

FLORIDA COMMISSION ON OFFENDER REVIEW

MELINDA N. COONROD Commissioner/ Chair

RICHARD D. DAVISON
Commissioner/Vice-Chair

DAVID A. WYANT Commissioner/Secretary

LEGISLATIVE BUDGET REQUEST

Florida Commission on Offender Review Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2450

September 16, 2019

Chris Spencer, Director Office of Policy and Budget Executive Office of the Governor Room 1701 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0001

Eric Pridgeon, Staff Director House Appropriations Committee 221 Capitol Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1300

Cynthia Kynoch, Staff Director Senate Committee on Appropriations 201 Capitol Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1300

Willinda N. Coomoc

Dear Directors:

Pursuant to Chapter 216, Florida Statutes, our Legislative Budget Request for the Florida Commission on Offender Review is submitted in the format prescribed in the budget instructions. The information provided electronically and contained herein is a true and accurate presentation of our proposed needs for the 2020-21 Fiscal Year. This submission has been approved by me, Melinda N. Coonrod, as Chairman of the Commission.

Should you have any questions regarding this document, please contact me at (850) 487-1980.

Sincerely,

Melinda N. Coonrod

Chairman

FLORIDA COMMISSION ON OFFENDER REVIEW PAY ADDITIVES REQUEST FOR FY 2020-2021

Section 110.2035(7)(b), Florida Statutes, requires each state agency to include in its annual legislative budget request a proposed written plan for implementing temporary special duties – general pay additives for the next fiscal year. The agency is not requesting any additional rate or appropriations for these additives.

In accordance with previous rule authority in 60L-32.0012, Florida Administrative Code, the Commission has used existing rate and salary appropriations to grant pay additives when warranted based on the duties and responsibilities of the position. The requested additives are justified for reasons such as additional assigned duties and responsibilities not customarily assigned to the position when another position becomes vacant.

Pay additives are a valuable management tool which allows agencies to recognize and compensate employees for identified duties without providing a permanent pay increase.

Temporary Special Duty

The agency requests approval to continue to grant a 10% pay additive to Commission Investigators who perform additional duties as an acting Regional Administrator.

1. Justification:

The Division of Operations has 44 Commission Investigator positions, 4 Commission Investigator Supervisor positions and 5 Regional Administrator positions located in the field. A Regional Administrator vacancy creates a region without supervision. A Commission Investigator or Commission Investigator Supervisor will be given additional duties of regional supervision until the position has been filled.

2.	Length	of	time	additive	will	be	used:

The additive will not be used more than 90 days at any given occurrence.

3. Classes and nu	imber of positions affected:	
Class Code	Class Title	# of FTE
8127	Commission Investigator	1

4. Area impacted:

This additive will impact employees statewide.

5. Historical data:

This pay additive was last used in October 2015.

6. Estimated cost of this additive:

Based on a base salary for a Commission Investigator, the calculation is as follows: $$35,113.80 \times 10\% = $3,511.38 \times 1 \text{ position} = $3,511.38 \times .25 \text{ year} (90 \text{ days}) = 877.85 . The Commission is not requesting any additional rate or appropriations for this additive.

Department Level Exhibits or Schedules

Schedule VII: Agency Litigation Inventory


For directions on completing this schedule, please see the "Legislative Budget Request (LBR) Instructions" located on the Governor's website.

the Governor's website.							
Agency:	FLOF	RIDA	COMMISSION OF	N OFFENDER RE	VIEW		
Contact Person:	Rana Coun		ace, General	Phone Number:	(850) 488-4460		
Names of the Case: no case name, list the names of the plaintial and defendant.)	ne	Calv	in Green v. Florida	Commission on Of	ffender Review		
Court with Jurisdict	ion:	Circ	uit Court of the Seco	ond Judicial Circui	t, Leon County		
Case Number:		2019	9-CA-000673				
Summary of the Complaint:		Plaintiff is a former state prison inmate who alleges he was improperly released to conditional release supervision and improperly revoked from the same and remanded to the custody of the Department of Corrections.					
Amount of the Clair	m:	\$ 750,000					
Specific Statutes or Laws (including GA Challenged:	AA)						
Status of the Case:		Counsel for the Commission has moved to dismiss the case on procedural grounds. A resolution of the motion to dismiss is pending.					
Who is representing record) the state in t	,		Agency Counsel				
lawsuit? Check all		X	Office of the Attor	ney General or Div	vision of Risk Management		
apply.			Outside Contract C	Counsel			
If the lawsuit is a cleaction (whether the is certified or not), provide the name of firm or firms representing the plaintiff(s).	class	N/A					

