late Issue #79 – Vacant SEU Positions | | | | | |
| C. SPI & CPI Provide | e SPI and CPI for Current + Last | 3 Reporting Per | <i>iods</i> Re | equired | for R&C Category | | | 3 4 | | |
| | | Past Reporting | Past Reporting Period | | Past Reporting Period | Last Reporting Period | Current Reporting Period | | | |
| Date April 2023 | | | il 2023 | | May 2024 | June 2024 | July 2024 | | | |
| Project Schedule | Project A | .99 | 99 | | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | | | |
| Performance Index | Project B | .99 | | | 1.0 | .99 | .99 | | | |
| (SPI) | Project C | 1.0 | | | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | | | |
| | Project D | .81 | | | .81 | .81 | .81 | | | |
| Project Cost | Project A | 1.0 | | | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | | | |
| Performance Index | Project B | 1.0 | | | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | | | |
| (CPI) | Project C | 1.0 | | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 1.0 | | | |
| | Project D | 1.0 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | | | |
| Explanation of SPI or CPI Variance / Trend | Project A: SPI is at 1.0. Late CR-47. Project B: The SPI has beer Project C: The team is now | holding steady. UAT close | | | sed late | Guide Creation and Revie | ew – pending CR to align schedu | ule to | | |

| MOTORIST N | HIGHWAY SAFETY AND MOTOR | FY2023-FY2024 |
|------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| PHASE II | VEHICLES | JULY 2024 |

| B. Overall Status | | | Required for R&C Category 1 2 3 4 | | |
|--|-----|----|--|--|--|
| | Yes | No | Explain: | | |
| Project D: SPI continues to be impacted by MVSCAN sample conversion delay due to additional time required to complete transaction Stage supporting creation of sample documents for testing. | | | | | |

MOTORIST MODERNIZATION -HIGHWAY SAFETY AND MOTOR **VEHICLES**

<u>2</u>-**PROJECT PROGRESS**

PHASE II

| Α. | A. Project Milestones, Deliverables, and Major Tasks Required for R&C Category | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Provide milestones, deliverables, or major tasks of interest to key project stakeholders. Identify the status of each item listed (Completed, In Progress, Future), and explain any significant variance. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D M T | Deliverable / Milestone / Task & Description oject A – Motor Vehicle Issuance | Planned Start Date | Planned Finish Date | Actual Finish Date (completed) | Status (C=Completed IP= In Progress F=Future) | Variance Explanation / Comment | | | | | | |
| D | Del 2 – MM Milestone Release Report (June 2024) | 07/02/2024 | 07/25/2024 | 07/24/2024 | С | Less review cycles than planned | | | | | | |
| D | Del 3 – Monthly Legislative Governance Status Report (June 2024) | 07/02/2024 | 07/19/2024 | 07/15/2024 | С | Less review cycles than planned | | | | | | |
| D | Del 2 – MM Milestone Release Report (July 2024) | 08/02/2024 | 08/26/2024 | | IP | | | | | | | |
| D | Del 3 – Monthly Legislative Governance Status Report (July 2024) | 08/01/2024 | 08/19/2024 | | IP | | | | | | | |
| D | As-Built Solution Overview Report (Aug 2024) | 07/29/2024 | 08/20/2024 | | IP | | | | | | | |
| т | Develop Training for Release 2c | 04/01/2024 | 06/28/2024 | | IP | Impacted by Release 2b training development completion | | | | | | |
| т | Conduct User Acceptance - Release 2c | 07/08/2024 | 08/30/2024 | | IP | | | | | | | |
| т | Team A – T&R – Milestone S | 04/10/2024 | 07/23/2024 | | IP | Testing still in progress (37 stories). | | | | | | |

| MOTORIST | MODERNIZATION | _ | HIGHWAY SAFETY AND MOTO | OR FY2023-FY2024 |
|----------|---------------|---|-------------------------|------------------|
| PHASE II | | | VEHICLES | JULY 2024 |

| т | Team B – MV Globals – Milestone S | 04/11/2024 | 07/24/2024 | | IP | Development and Testing still in progress (19 stories). |
|----|--|------------|------------|------------|----|--|
| т | Portal/Fleet Team – Milestone S | 04/12/2024 | 07/25/2024 | | IP | Testing still in progress (10 stories). |
| т | Team A – T&R – Milestone T | 07/24/2024 | 10/29/2024 | | IP | |
| т | Team B – MV Globals – Milestone T | 07/25/2024 | 10/30/2024 | | IP | |
| т | Portal/Fleet Team – Milestone T | 07/26/2024 | 10/31/2024 | | IP | |
| т | Release 2c Implementation | 08/30/2024 | 10/02/2024 | | F | |
| Pr | oject B – Motor Carrier Services (IFT | A/IRP) | | | | |
| м | Deliverable #24: Deployment Implementation Plan and Checklist | 4/23/02024 | 04/24/2024 | 06/06/2024 | С | Delayed by FLHSMV to incorporate FLHSMV tasks into the implementation planning providing greater level of detail |
| м | Deliverable #25: Final Solution (GO LIVE) | 06/10/2024 | 06/10/2024 | 06/24/2024 | С | Longer review cycle than planned. |
| м | Deliverable #26: Post Implementation Acceptance | 06/28/2024 | 06/28/2024 | | IP | I3Verticals submitted 06/17/2024; in review |
| М | Deliverable #27: Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Plan | 05/24/2024 | 05/24/2024 | 06/24/2024 | С | Longer review cycle than planned. |
| М | Deliverable #29: Operations Transition Plan | 06/11/2024 | 06/11/2024 | | IP | Longer review cycle than planned. |
| Pr | oject C – Florida Smart ID | | | | | |
| | None | | | | | |
| Pr | oject D – Enterprise Content Manage | ement | | | | |
| Т | Post Go Live Iterations | 04/10/2023 | 04/21/2023 | | F | Dependent on legacy application integrations. |
| | | | | | | |

| MOTORIST M | ODERNIZATION - | HIGHWAY SAFETY AND MO | TOR FY2023-FY2024 |
|------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| PHASE II | | VEHICLES | JULY 2024 |

| Т | MVSCAN Conversion Reconciliation | 06/19/2023 | 07/07/2023 | F | | |
|---|---|--|-------------|--------------------------------------|-------|---|
| В. 3 | Scope Changes List all scope changes (any changes identified during this reporting period , | - | | | | Required for R&C Category 3 4 |
| Sco | ope Change Description | | Cost Impact | Schedule II | npact | Status (if approved, include approval date and change control form number) / Variance Explanation / Comment |
| pur Dev and sch Inte CR- upd train | -48 - Phase II OCM/Training Schedule CI pose of this request is to align the Learning velopment Office (LDO) Phase II Training s If the Organization Change Management (C edule with the Motorist Modernization prog egrated Master Schedule (IMS) which was a -47. As part of the IMS updates for CR-47, lates were made to the IMS to begin the re- ning to the revised implementations. This C ust and finalize those updates. | p cchedule DCM) gram's updated by , temporary alignment of | \$0 | Updated the IMS to Training and O | • | Approved, 07/07/2024 |
| | s change request will update the IMS with r es for Training and OCM milestones for Ph | | | | | |
| req (Mc NM real dev Mile | -49 - Portal MS Realignment . The purpos uest is to realign features planned for Miles otor Vehicles Records Request/Images, Mil VTIS Check for Title (PET, DUP)) to Milest lignment will allow the team to shift focus a relop/complete the APIs used by Vendors (estone T) early. This realignment will provi | stone S itary Plates, cone T. This nd planned for de the | \$0 | No impa | ict | Approved, 07/11/2024 |

| MOTORIST MODERNIZATION - | HIGHWAY SAFETY AND MOTOR | FY2023-FY2024 |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| PHASE II | VEHICLES | JUL Y 2024 |

| development and testing to incorporate these APIs into their solutions prior to Release 2e. | |
|---|--|
| Support Services Deliverable 2 – Milestone Release Report will be updated to reflect updated Milestones for impacted features if this change is approved. | |