Office of Policy and Budget – June 2019


Office of Commissioner Chair

Org Code: 78010000000




Effective: 07/01/2019 Page 5 of 35

Office of the General Counsel Org Code: 78010100000




Commissioner's Office

Org Code: 78020100000




Commissioner's Office Org Code: 78020200000



Page 8 of 35


Clemency Investigations Org Code: 78030000000



Page 9 of 35

Executive Clemency

Org Code: 78030100000




Page 10 of 35

Administration

Org Codes: 78040000000


78040100000 (Human Resources)

78040200000 (Accounting & Budgeting)



Operations


Org Code: 78060000000



Effective: 07/01/2019 Page 12 of 35

Revocations

Org Code: 78060100000



Victim Services

Org Code: 78060201000


Operations & Mgmt Consultant Mgr. **Director of Central Office Operations** 78003055 Class Code: 2238 Pay Plan: 08 SES Broadband: 11-1021-02 FTE: 1.0 **Operations & Mgmt Consultant II Victims Services** 78001512 Class Code: 2236 Pay Plan: 08 SES Broadband: 13-1111-04 FTE: 1.0 **Staff Assistant** 78002094 Class Code: 0120 Pay Plan: 01 CS Broadband: 43-6011-02 FTE: 1.0 **Government Analyst I** 78003038 Class Code: 2224 Pay Plan: 01 CS Broadband: 13-1111-03 FTE: 1.0 **Commission Investigator** 78003011 Class Code: 8127 Pay Plan: 01 CS Broadband: 21-1092-03 FTE: 1.0

Page 14 of 35

Commission Clerk

Org Codes: 78060400000


78060300000 (Imaging)



Region I

Org Codes: 78060501000


78060501010



Region II

Org Codes: 78060502000


78060502010



Region III

Org Codes: 78060503000


78060503010



Region IV

Org Codes: 78060504000


78060504020 78060504030



Region V

Org Codes: 78060505000

78060505010 78060505020



FLORIDA COMMISSION ON OFFENDER REVIEW				
SECTION I: BUDGET		OPERATI		FIXED CAPITAL OUTLAY
TOTAL ALL FUNDS GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT ADJUSTMENTS TO GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT (Supplementals, Vetoes, Budget Amendments, etc.)			10,472,467 123,242	(
FINAL BUDGET FOR AGENCY	_		10,595,709	(
SECTION II: ACTIVITIES * MEASURES	Number of Units	(1) Unit Cost	(2) Expenditures (Allocated)	(3) FCO
Executive Direction, Administrative Support and Information Technology (2) Conditional Release * Number of conditional and addiction recovery decisions	7,577	51.41	389,546	
Offender Revocations * Number of revocation determinations Clemency Services * Number of clemency cases completed	1,527 4,748	1,594.41 1,113.49	2,434,658 5,286,862	
Parole Determination * Number of parole and conditional medical release determinations Victims' Services * Number of victim assists	1,537 27,665	696.98 25.03	1,071,251 692,500	
Vicinis Services number of vicini assists	27,000	25.03	692,300	
		-		
OTAL		<u> </u>	9,874,817	
SECTION III: RECONCILIATION TO BUDGET			,,	
PASS THROUGHS				
TRANSFER - STATE AGENCIES AID TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS				
PAYMENT OF PENSIONS, BENEFITS AND CLAIMS				
OTHER REVERSIONS			720,884	
			10,373,701	
TOTAL BUDGET FOR AGENCY (Total Activities + Pass Throughs + Reversions) - Should equal Section I above. (4) SCHEDULE XI/EXHIBIT VI: AGENCY-LEVEL UNIT COST SU	IMMARY		10,595,701	

⁽¹⁾ Some activity unit costs may be overstated due to the allocation of double budgeted items.
(2) Expenditures associated with Executive Direction, Administrative Support and Information Technology have been allocated based on FTE. Other allocation methodologies could result in significantly different unit costs per activity.
(3) Information for FCO depicts amounts for current year appropriations only. Additional information and systems are needed to develop meaningful FCO unit costs.
(4) Final Budget for Agency and Total Budget for Agency may not equal due to rounding.