3 - PROJECT ISSUES / RISKS

| Project | Issue Description | Status (Open/ Closed) | Project Impact <u>(H, M, L) and</u> Description of Impact | Resolution Approach | Resolution Date | Owner |
|---------|--|-----------------------------|--|--|--------------------|---|
| A | Issue 15 - Developer Vacancies | Open | H – 4 developer vacancies (1 ASP II and 3 ASP III positions – 2 for Portal/Fleet and 2 for Global) impacting Phase II capacity. | The Department will continue to advertise and attempt to fill open vacancies. The team will continue to review scope to recommend for removal or deferment to ESC due to reduced developer capacity. CR- 38 accounted for 3 of the open positions (1 Global position vacant after CR-38 was approved) in realignment of milestones and additional sprints. | | Terrence Samuel, Kristin Green Jeff Marsey |

| - | Issues Required for R&C C | | osed within this reporting period. Project Impact <u>(H, M, L) and</u> | | Resolution | |
|---------|--|-------------------|--|--|------------|---------------|
| Project | Issue Description | (Open/ Closed) | Description of Impact | Resolution Approach | Date | Owner |
| | | | | July Update – No change. | | |
| A | Issue 20 - Phase II Development and Testing Late | Open | H – Phase II Teams are behind on Milestones N and Milestone O. | The team will continue to review scope to recommend for removal or deferment to ESC due to reduced developer capacity. The team is working closely with SEU to increase testing velocity in addition to slowly integrating select Tax Collector offices into the testing process. July Update – Team B didn't complete Milestone S development or testing; They have the capacity to complete development and testing of both Milestone S and T during Milestone T. The Portal/Fleet Team and Team A didn't complete the testing of Milestone S. | | Joe Weldon |
| A | Issue 79 - Vacant SEU Positions | Open | H - Systems Evaluation Unit currently has vacant positions since May 2022 which impacts testing of OMM Phase II as testers are pulled to support other testing | Currently there are 11 vacant positions. July Update – No Change | | Victor Miller |

| Project | Issue Description | Status (Open/ Closed) | Project Impact (H, M, L) and Description of Impact | Resolution Approach | Resolution Date | Owner |
|---------|--|-----------------------------|--|--|--------------------|-------------------|
| | | | efforts (e.g., WRAPS, legislative releases). | | | |
| A | Issue 85 - Risk 141 Realized - Phase II Portal Fleet Developers | Open | M - If an additional FTE isn't hired to replace the lone FTE on the Portal/Fleet Team soon there will not be adequate time for knowledge transfer and all application knowledge of Phase II Portal/Fleet will be held by contracting staff. | July Update – Pablo Torres will be moved to the Portal Team to replace Keith and the new developer will join the Globals Team. | | Aundrea Powell |

| | B. Project Risks List all risks identified, open, occurring, or closed within the reporting period. | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|-----------------------------|---|---|----------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Project | Risk Description | Status (Open/ Closed) | Project Impact (H, M, L) and Description of Impact | Mitigation Strategy | Mitigation Status | Owner | | | | | |
| All | Risk 1 - If the Department does not receive budgetary funding for MM Phase II each year, then the Department will | Open | H – Without funding the Department could not procure the MM Phase II software planned or | Mitigation: FLHSMV approach to mitigate this risk is to provide timely, accurate updates on Motorist Modernization. FLHSMV also completes timely budget request templates, | LBR and Schedule | Judy Moats | | | | | |

AST-F-0505B – Status Report Form –Updated 07/16 (incorporated in Rule 74-1.006, F.A.C)

| Project | Risk Description | Status (Open/ Closed) | Project Impact (H, M, L) and Description of Impact | Mitigation Strategy | Mitigation Status | Owner |
|---------|--|-----------------------------|--|---|----------------------|------------|
| | not be able to continue Phase II activities. | | retain the Support Services vendor, both of which are needed to help FLHSMV meet the goals of MM Phase II. | answers questions from those reviewing and approving the budget and makes Department and Program leadership available to discuss MM Phase II with Legislative stakeholders. July Update – The risk mitigation strategy was confirmed to still be valid. The FY 24/25 Legislative Budget Request and Schedule IV-B were approved June 12, 2024. There are no issues to report; however, the impact to the project, should funding be reduced remains "High" The risk will continue to be monitored during the next reporting period. | IV-B submitted | |
| All | Risk 2 - If program communications are not managed effectively for the extensive internal and external visibility, then service and functionality issues may lead to negative publicity and erode program support. | Open | H – The impact would be the stakeholders needed to collaborate with on MM Phase II as well as those benefiting from the program may not understand the scope, timing and | Mitigation: The OMM Communications Consultant completes a review of the planned communication activities on a rolling two-month basis. This information is then used to complete the bi-monthly updates to the Communication Plan matrix and reviewed with OMM leadership and, subsequently, with the ESC. The bi-monthly | Monitoring Risk | Ann Naiman |

| Project | Risk Description | Status (Open/ Closed) | Project Impact (H, M, L) and Description of Impact | Mitigation Strategy | Mitigation Status | Owner |
|-------------|--|-----------------------------|---|---|----------------------|---------------|
| | | | benefits of MM Phase | updates are monitored via tasks in the approved Integrated Master Schedule. July Update – The risk mitigation strategy was confirmed to still be valid. There are no issues to report. However, the impact to the project should program communications are not properly managed remains a "High" impact. The risk will continue to be monitored during the next reporting period. | | |
| A II | Risk 4 - If there are other technology initiatives that compete in priority, or represent dependencies or integration points with OMM Phase II, then this may impact Phase II timeline and costs. | Open | H – Additional scope added to MM Phase II could result in a schedule and/or cost implication. | Mitigation: FLHSMV's approach to mitigate this risk is a two-fold approach. First, the Chief Information Officer has established and communicated a process whereby other technology initiatives and/or production correction/enhancement requests (aka WRAPS) are proactively evaluated to determine if there is an impact on MM Phase II and, if so, what is the nature of the impact (e.g., rework, changes to future work, etc.). The MM Phase II Senior Business Analysts will review these items to determine if there | Monitoring Risk | Kristin Green |

| - | ct Risks all risks identified, open, oc | curring, or closed | within the reporting period. | | | |
|---------|--|-----------------------------|--|--|----------------------|-------|
| Project | Risk Description | Status (Open/ Closed) | Project Impact (H, M, L) and Description of Impact | Mitigation Strategy | Mitigation Status | Owner |
| | | | | is an impact and, assuming there is, the formal change management process would be initiated. NOTE: Director of Motorist Services, the CIO, and the Executive Director must sign off on all WRAPs before work is started. The second aspect of mitigation is the established FLHSMV Tiered Governance process, which discusses topics across the Department for possible impact. OMM Leadership are the MM Phase II representatives in this process. July Update – The risk mitigation strategy was confirmed to be still valid. The "WRAP Exception" process is still in place and OMM is provided details to evaluate prior to the WRAP being initiated. In addition, there were two items identified from the legislative items that the MM Phase II Senior BAs are aware of and assessing for impact on MM Phase II. | | |
| | | | | Project prioritization meetings are ongoing. | | |

| Project | Risk Description | Status (Open/ Closed) | Project Impact (H, M, L) and Description of Impact | Mitigation Strategy | Mitigation Status | Owner |
|---------|--|-----------------------------|--|--|----------------------|------------|
| | | | | There are no issues to report; however, the impact to the project remains "High" if there are new projects and initiatives that increase resource contention and/or impact MM Phase II timelines. The risk will continue to be monitored during the next reporting period. | | |
| All | Risk 5 - If all program expenditures have not been identified, then unanticipated program needs may increase the overall budget and impact the schedule. | Open | H – Unplanned expenditures would impact the budget and spend plan for MM Phase II. | Mitigation: The OMM Contract Manager meets on a weekly basis with the Motorist Modernization Program Director, Deputy Director, Chief Technology Officer as well as the Support Services Vendor leadership. As part of these discussions in addition to weekly meetings with the Department's Chief Financial Officer, program expenditures are reviewed. This information is shared with the ESC as well as reviewing the current spending plan status. | Monitoring Risk | Judy Moats |
| | | | | July Update – The risk mitigation strategy was confirmed to still be valid. In addition, the OMM Contract Manager is included in the planning of future expenditures. There | | |

| Project | Risk Description | Status (Open/ Closed) | Project Impact (H, M, L) and Description of Impact | Mitigation Strategy | Mitigation Status | Owner |
|---------|---|-----------------------------|---|--|----------------------|---------------|
| | | | | are no issues to report; however, the impact on the project, should there be unplanned expenditures, remains "High". The risk will continue to be monitored during the next reporting period. | | |
| A | Risk 6 - If the Department contracts with multiple vendors for Phase II Program, then IT personnel turnover can occur and the inability to retain skilled personnel could impact the program timeline. | Open | M – The impact of IT personnel turnover would manifest as reduced capacity for Sprint development and, therefore, may ultimately impact Milestone time frames. | Mitigation: In order to mitigate this risk, the Department is evaluating long term supportability of software/solutions procured to support the MM Phase II program. Specifically, does a solution require skills the Department already has or can grow. As an alternative, the Department is also seeking information from vendors regarding long-term support costs of a particular solution in order to have multiple options should the risk grow in probability or impact. | Monitoring Risk | Kristin Green |
| | | | | July Update – The risk mitigation strategy was confirmed to be still valid. There are no issues to report. The risk will continue to be monitored during the next reporting period. | | |

MOTORIST MODERNIZATION -

| Project | Risk Description | Status (Open/ Closed) | Project Impact (H, M, L) and Description of Impact | Mitigation Strategy | Mitigation Status | Owner |
|---------|---|-----------------------------|---|--|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| All | Risk 7 - If the Department incurs full-time IT personnel turnover, then the inability to retain skilled personnel could impact the program schedule. | Open | M – The impact of IT personnel turnover would manifest as reduced capacity for Sprint development and, therefore, may ultimately impact Milestone time frames. | Mitigation: The first aspect of risk mitigation FLHSMV is taking is to align Department staff to the MM Phase II team that best utilizes the employee's skills, desired technology and career goals in order to increase employee satisfaction. In addition, the Department has in place knowledge transfer opportunities and completes succession planning to help ensure the Department has the necessary skills to develop and support MM Phase II. As a final risk mitigation step, if the Department requires additional capacity due to IT personnel turnover, the Support Services Vendor could be engaged to provide the additional capacity. July Update – The risk mitigation strategy was confirmed to be still valid; FLHSMV resources assigned to MM Phase II are being moved across the program where the needs align. | Monitoring Risk | Terrence Samuel/ Jeff Marsey |

HIGHWAY SAFETY AND MOTOR

VEHICLES

| - | ct Risks all risks identified, open, occurrin | g, or closed | within the reporting period. | | | |
|---------|--|-----------------------------|---|--|----------------------|------------|
| Project | Risk Description | Status (Open/ Closed) | Project Impact (H, M, L) and Description of Impact | Mitigation Strategy | Mitigation Status | Owner |
| | | | | This risk remains active and separate from Issue #15 as there are mitigation steps that remain active with regard to mitigating this risk; the impact remains "Medium". The risk will continue to be monitored during the next reporting period. | | |
| All | Risk 8 - If additional requirements or missing requirements are identified, then this may elongate timelines, increase costs, or contribute to program/project failure. | Open | H - Additional scope added to MM Phase II could result in a schedule and/or cost implication. | Mitigation: There are several mitigation steps FLHSMV is taking: 1. Project teams are completing pre- refinement meetings and refinement meetings to confirm in-scope changes that may need to occur prior to development commencing. This is in keeping with the approved change management processes. This step allows small adjustments to be caught prior to development while still allowing a path to implement small changes to business rules. 2. Changes made as part of ISA Operations are first evaluated to determine if there is an MM Phase II impact. This helps to inform those approving WRAPS if there is a resulting MM Phase II change request prior to approving the WRAP as an exception. | Monitoring Risk | Joe Weldon |

| B. Proje | all risks identified, open, occurring | | | | | |
|----------|---|-----------------------------|---|---|----------------------|---------------|
| Project | Risk Description | Status (Open/ Closed) | Project Impact (H, M, L) and Description of Impact | Mitigation Strategy | Mitigation Status | Owner |
| | | | | July Update – The risk mitigation strategy was confirmed to be still valid, and the impact remains "High". The risk will continue to be monitored during the next reporting period | | |
| All | Risk 13 - IF there is a lack of availability of key OMM resources, THEN the competing needs for their time could impact completion of deliverables causing a delay in the project schedule | Open | M – The impact could be delayed decisions or overturned decisions if the key OMM member is not available. | Mitigation: FLHSMV is mitigating this risk by having key OMM resources cross train others on key processes and by establishing a regular cross-team functionality meeting in order to discuss dependencies, vision of shared functions, etc. This will help spread the knowledge and reduce the probability of this risk impacting MM Phase II development efforts. The team will continue to monitor SME participation and escalate needs as appropriate through the weekly Risk & Issue meeting. | Monitoring Risk | Kristin Green |
| | | | | July Update – The risk mitigation strategy was confirmed to be still valid, and the impact remains "Medium". The risk will continue to be monitored during the next reporting period. | | |