Schedule XIV Variance from Long Range Financial Outlook

Agency: Florida Commission on Offender Review Contact: Karen Carter

Article III, Section 19(a)3, Florida Constitution, requires each agency Legislative Budget Request to be based upon and reflect the long range financial outlook adopted by the Joint Legislative Budget Commission or to explain any variance from the outlook.

)		the long range financial outlook adopted by the Joint Legislative Budditure estimates related to your agency?	lget Comi	mission in September	2019 contain revenue o
	Yes	No X			
<u>'</u>)		please list the estimates for revenues and budget drivers that refleand list the amount projected in the long range financial outlook and st.			
				FY 2020-2021 Estim	nate/Request Amount
		Issue (Revenue or Budget Driver)	R/B*	Long Range Financial Outlook	Legislative Budget Request
	а				
	b				
	С				
	d				
	е				
	f				
3)	-	r agency's Legislative Budget Request does not conform to the long ates (from your Schedule I) or budget drivers, please explain the var	_		spect to the revenue
	* D/D	= Revenue or Budget Driver			

TVD = Nevertide of Budget Briver

Schedule I Series

SCHEDULE IC: RECONCILIATION OF UNRESERVED FUND BALANCE

Budget Period: 2020 - 2021 Florida Commission on Offender Review **Department Title: Trust Fund Title:** Federal Grants Trust Fund **Budget Entity:** 78010000 LAS/PBS Fund Number: 2261 SWFS* Balance as of Adjusted 6/30/2019 Adjustments **Balance** 48596 (A) 48596 Chief Financial Officer's (CFO) Cash Balance ADD: Other Cash (See Instructions) (B) 0 (C) 0 ADD: Investments 9868 (D) 9868 ADD: Outstanding Accounts Receivable ADD: (E) 0 **58464** (F) **Total Cash plus Accounts Receivable** 58464 0 LESS Allowances for Uncollectibles (G) LESS Approved "A" Certified Forwards 0 (H) 0 Approved "B" Certified Forwards Approved "FCO" Certified Forwards (H) 0 0 LESS: Other Accounts Payable (Nonoperating) LESS: _____ 0 **58464** (K) 0 58464 ** **Unreserved Fund Balance, 07/01/19**

Notes:

Office of Policy and Budget - June 2019

^{*}SWFS = Statewide Financial Statement

^{**} This amount should agree with Line I, Section IV of the Schedule I for the most recent completed fiscal year and Line A for the following year.

RECONCILIATION: BEGINNING TRIAL BALANCE TO SCHEDULE I and IC **Budget Period: 2020 - 2021 Department Title:** Florida Commission on Offender Review **Trust Fund Title:** Federal Grants Trust Fund LAS/PBS Fund Number: 2261 **BEGINNING TRIAL BALANCE: Total Fund Balance Per FLAIR Trial Balance, 07/01/19** Total all GLC's 5XXXX for governmental funds; (58,464.00) (A) GLC 539XX for proprietary and fiduciary funds **Subtract Nonspendable Fund Balance (GLC 56XXX)** (B) Add/Subtract Statewide Financial Statement (SWFS)Adjustments: SWFS Adjustment # and Description (C) SWFS Adjustment # and Description (C) **Add/Subtract Other Adjustment(s):** Approved "B" Carry Forward (Encumbrances) per LAS/PBS (D) Approved FCO Certified Forward per LAS/PBS (D) A/P not C/F-Operating Categories (D) (D) (D) (D) ADJUSTED BEGINNING TRIAL BALANCE: **(58,464.00)** (E) UNRESERVED FUND BALANCE, SCHEDULE IC (Line K) **58,464.00** (F) **DIFFERENCE: 0.00** (G)* *SHOULD EQUAL ZERO.