MOTORIST MODERNIZATION -

| - | ct Risks all risks identified, open, occurring | g, or closed | within the reporting period. | | | |
|---------|---|-----------------------------|--|---|----------------------|---------------|
| Project | Risk Description | Status (Open/ Closed) | Project Impact (H, M, L) and Description of Impact | Mitigation Strategy | Mitigation Status | Owner |
| All | Risk 16 - IF the Phase II teams do not use consistent processes for documenting requirements, THEN there may be missed requirements and inconsistent levels of detail. | Open | L – The impact of this may be delays in development and testing if time is lost searching for rule definitions because they are documented differently. | Mitigation: In order to mitigate this risk, the OMM Senior Business Analysts and Scrum Masters will review, update and maintain the Blueprint standards document. As changes are needed, they will update the document, review as a group and communicate with the developers, testers and product owners. July Update – The risk mitigation strategy was confirmed to still be valid, and the impact of this risk remained as a "Low" impact assessment. The Scrum Masters have regular meetings and a recurring topic in the weekly risk/issue meeting is any "cross-team" topics and processes to be discussed and confirmed. In addition, dedicated Cross-Team Dependency meetings with Sr. BAs, Development and Architect Leads and applicable PMs are ongoing. The risk will continue to be monitored during the next reporting period. | Monitoring Risk | Scrum Masters |

HIGHWAY SAFETY AND MOTOR

VEHICLES

MOTORIST MODERNIZATION -

| Project | Risk Description | Status (Open/ Closed) | Project Impact (H, M, L) and Description of Impact | Mitigation Strategy | Mitigation Status | Owner |
|---------|--|-----------------------------|---|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| A | Risk 18 - IF there are changes to the legacy/operational systems after the start of requirements analysis for Phase II (legislative changes, WRAPs, etc.), THEN there may be an impact to Phase II requirements. | Open | M - Additional scope added to MM Phase II could result in a schedule and/or cost implication. | Mitigation: The Chief Information Officer has established and communicated a process whereby production correction / enhancement requests (aka WRAPS) are proactively evaluated to determine if there is an impact on MM Phase II and, if so, what is the nature of the impact (e.g., rework, changes to future work, etc.). The MM Phase II Senior Business Analysts will review these items to determine if there is an impact and, assuming there is, the formal change management process would be initiated. NOTE: Director of Motorist Services, the CIO, and the Executive Director must sign off on all WRAPs before work is started. July Update – The risk mitigation strategy was confirmed to be still valid. The "WRAP Exception" process is still in place and OMM is provided details to evaluate prior to the WRAP being initiated. The risk will continue to be monitored during the next reporting period. | Monitoring Risk | Business Analysts |

HIGHWAY SAFETY AND MOTOR

VEHICLES

| MOTORIST | MODERNIZATION | _ | HIGHWAY SAFETY AND MOTOR | F |
|----------|---------------|---|--------------------------|---|
| PHASE II | | | VEHICLES | |

| Project | Risk Description | Status (Open/ Closed) | Project Impact (H, M, L) and Description of Impact | Mitigation Strategy | Mitigation Status | Owner |
|---------|---|-----------------------------|--|---|----------------------|----------------|
| All | Risk 29 - IF Product Owners or Alternate Product Owners are not available for Phase II Team meetings, THEN the Teams will not be able to meet and make decisions, causing schedule slippage. | Open | M - The impact would be delayed or overturned decisions if the Product Owner or Alternate is not available. | Mitigation: FLHSMV will mitigate this risk by working with the Product Owners & Alternate POs to define a set, predictable meeting schedule for the regular scrum meetings (e.g., stand-ups) as well as refinement meetings. Attendance for refinement meetings is monitored and recorded. July Update – The risk mitigation strategy was confirmed to be still valid. The risk will continue to be monitored during the next reporting period; the impact will remain as a "Medium" impact assessment given there are alternate POs participating as well. | Monitoring Risk | Scrum Masters |
| All | Risk 70 - IF POs waits until the demo to review a story and/or point out issues/requested changes to the story, THEN it could result in not signing off on the story and scope changes which could impact the schedule. | Open | M – Result would be inaccurate status of the stories in a team backlog. | Mitigation: FLHSMV is mitigating this risk by having Motorist Services and OMM leadership work together to ensure stories are being reviewing as soon as they are marked "Testing Complete" in order to escalate deficiencies found by the product owner earlier in the sprint. | Monitoring Risk | Product Owners |

| Project | Risk Description | Status (Open/ Closed) | Project Impact (H, M, L) and Description of Impact | Mitigation Strategy | Mitigation Status | Owner |
|---------|---|-----------------------------|---|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| | | | | July Update – The risk mitigation strategy was confirmed to still be valid, although this will be further evaluated as part of project team retrospectives during the next reporting period. | | |
| All | Risk 71 - IF the business rules from legacy systems are not fully documented and understood, THEN there could be missed business rules in the new system. | Open | M – The impact is a MM Phase II version that may be delayed or be devoid of certain rules | Mitigation: OMM is taking multiple steps to mitigate this risk: (1) Legacy system source code is being reviewed and documented in pseudo business rule form. The status of this effort is monitored with checkpoint meetings three times per week. (2) The document developed in Step #1 is evaluated by a business analyst and configuration values added in Blueprint ahead of the prerefinement meeting(s). (3) Additional status values were added in TFS in order to track the refinement readiness and status going through status. | Monitoring Risk | Business Analysts |
| | | | | July Update – The risk mitigation strategy was confirmed to still be valid. The risk will | | |

| - | ct Risks all risks identified, open, occurring | g, or closed | within the reporting period. | | | |
|---------|--|-----------------------------|---|---|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Project | Risk Description | Status (Open/ Closed) | Project Impact (H, M, L) and Description of Impact | Mitigation Strategy | Mitigation Status | Owner |
| | | | | continue to be monitored during the next reporting period; the impact will remain as a "Medium" impact given the use of refinement meetings. | | |
| AII | Risk 72 - IF the appropriate subject matter experts do not participate in requirement refinement sessions, THEN there could be missed business rules in the new system. | Open | M – The impact would be an increase in change requests and delays Milestone delivery. | Mitigation: FLHSMV will mitigate this risk by working with the Product Owners & Alternate POs to define a set, predictable meeting schedule for the regular scrum meetings (e.g., stand-ups) as well as refinement meetings. July Update – The risk mitigation strategy was confirmed to still be valid. The risk will continue to be monitored during the next reporting period; the impact will remain as a "Medium" impact however the project teams | Monitoring Risk | Product Owners, SMEs |
| | | | | have indicated that Product Owner and Alternate participation in the refinement meetings has improved under the "work- remote" situation resulting from COVID-19. | | |
| All | Risk 76 - IF Business Units are not aware of new, revised business process changes | Open | L – The impact would be an increased user frustration and potential | Mitigation: OCM efforts through Implementation Readiness checklists and Engagement Plans; LDO efforts through | Monitoring Risk | Product Owners |

| MOTORIST | MODERNIZATION | _ | HIGHWAY SAFETY AND MOTOR | F | Fγ |
|----------|---------------|---|--------------------------|---|----|
| PHASE II | | | VEHICLES | | |

| B. Proje | ct Risks all risks identified, open, occurring | , or closed | within the reporting period. | | | |
|----------|--|-----------------------------|--|---|----------------------|--------------------|
| Project | Risk Description | Status (Open/ Closed) | Project Impact (H, M, L) and Description of Impact | Mitigation Strategy | Mitigation Status | Owner |
| | prior to ORION training and rollout, THEN the modernized MM Phase II may not reach its full potential in efficiencies, there could be user frustration and/or an impact on customer service. | | negative impact on customer service. | training curricula preparation and reviews; Product Owner and Alternates have been engaged and active throughout MM Phase II in product demonstrations, testing, implementation meetings, etc. The resolution end date aligned to the end of the OCM Planning for Phase II. | | |
| | | | | July Update – No change in status. | | |
| All | Risk 77 - IF Developers don't use existing enterprise code for shared rules, THEN it could result in rework/additional work and impact the schedule. | Open | M – The impact would be increased developer rework and schedule delays. | Mitigation: Developers will review their technical designs with senior developers, who will also perform code reviews prior to functional testing. The Enterprise Team will support by building shared components. | Monitoring Risk | Lead Developers |
| D | Risk 101 - ECM Documents for Phase 2: IF NPS isn't able to quickly configure new documents to be added to the ECM as they are identified and refined by the Phase II Team, THEN it could impact the schedule as the team waits for | Open | M – The impact would be a delay in the schedule. | July Update – No change in status. Mitigation: IF NPS isn't able to quickly configure new documents to be added to the ECM as they are identified and refined by the Phase II Team, THEN it could impact the schedule as the team waits for implementation of the new document in the ECM. | Monitoring Risk | Mike Anderson |

| Project | Risk Description | Status (Open/ Closed) | Project Impact (H, M, L) and Description of Impact | Mitigation Strategy | Mitigation Status | Owner |
|---------|---|-----------------------------|--|---|----------------------|--------------------|
| | implementation of the new document in the ECM. | 00360) | | July Update – No change in status. | | |
| All | Risk 110 – If the Phase 2 teams are given competing priorities or other scope changes, THEN it could impact the ability to complete planned milestone work and impact the Program schedule | Open | H - It could impact the ability to complete planned milestone work and impact the Program schedule | Mitigation: Team will work with OMM Leadership to determine priorities. CR-46 added 4 CRs to the Team A backlog which caused the team to move Release 2b and 2c stories from Sprint 64. July Update – No change in status. | Monitoring Risk | Kristin Green |
| All | Risk 119 - IF Product Owners approve too many changes in scope using the new PO CR Log, THEN it could result in an impact to the schedule. | Open | M – POs may approve more scope changes than teams able to absorb | Mitigation: Require Cross-team review and approval and monthly reviews with the ESC. July Update – No change in status. | Monitoring Risk | Mike Andersor |
| Ą | Risk 128 - If the synchronization between Blueprint and TFS is not monitored THEN it could result in inaccurate reporting on the number and status of stories | Open | M – Inaccurate reporting on the number and status of stories | Mitigation: SBAs will periodically check that PBIs in TFS reflect correct status from Blueprint. Need to validate with Stewart Pierson how this will be handled with TFS/Blueprint upgrade. July Update – No change in status. | Monitoring Risk | Chad Hutchinson |

| MOTORIST | MODERNIZATION | - H | HIGHWAY | SAFETY | AND | MOTOR | FY202 |
|----------|---------------|-------|----------|--------|-----|-------|-------|
| PHASE II | | V | VEHICLES | | | | |

| Project | Risk Description | Status (Open/ Closed) | Project Impact (H, M, L) and Description of Impact | Mitigation Strategy | Mitigation Status | Owner |
|---------|--|-----------------------------|--|--|----------------------|--------------------|
| A | Risk 130 - IF screenshots for the User Guides and Policy and Procedures Manual are not incorporated into the documents in a timely manner, THEN the User Guide and Policy and Procedures Manual will not be complete and available for the Phase II Pilot or Go-Live | Open | M – Screenshots for User Guides | Mitigation: Communications team will coordinate between project teams and User Guide and Policy and Procedure Teams on progress of development and testing to validate readiness of screen prints. Using Tango to capture screen shots for Phase II which is making the process quicker. July Update – No change in status. | Monitoring Risk | Ann Naiman |
| A | Risk 134 - IF SEU members lack experience with MV business processes and large backlog impacts testing velocity THEN it could result in missed requirements and not completing MVI testing per the schedule | Open | M – SEU Motor Vehicle Knowledge & Backlog | Mitigation: As part of the Knowledge Transfer Plan SD will identify skills needed to enable the team. July Update – No change in status. | Monitoring Risk | Victor Miller |
| All | Risk 140 - IF Service Development is not able to train/bring on staff with the appropriate skillsets (.NET and | Open | H - Phase II Support | Mitigation: As part of the Knowledge Transfer Plan SD will identify skills needed to enable the team | Monitoring Risk | Terrence Samuel |

| MOTORIST MODERNIZATION - | HIGHWAY SAFETY AND MOTO | FY2023-FY2024 |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| PHASE II | VEHICLES | JULY 2024 |

| JUL | Y 2024 | ļ |
|-----|--------|---|

| Project | Risk Description | Status (Open/ Closed) | Project Impact (H, M, L) and Description of Impact | Mitigation Strategy | Mitigation Status | Owner |
|---------|---|-----------------------------|--|--|----------------------|-----------------|
| | Motor Vehicle) to support the Phase II rollout THEN it could force OMM resources to support post stabilization and impact the OMM Team's ability to work on new scope after the stabilization period and could impact future releases. | | | July Update – No change in status. | | |
| All | Risk 143 - If the DBA group does not review DB changes for adherence to standards when deploying to TEST, THEN the deployment to Production could be impacted by having to redo the changes and retest the applications | Open | H - DB Changes and Standards | Mitigation: Have DBAs review DB changes while in Dev prior to deployment to Test July Update – No change in status. | Monitoring Risk | Malati Paladugu |
| All | Risk 147 - IF FLHSMV doesn't have experienced resources within the support network to manage issues raised by end users (e.g., Tax Collectors and LPAs) during the rollout of | Open | H - Phase II Experience for Support | Mitigation: Work with Motorist Services on approach to manage incoming issues during the Pilot and Statewide rollout as well as plan to train front-line staff. July Update – No change in status. | Monitoring Risk | Kristin Green |

| MOTORIST MODERNIZATION - | HIGHWAY SAFETY AND MOTOR | FY2023-FY2024 |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| PHASE II | VEHICLES | JUL Y 2024 |

| Project | Risk Description | Status (Open/ Closed) | Project Impact (H, M, L) and Description of Impact | Mitigation Strategy | Mitigation Status | Owner |
|---------|--|-----------------------------|--|---|----------------------|---------------|
| | ORION Phase II applications THEN it could result in inability to address issues thereby increasing end user frustration and volume of support requests which overwhelm OMM and Operational support resources. | | | | | |
| A | Risk 149 - IF LDO vacant positions are not filled THEN it could impact training development and training delivery and could impact the overall schedule | Open | H – Impact to scope and resources | Mitigation: LDO continues to shift resources to priority tasks; but this impacts training development and delivery for other FLHSMV initiatives. July Update - Effective July 1, 2024, one vacant LDO position was eliminated by HR, reducing total number of vacancies from 9 to 8. | Monitoring Risk | Jennifer Ford |
| A | Risk 151 - IF ISA has competing initiatives that are prioritized higher than work supporting OMM THEN it | Open | H – Impact to scope and resources | Mitigation: OMM will participate in ISA Prioritization meetings and work closely with ISA Project Managers to monitor new projects. | Monitoring Risk | Kristin Green |