	SCHEDULE V	I: DETAIL OF D	EBT SERVICE	
Department: Budget Entity:	Florida Commissi 78010000	on on Offender Rev	iev Budget Pe	riod 2020-21
(1) <u>SECTION I</u>		(2) ACTUAL FY 2018-19	(3) ESTIMATED FY 2019-20	(4) REQUEST FY 2020-21
Interest on Debt	(A)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Principal		N/A	N/A	N/A
Repayment of Loans	(C)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Fiscal Agent or Other Fees	(D)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other Debt Service		N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Debt Service	• •	N/A	N/A	N/A
Explanation:				
SECTION II ISSUE: (1) INTEREST RATE	(2) MATURITY DATE	(3) ISSUE AMOUNT	(4) JUNE 30, 20	(5) JUNE 30, 20
(6)		(7)	(8)	(9)
(6)		ACTUAL FY 2018-19	ESTIMATED FY 2019-20	REQUEST FY 2020-21
Interest on Debt	(G)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Principal	(H)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Fiscal Agent or Other Fees	(I)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	(J)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Debt Service	(K)	N/A	N/A	N/A
ISSUE:				
INTEREST RATE	MATURITY DATE	ISSUE AMOUNT	JUNE 30, 20	JUNE 30, 20
		-		
		ACTUAL FY 2018-19	ESTIMATED FY 2019-20	REQUEST FY 2020-21
Interest on Debt	(G)			
		FY 2018-19	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21
Interest on Debt Principal Fiscal Agent or Other Fees	(H)	FY 2018-19 N/A	FY 2019-20 N/A	FY 2020-21 N/A
Principal	(H) s (I)	FY 2018-19 N/A N/A	FY 2019-20 N/A N/A	N/A N/A

Office of Policy and Budget - June 2019

SCHEDULE IX: MAJOR AUDIT FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS **Budget Period: 2020-21 Department: Florida Commission on Offender Reviev** Chief Internal Auditor: Gina Giacomo **Budget Entity: Phone Number:** (850)488-3415 78010000 **(2) (3) (1) (5) (6)** REPORT PERIOD SUMMARY OF SUMMARY OF ISSUE **NUMBER ENDING** UNIT/AREA FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN CODE 2019-025 None None

Office of Policy and Budget - June 2019

Fiscal Year 2020-21 LBR Technical Review Checklist

Department/Budget Entity (Service): Florida Commission on Offender Review

Agency Budget Officer/OPB Analyst Name: Karen Carter/Donovan Craig

A "Y" indicates "YES" and is acceptable, an "N/J" indicates "NO/Justification Provided" - these require further explanation/justification (additional sheets can be used as necessary), and "TIPS" are other areas to consider.

Sileets car	n be used as necessary), and "TIPS" are other areas to consider.	D=0.===	n o= C	rico (D 1	got Ertit	· Cod
	Action	Prograi	n or Serv	get Entity	00	
	ACIIOII	10	UI	00	UU	UU
1. GEN	ERAL					
1.1	Are Columns A01, A04, A05, A94, A95, A96, A36, A10, IA1, IA5, IA6, IP1, IV1, IV3 and NV1 set to TRANSFER CONTROL for DISPLAY status and MANAGEMENT CONTROL for UPDATE status for both the Budget and Trust Fund columns (no trust fund files for narrative columns)? Is Column A02 set to TRANSFER CONTROL for DISPLAY status and MANAGEMENT CONTROL for UPDATE status for the Trust Fund Files (the Budget Files should already be on TRANSFER CONTROL for DISPLAY and MANAGEMENT CONTROL for UPDATE)? Are Columns A06, A07, A08 and A09 for Fixed Capital Outlay (FCO) set to TRANSFER CONTROL for DISPLAY status only (UPDATE status remains on OWNER)? (CSDI or Web LBR Column Security)	Yes				
1.2	Is Column A03 set to TRANSFER CONTROL for DISPLAY and UPDATE status for both	105				
1.2	the Budget and Trust Fund columns? (CSDI)	Yes				
AUDITS						
1.3	Has Column A03 been copied to Column A12? Run the Exhibit B Audit Comparison Report to verify. (EXBR, EXBA)	Yes				
1.4	Has Column A12 security been set correctly to ALL for DISPLAY status and MANAGEMENT CONTROL for UPDATE status for Budget and Trust Fund files? (CSDR, CSA)	Yes				
TIP	The agency should prepare the budget request for submission in this order: 1) Copy Column A03 to Column A12, and 2) Lock columns as described above. A security control feature has been added to the LAS/PBS Web upload process that will require columns to be in the proper status before uploading to the portal.					
2. EXH	IBIT A (EADR, EXA)					
2.1	Is the budget entity authority and description consistent with the agency's LRPP and does it conform to the directives provided on page 58 of the LBR Instructions?	Yes				
2.2	Are the statewide issues generated systematically (estimated expenditures, nonrecurring expenditures, etc.) included?	Yes				
2.3	Are the issue codes and titles consistent with <i>Section 3</i> of the LBR Instructions (pages 15 through 28)? Do they clearly describe the issue?	Yes				
	IBIT B (EXBR, EXB)		1			I
3.1	Is it apparent that there is a fund shift where an appropriation category's funding source is different between A02 and A03? Were the issues entered into LAS/PBS correctly? Check D-3A funding shift issue 340XXX0 - a unique deduct and unique add back issue should be used to ensure fund shifts display correctly on the LBR exhibits.	N/A				
AUDITS	:					
3.2	Negative Appropriation Category Audit for Agency Request (Columns A03 and A04): Are all appropriation categories positive by budget entity at the FSI level? Are all nonrecurring amounts less than requested amounts? (NACR, NAC - Report should print "No Negative Appropriation Categories Found") Current Year Estimated Verification Comparison Report: Is Column A02 equal to Column	Yes				
3.3	B07? (EXBR, EXBC - Report should print "Records Selected Net To Zero")	Yes				
TIP	Generally look for and be able to fully explain significant differences between A02 and A03.					