| MOTORIST | MODERNIZATION | _ | HIGHWAY SAFETY AND MOTOR |
|----------|---------------|---|--------------------------|
| PHASE II | | | VEHICLES |

| - | ct Risks | | | | | |
|---------|--|-----------------------------|--|--|----------------------|---------------------|
| Project | all risks identified, open, occurring Risk Description could impact the Phase II schedule | status (Open/ Closed) | Project Impact (H, M, L) and Description of Impact | Mitigation Strategy July Update – No change in status. | Mitigation Status | Owner |
| A | Risk 152 - IF the old version of the Content Service isn't retired THEN support will be required for 2 versions of the content service by Operations and there could be license usage collisions if not retired timely. | Open | M – Impact to scope and resources | Mitigation: Will continue to work with Operations to roll Phase I applications off of the old version and onto new Phase II version of the content service. July Update – No change in status. | Monitoring Risk | Michael Anderson |
| A | Risk 154 - IF BIO doesn't understand the business rules related to NMVTIS integration with FRVIS, EFS and ORION THEN it could result in missed requirements and inaccurate business processes once the NMVTIS Web Service is used. | Open | H – Impact to scope and business processes | Mitigation: BIO is part of all NMVTIS meetings and business requirement updates as well as Structural Testing with AAMVA. July Update – No change in status. | Monitoring Risk | Kristin Green |
| A | Risk 156 - IF system demos cannot be provided to the LDO design team due to resource constraints and system | Open | H – Impact to scope and business processes | Mitigation: The initial 2b training launch scheduled for May 3 has already been impacted by this risk and, as a result, will be limited to only four online trainings. Additional | Monitoring Risk | Jennifer Ford |

| Project | Risk Description | Status (Open/ Closed) | Project Impact (H, M, L) and Description of Impact | Mitigation Strategy | Mitigation Status | Owner |
|---------|---|-----------------------------|--|---|----------------------|---------------|
| | development/ testing delays, online training launches will be delayed- possibly to the point where functionality is ready to release in Production without having the online training available ahead of time. | | | 2b training will need to be released at a later date. July Update – Several 2b trainings launched after system release development. | | |
| A | Risk 157 - IF the system implementation order/schedule for Group 3 is not available by 5/20/2024, then LDO will be unable to propose training delivery dates to the county and ready their training lab spaces with sufficient notice to their office. In addition, LDO may be unable to deliver the hands-on training sessions at least one month prior to the county's scheduled pilot implementation, which is | Open | H – Impact to scope and business processes | Mitigation: This risk assumes the pilot system implementation for Group 3 TC pilot offices will start in early August 2024. July Update – Approved Group 3 schedule sent to LDO for review. | Monitoring Risk | Jennifer Ford |

| 1 | MOTORIST | MODERNIZATION | 1 | HIGHWAY S | AFETY | AND | MOTOR | FY2023-FY2024 |
|---|----------|---------------|---|-----------|-------|-----|-------|---------------|
| | PHASE II | | 1 | VEHICLES | | | | JULY 2024 |

| B. Proje List | ct Risks all risks identified, open, occurring | ı, or closed | within the reporting period. | | | |
|-------------------------|---|-----------------------------|--|---|----------------------|---------------|
| Project | Risk Description | Status (Open/ Closed) | Project Impact (H, M, L) and Description of Impact | Mitigation Strategy | Mitigation Status | Owner |
| A | Risk 161 - IF offices that currently do NOT have ORION do NOT install and verify ORION prior to Statewide rollout THEN volume of issues with installs may impact FLHSMV Platform Team and impact the Statewide Rollout. | Open | M– Impact to scope and business processes | Mitigation: Install ORION prior to Release 2e at all non-ORION sites and validate the application works for MVI Inquiry. July Update – No change | Monitoring Risk | Mike Anderson |

4 - PROJECT SPEND PLAN

| - | A. Expenditures – Planned vs. Actual Provide planned and actual cost total for the current month and the fiscal year to date. Provide an explanation of significant variance. Required for R&C Category 3 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--------|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Period Planned Cost Actual Cost Explanation of Variance | | | | | | | | | | |
| Reporting Period (Month) | Reporting Period (Month) | | | | | | | | | |
| Project A | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | None | | | | | | | |
| Project B | • Project B \$0.00 \$0.00 None | | | | | | | | | |
| Project C | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | None | | | | | | | |

AST-F-0505B – Status Report Form –Updated 07/16 (incorporated in Rule 74-1.006, F.A.C)

| A. Expenditures – Planned vs. Ac Provide planned and actual cost total j | | fiscal year to date. Provide an | explanation of significant variance. | Required for R&C Category 3 4 |
|---|--------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Period | | | | |
| Project D | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | None | |
| FY to Date | | | | |
| Project A | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | None | |
| Project B | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | None | |
| Project C | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | None | |
| Project D | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | None | |

| Provid key pro | ify Major Project Costs <i>e</i> the planned expenditure, actual expenditure (if completed), status, and the oject stakeholders. For hardware/software include the quantity of each type pleted, provide an explanation for any significant cost variance. | | | ject costs of interest to | | F | Reqired for R&C Category 3 4 |
|-------------------|--|----------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--|------------------|---------------------------------|
| Project | Description | Fiscal Year | Planned Expenditure | Actual Expenditure | Status (C =Completed F =Future) | Business Need | Explanation of Cost Variance |
| Project | Α | | | | | | |
| A | Motorist Modernization (MM) Milestone Release Report (Jun 2023) | 23/24 | \$510,000.00 | \$510,000.00 | С | | |
| A | Monthly Legislative/Governance Status Reports (Jul 2023) | 23/24 | \$30,000.00 | \$30,000.00 | С | | |

AST-F-0505B – Status Report Form – Updated 07/16 (incorporated in Rule 74-1.006, F.A.C)

| Provide the planned expenditure, actual expenditure (if completed), status, and the business need for all significant project costs of interest to Reqired for R&C Category key project stakeholders. For hardware/software include the quantity of each type of equipment or licenses required. If completed, provide an explanation for any significant cost variance. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--|------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Project | Description | Fiscal Year | Planned Expenditure | Actual Expenditure | Status (C =Completed F =Future) | Business Need | Explanation of Cost Variance | | |
| A | As-Built Solution Overview Report (Aug 2023) | 23/24 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | С | | | | |
| A | Motorist Modernization (MM) Milestone Release Report (Jul 2023) | 23/24 | \$615,000.00 | \$615,000.00 | С | | | | |
| A | Statewide Roll-Out Implementation Plan - Increment 2 - Release 1 | 23/24 | \$73,000.00 | \$73,000.00 | С | | | | |
| A | Monthly Legislative/Governance Status Reports (Aug 2023) | 23/24 | \$30,000.00 | \$30,000.00 | С | | | | |
| A | Motorist Modernization (MM) Milestone Release Report (Aug 2023) | 23/24 | \$615,000.00 | \$615,000.00 | С | | | | |
| A | Monthly Legislative/Governance Status Reports (Sep 2023) | 23/24 | \$30,000.00 | \$30,000.00 | С | | | | |
| A | Lessons Learned Report (Oct 2023) | 23/24 | \$7,000.00 | \$7,000.00 | С | | | | |
| A | Motorist Modernization (MM) Milestone Release Report (Sep 2023) | 23/24 | \$615,000.00 | \$615,000.00 | С | | | | |

| key pr | Provide the planned expenditure, actual expenditure (if completed), status, and the business need for all significant project costs of interest to Required for R&C Category key project stakeholders. For hardware/software include the quantity of each type of equipment or licenses required. If completed, provide an explanation for any significant cost variance. | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--|----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--|------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Project | Description | Fiscal Year | Planned Expenditure | Actual Expenditure | Status (C =Completed F =Future) | Business Need | Explanation of Cost Variance | | | |
| A | Monthly Legislative/Governance Status Reports (Oct 2023) | 23/24 | \$30,000.00 | \$30,000.00 | С | | | | | |
| A | As-Built Solution Overview Report (Nov 2023) | 23/24 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | С | | | | | |
| A | Motorist Modernization (MM) Milestone Release Report (Oct 2023) | 23/24 | \$615,000.00 | \$615,000.00 | С | | | | | |
| A | Monthly Legislative/Governance Status Reports (Nov 2023) | 23/24 | \$30,000.00 | \$30,000.00 | С | | | | | |
| A | Motorist Modernization (MM) Milestone Release Report (Nov 2023) | 23/24 | \$440,000.00 | \$440,000.00 | С | | | | | |
| A | Monthly Legislative/Governance Status Reports (Dec 2023) | 23/24 | \$30,000.00 | \$30,000.00 | С | | | | | |
| A | Motorist Modernization (MM) Milestone Release Report (Dec 2023) | 23/24 | \$440,000.00 | \$440,000.00 | С | | | | | |
| A | Statewide Roll-Out Implementation Plan - Increment 2 - Release 2 | 23/24 | \$73,000.00 | \$73,000.00 | С | | | | | |

| Provia key pr | Identify Major Project Costs Provide the planned expenditure, actual expenditure (if completed), status, and the business need for all significant project costs of interest to Reqired for R&C Category key project stakeholders. For hardware/software include the quantity of each type of equipment or licenses required. If completed, provide an explanation for any significant cost variance. | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|---|----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--|------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Project | Description | Fiscal Year | Planned Expenditure | Actual Expenditure | Status (C =Completed F =Future) | Business Need | Explanation of Cost Variance | | |
| A | Monthly Legislative/Governance Status Reports (Jan 2024) | 23/24 | \$30,000.00 | \$30,000.00 | С | | | | |
| А | As-Built Solution Overview Report (Feb 2024) | 23/24 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | С | | | | |
| A | Motorist Modernization (MM) Milestone Release Report (Jan 2024) | 23/24 | \$440,000.00 | \$440,000.00 | С | | | | |
| A | Monthly Legislative/Governance Status Reports (Feb 2024) | 23/24 | \$30,000.00 | \$30,000.00 | С | | | | |
| A | Motorist Modernization (MM) Milestone Release Report (Feb 2024) | 23/24 | \$440,000.00 | \$440,000.00 | С | | | | |
| A | Monthly Legislative/Governance Status Reports (Mar 2024) | 23/24 | \$30,000.00 | \$30,000.00 | С | | | | |
| A | Lessons Learned Report (Apr 2024) | 23/24 | \$7,000.00 | \$7,000.00 | С | | | | |
| A | Motorist Modernization (MM) Milestone Release Report (Mar 2024) | 23/24 | \$440,000.00 | \$440,000.00 | С | | | | |

| key pro | Provide the planned expenditure, actual expenditure (if completed), status, and the business need for all significant project costs of interest to Reqired for R&C Category step project stakeholders. For hardware/software include the quantity of each type of equipment or licenses required. If completed, provide an explanation for any significant cost variance. | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--|----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--|------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Project | Description | Fiscal Year | Planned Expenditure | Actual Expenditure | Status (C =Completed F =Future) | Business Need | Explanation of Cost Variance | | | |
| A | Monthly Legislative/Governance Status Reports (Apr 2024) | 23/24 | \$30,000.00 | \$30,000.00 | С | | | | | |
| A | As-Built Solution Overview Report (May 2024) | 23/24 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | С | | | | | |
| A | Motorist Modernization (MM) Milestone Release Report (Apr 2024) | 23/24 | \$440,000.00 | \$440,000.00 | С | | | | | |
| A | Monthly Legislative/Governance Status Reports (May 2024) | 23/24 | \$30,000.00 | \$30,000.00 | С | | | | | |
| A | Motorist Modernization (MM) Milestone Release Report (May 2024) | 23/24 | \$440,000.00 | \$440,000.00 | С | | | | | |
| A | Monthly Legislative/Governance Status Reports (Jun 2024) | 24/25 | \$30,000.00 | \$30,000.00 | С | | | | | |
| A | Motorist Modernization (MM) Milestone Release Report (Jun 2024) | 23/24 | \$440,000.00 | \$440,000.00 | С | | | | | |
| A | Monthly Legislative/Governance Status Reports (Jul 2024) | 24/25 | \$30,000.00 | | F | | | | | |

| Provide the planned expenditure, actual expenditure (if completed), status, and the business need for all significant project costs of interest to Reqired for R&C Category 3 4 key project stakeholders. For hardware/software include the quantity of each type of equipment or licenses required. If completed, provide an explanation for any significant cost variance. | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--|------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Project | Description | Fiscal Year | Planned Expenditure | Actual Expenditure | Status (C =Completed F =Future) | Business Need | Explanation of Cost Variance | |
| A | As-Built Solution Overview Report (Aug 2024) | 24/25 | \$0.00 | | F | | | |
| A | Motorist Modernization (MM) Milestone Release Report (Jul 2024) | 24/25 | \$755,000.00 | | F | | | |
| A | Monthly Legislative/Governance Status Reports (Aug 2024) | 24/25 | \$30,000.00 | | F | | | |
| A | Motorist Modernization (MM) Milestone Release Report (Aug 2024) | 24/25 | \$755,000.00 | | F | | | |
| A | Monthly Legislative/Governance Status Reports (Sep 2024) | 24/25 | \$30,000.00 | | F | | | |
| A | Lessons Learned Report (Oct 2024) | 24/25 | \$7,000.00 | | F | | | |
| A | Motorist Modernization (MM) Milestone Release Report (Sep 2024) | 24/25 | \$755,000.00 | | F | | | |
| A | Monthly Legislative/Governance Status Reports (Oct 2024) | 24/25 | \$30,000.00 | | F | | | |
| A | As-Built Solution Overview Report (Nov 2024) | 24/25 | \$0.00 | | F | | | |