		Program or Service (Budget E			lget Entity	(Codes)
	Action	78	01	00	00	00
TIP	Enhibit D. A02 and to D07. Common Common Van Estimated advant to a hadron of					
HP	Exhibit B - A02 equal to B07: Compares Current Year Estimated column to a backup of A02. This audit is necessary to ensure that the historical detail records have not been					
	adjusted. Records selected should net to zero.					
TELE						
TIP	Requests for appropriations which require advance payment authority must use the sub-title					
	"Grants and Aids". For advance payment authority to local units of government, the Aid to					
	Local Government appropriation category (05XXXX) should be used. For advance payment authority to non-profit organizations or other units of state government, a Special Categories					
	appropriation category (10XXXX) should be used.					
	appropriation category (10AAAA) should be used.					
4. EXH	(BIT D (EADR, EXD)					
4.1	Is the program component objective statement consistent with the agency LRPP, and does it					
	conform to the directives provided on page 61 of the LBR Instructions?	Yes				
4.2	Is the program component code and title used correct?	Yes				
TIP	Fund shifts or transfers of services or activities between program components will be					
	displayed on an Exhibit D whereas it may not be visible on an Exhibit A.					
5. EXH	(BIT D-1 (ED1R, EXD1)					
5.1	Are all object of expenditures positive amounts? (This is a manual check.)	Yes				
AUDITS						
5.2	Do the fund totals agree with the object category totals within each appropriation category?					
	(ED1R, XD1A - Report should print "No Differences Found For This Report")	Yes				
5.2	ELAID Expanditura/Appropriation Ladger Comparison Deports Is Column A01 less than	168	 			
5.3	FLAIR Expenditure/Appropriation Ledger Comparison Report: Is Column A01 less than					
	Column B04? (EXBR, EXBB - Negative differences [with a \$5,000 allowance] need to	3.7				
	be corrected in Column A01.)	Yes				
5.4	A01/State Accounts Disbursements and Carry Forward Comparison Report: Does Column					
	A01 equal Column B08? (EXBR, EXBD - Differences [with a \$5,000 allowance at the					
	department level] need to be corrected in Column A01.)	No				
TIP	If objects are negative amounts, the agency must make adjustments to Column A01 to					
	correct the object amounts. In addition, the fund totals must be adjusted to reflect the					
	adjustment made to the object data.					
TIP	If fund totals and object totals do not agree or negative object amounts exist, the agency					
TELE	must adjust Column A01.					
TIP	Exhibit B - A01 less than B04: This audit is to ensure that the disbursements and					
	carry/certifications forward in A01 are less than FY 2018-19 approved budget. Amounts					
TID	should be positive. The \$5,000 allowance is necessary for rounding.					
TIP	If B08 is not equal to A01, check the following: 1) the initial FLAIR disbursements or carry forward data load was corrected appropriately in A01; 2) the disbursement data from					
	departmental FLAIR was reconciled to State Accounts; and 3) the FLAIR disbursements did					
	not change after Column B08 was created. Note that there is a \$5,000 allowance at the					
	department level.					
6 EVUI	-					
6.1	BIT D-3 (ED3R, ED3) (Not required in the LBR - for analytical purposes only.) Are issues appropriately aligned with appropriation categories?	Yes				
TIP	Exhibit D-3 is not required in the budget submission but may be needed for this particular	103	<u> </u>	ļ		
111	appropriation category/issue sort. Exhibit D-3 is also a useful report when identifying					
	negative appropriation category problems.					
7 EVIII						
	(BIT D-3A (EADR, ED3A) (Required to be posted to the Florida Fiscal Portal) Are the issue titles correct and do they clearly identify the issue? (See pages 15 through 28		г —			
7.1	of the LBR Instructions.)	Yes				
7.2	Does the issue narrative adequately explain the agency's request and is the explanation	103	 			
1.2	consistent with the LRPP? (See pages 66 through 68 of the LBR Instructions.)					
	tonostant with the Little . (See pages of anough to of the Libit instructions.)	Yes				