| B. Identify Major Project Costs Provide the planned expenditure, actual expenditure (if completed), status, and the business need for all significant project costs of interest to key project stakeholders. For hardware/software include the quantity of each type of equipment or licenses required. If completed, provide an explanation for any significant cost variance. | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--|------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Project | Description | Fiscal Year | Planned Expenditure | Actual Expenditure | Status (C =Completed F =Future) | Business Need | Explanation of Cost Variance | |
| A | Motorist Modernization (MM) Milestone Release Report (Oct 2024) | 24/25 | \$755,000.00 | | F | | | |
| A | Monthly Legislative/Governance Status Reports (Nov 2024) | 24/25 | \$30,000.00 | | F | | | |
| A | Motorist Modernization (MM) Milestone Release Report (Nov 2024) | 24/25 | \$755,000.00 | | F | | | |
| A | Monthly Legislative/Governance Status Reports (Dec 2024) | 24/25 | \$30,000.00 | | F | | | |
| A | Motorist Modernization (MM) Milestone Release Report (Dec 2024) | 24/25 | \$755,000.00 | | F | | | |
| A | Statewide Roll-Out Implementation Plan - Increment 2 - Release 3 | 24/25 | \$16,000.00 | | F | | | |
| A | Monthly Legislative/Governance Status Reports (Jan 2025) | 24/25 | \$30,000.00 | | F | | | |
| Α | As-Built Solution Overview Report (Feb 2025) | 24/25 | \$0.00 | | F | | | |

| Provic key pr | tify Major Project Costs de the planned expenditure, actual expenditure (if completed), status, and oject stakeholders. For hardware/software include the quantity of each t npleted, provide an explanation for any significant cost variance. | Reqired for R&C Category 3 4 | | | | | |
|------------------|---|------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--|------------------|---------------------------------|
| Project | Description | Fiscal Year | Planned Expenditure | Actual Expenditure | Status (C =Completed F =Future) | Business Need | Explanation of Cost Variance |
| A | Motorist Modernization (MM) Milestone Release Report (Jan 2025) | 24/25 | \$755,000.00 | | F | | |
| A | Monthly Legislative/Governance Status Reports (Feb 2025) | 24/25 | \$30,000.00 | | F | | |
| A | Motorist Modernization (MM) Milestone Release Report (Feb 2025) | 24/25 | \$755,000.00 | | F | | |
| A | Monthly Legislative/Governance Status Reports (Mar 2025) | 24/25 | \$30,000.00 | | F | | |
| A | Lessons Learned Report (Apr 2025) | 24/25 | \$7,000.00 | | F | | |
| A | Motorist Modernization (MM) Milestone Release Report (Mar 2025) | 24/25 | \$755,000.00 | | F | | |
| A | Monthly Legislative/Governance Status Reports (Apr 2025) | 24/25 | \$30,000.00 | | F | | |
| A | As-Built Solution Overview Report (May 2025) | 24/25 | \$0.00 | | F | | |

MOTORIST MODERNIZATION -HIGHWAY SAFETY AND MOTOR VEHICLES

| Provia key pr | t ify Major Project Costs le the planned expenditure, actual expenditure (if completed), status, and oject stakeholders. For hardware/software include the quantity of each t npleted, provide an explanation for any significant cost variance. | | | oject costs of interest to | , | F | 2 Reqired for R&C Category 3 4 |
|------------------|--|----------------|------------------------|----------------------------|--|------------------|---|
| Project | Description | Fiscal Year | Planned Expenditure | Actual Expenditure | Status (C =Completed F =Future) | Business Need | Explanation of Cost Variance |
| A | Motorist Modernization (MM) Milestone Release Report (Apr 2025) | 24/25 | \$755,000.00 | | F | | |
| A | Monthly Legislative/Governance Status Reports (May 2025) | 24/25 | \$30,000.00 | | F | | |
| A | Motorist Modernization (MM) Milestone Release Report (May 2025) | 24/25 | \$755,000.00 | | F | | |
| A | Monthly Legislative/Governance Status Reports (Jun 2025) | 24/25 | \$30,000.00 | | F | | |
| A | Motorist Modernization (MM) Milestone Release Report (Jun 2025) | 24/25 | \$755,000.00 | | F | | |
| A | Phase II Closeout Report | 24/25 | \$0.00 | | F | | |
| Project | B | | | | | | |
| В | Deliverable 21 – UAT Completion Report | 23/24 | \$46,809.00 | \$46,809.00 | С | | Signatures secured this month, Vendor to submit invoice for payment. |

AST-F-0505B – Status Report Form – Updated 07/16 (incorporated in Rule 74-1.006, F.A.C)

MOTORIST MODERNIZATION -HIGHWAY SAFETY AND MOTOR VEHICLES

| Provide the planned expenditure, actual expenditure (if completed), status, and the business need for all significant project costs of interest to Reqired to key project stakeholders. For hardware/software include the quantity of each type of equipment or licenses required. If completed, provide an explanation for any significant cost variance. | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--|------------------|---|--|
| Project | Description | Fiscal Year | Planned Expenditure | Actual Expenditure | Status (C =Completed F =Future) | Business Need | Explanation of Cost Variance | |
| В | Deliverable 22 – Training and Training Materials | 23/24 | \$156,028.37 | \$156,028.37 | С | | Initial training was delivered 2/26/2024 - 3/1/2024.Pending review and acceptance of materials. | |
| В | Deliverable 23 – Final System and User Documentation | 23/24 | \$156,028.37 | \$156,028.37 | С | | Pending review and acceptance of documentation. | |
| В | Deliverable 24 – Deployment Implementation Plan and Checklist | 23/24 | \$46,808.51 | \$46,808.51 | С | | | |
| В | Deliverable 25 – Final Solution | 23/24 | \$156,028.37 | \$156,028.37 | С | | | |
| В | Deliverable 26 – Post Implementation Acceptance | 23/24 | \$46,808.51 | | F | | | |
| В | Deliverable 27 – Operations and Maintenance Plan | 23/24 | \$78,014.18 | \$78,014.18 | С | | | |
| В | Deliverable 29 – Operations Transition Plan | 23/24 | \$28,571.43 | | F | | | |
| В | Deliverable 33 – Requirement Traceability Verification Matrix - Second Iteration | 23/24 | \$139,904.00 | | F | | | |

AST-F-0505B – Status Report Form – Updated 07/16 (incorporated in Rule 74-1.006, F.A.C)

| Provid key pr | t ify Major Project Costs le the planned expenditure, actual expenditure (if completed), status, and to oject stakeholders. For hardware/software include the quantity of each typ apleted, provide an explanation for any significant cost variance. | | | oject costs of interest | to | I | 2 Reqired for R&C Category 3 4 |
|------------------|---|----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Project | Description | Fiscal Year | Planned Expenditure | Actual Expenditure | Status (C =Completed F =Future) | Business Need | Explanation of Cost Variance |
| В | Deliverable 28 – Warranty Completion Report | 24/25 | \$47,619.05 | | F | | |
| В | Deliverable 30 – Turnover Plan | 24/25 | \$28,571.43 | | F | | |
| В | Deliverable 31 – Project Closure Report | 24/25 | \$95,238.10 | | F | | |
| В | Deliverable 34 – Interface Control Document - ORION Integration | 24/25 | \$73,572.00 | | F | | |
| Project | C | | | I | | | 1 |
| С | Support and Maintenance | 23/24 | \$400,000.00 | | F | | |
| Project | : D | | | I | | L | |
| D | Deliverable 7 - Software Support and Maintenance | 23/24 | \$107,847.70 | | F | | |
| D | Deliverable 9 – OnBase Managed Services Level 3 | 23/24 | \$392,195.00 | | F | | |
| D | Deliverable 9 – OnBase Managed Services Level 3 | 24/25 | \$411,785.75 | | F | | |
| D | Deliverable 9 – OnBase Managed Services Level 3 | 25/26 | \$432,732.94 | | F | | |