		Prograi	n or Ser		lget Entity	Codes)
	Action	78	01	00	00	00
7.3	Does the narrative for Information Technology (IT) issue follow the additional narrative requirements described on pages 68 through 70 of the LBR Instructions?	Yes				
7.4	Are all issues with an IT component identified with a "Y" in the "IT COMPONENT?" field? If the issue contains an IT component, has that component been identified and documented?	Yes				
7.5	Does the issue narrative explain any variances from the Standard Expense and Human Resource Services Assessments package? Is the nonrecurring portion in the nonrecurring column? (See pages E.4 through E.6 of the LBR Instructions.)	N/A				
7.6	Does the salary rate request amount accurately reflect any new requests and are the amounts proportionate to the Salaries and Benefits request? Note: Salary rate should always be annualized.	Yes				
7.7	Does the issue narrative thoroughly explain/justify all Salaries and Benefits amounts entered into the Other Salary Amounts transactions (OADA/C)? Amounts entered into OAD are reflected in the Position Detail of Salaries and Benefits section of the Exhibit D-3A. (See pages 94 and 95 of the LBR Instructions.)	N/A				
7.8	Does the issue narrative include the Consensus Estimating Conference forecast, where appropriate?	N/A				
7.9	Does the issue narrative reference the specific county(ies) where applicable?	N/A				
7.10	Do the 160XXX0 issues reflect budget amendments that have been approved (or in the process of being approved) and that have a recurring impact (including Lump Sums)? Have the approved budget amendments been entered in Column A18 as instructed in Memo #20-002?	N/A				
7.11	When appropriate are there any 160XXX0 issues included to delete positions placed in reserve in the LAS/PBS Position and Rate Ledger (e.g. unfunded grants)? Note: Lump sum appropriations not yet allocated should <u>not</u> be deleted. (PLRR, PLMO)	N/A				
7.12	Does the issue narrative include plans to satisfy additional space requirements when requesting additional positions?	N/A				
7.13	Has the agency included a 160XXX0 issue and 210XXXX and 260XXX0 issues as required for lump sum distributions?	N/A				
7.14	Do the amounts reflect appropriate FSI assignments?	Yes				
7.15	Are the 33XXXX0 issues negative amounts only and do not restore nonrecurring cuts from a prior year or fund any issues that net to a positive or zero amount? Check D-3A issues 33XXXX0 - a unique issue should be used for issues that net to zero or a positive amount.	Yes				
7.16	Do the issue codes relating to special <i>salary and benefits</i> issues (e.g., position reclassification, pay grade adjustment, overtime/on-call pay, etc.) have an "A" in the fifth position of the issue code (XXXXAXX) and are they self-contained (not combined with other issues)? (See pages 27 and 90 of the LBR Instructions.)	Yes				
7.17	Do the issues relating to <i>Information Technology (IT)</i> have a "C" in the sixth position of the issue code (36XXXCX) and are the correct issue codes used (361XXC0, 362XXC0, 363XXC0, 24010C0, 30010C0, 33011C0, 160E470, or 160E480)?	Yes				
7.18	Are the issues relating to <i>major audit findings and recommendations</i> properly coded (4A0XXX0, 4B0XXX0)?	N/A				
7.19	Does the issue narrative identify the strategy or strategies in the Five Year Statewide Strategic Plan for Economic Development?	N/A				
AUDIT:						
7.20	Does the General Revenue for 160XXXX (Adjustments to Current Year Expenditures) issues net to zero? (GENR, LBR1)	N/A				
7.21	Does the General Revenue for 180XXXX (Intra-Agency Reorganizations) issues net to zero? (GENR, LBR2)	N/A				

		Program	n or Serv	ice (Buc	lget Entity	(Codes)
	Action	78	01	00	00	00
7.22	Does the General Revenue for 200XXXX (Estimated Expenditures Realignment) issues net		1	1		
1.22	to zero? (GENR, LBR3)	N/A				
7.23	Have FCO appropriations been entered into the nonrecurring column (A04)? (GENR,					
	LBR4 - Report should print "No Records Selected For Reporting" or a listing of D-3A					
	issue(s) assigned to Debt Service (IOE N) or in some cases State Capital Outlay -					
	Public Education Capital Outlay (IOE L))	N/A				
TIP	Salaries and Benefits amounts entered using the OADA/C transactions must be thoroughly					
	justified in the D-3A issue narrative. Agencies can run OADA/OADR from STAM to					
	identify the amounts entered into OAD and ensure these entries have been thoroughly					
	explained in the D-3A issue narrative.					
TIP	The issue narrative must completely and thoroughly explain and justify each D-3A issue.					
	Agencies must ensure it provides the information necessary for the OPB and legislative					
	analysts to have a complete understanding of the issue submitted. Thoroughly review pages					
TID	66 through 70 of the LBR Instructions.					
TIP	Check BAPS to verify status of budget amendments. Check for reapprovals not picked up in the General Appropriations Act. Verify that Lump Sum appropriations in Column A02 do					
	not appear in Column A03. Review budget amendments to verify that 160XXX0 issue					
	amounts correspond accurately and net to zero for General Revenue funds.					
TIP	If an agency is receiving federal funds from another agency the FSI should = 9 (Transfer -					
	Recipient of Federal Funds). The agency that originally receives the funds directly from the					
TITE	federal agency should use FSI = 3 (Federal Funds).					
TIP	If an appropriation made in the FY 2019-20 General Appropriations Act duplicates an					
	appropriation made in substantive legislation, the agency must create a unique deduct nonrecurring issue to eliminate the duplicated appropriation. Normally this is taken care of					
	through line item veto.					
8. SCH	EDULE I & RELATED DOCUMENTS (SC1R, SC1 - Budget Entity Level or SC1R, SC	1D - De	nartm	ent Lev	vel) (Re	anired
	sted to the Florida Fiscal Portal)				, (1
8.1	Has a separate department level Schedule I and supporting documents package been					
	submitted by the agency?	Yes				
8.2	Has a Schedule I and Schedule IB been completed in LAS/PBS for each operating trust					
0.2	fund?	Yes				
8.3	Have the appropriate Schedule I supporting documents been included for the trust funds	Yes				
0.1	(Schedule IA, Schedule IC, and Reconciliation to Trial Balance)? Have the Examination of Regulatory Fees Part I and Part II forms been included for the	res				
8.4	applicable regulatory programs?	N/A				
8.5	Have the required detailed narratives been provided (5% trust fund reserve narrative; method					
0.5	for computing the distribution of cost for general management and administrative services					
	narrative; adjustments narrative; revenue estimating methodology narrative fixed capital					
	outlay adjustment narrative)?	Yes				
8.6	Has the Inter-Agency Transfers Reported on Schedule I form been included as applicable					
	for transfers totaling \$100,000 or more for the fiscal year?	N/A				
8.7	If the agency is scheduled for the annual trust fund review this year, have the Schedule ID					
	and applicable draft legislation been included for recreation, modification or termination of					
	existing trust funds?	N/A				
8.8	If the agency is scheduled for the annual trust fund review this year, have the necessary trust					
	funds been requested for creation pursuant to section 215.32(2)(b), Florida Statutes -					
	including the Schedule ID and applicable legislation?	N/A				
8.9	Are the revenue codes correct? In the case of federal revenues, has the agency appropriately					
	identified direct versus indirect receipts (object codes 000700, 000750, 000799, 001510 and					
	001599)? For non-grant federal revenues, is the correct revenue code identified (codes 000504, 001270, 001870, 001970)?	Yes				
<u> </u>	000004, 001270, 001670, 001770):	168				

		Progran	n or Serv	vice (Bud	lget Entity	y Codes)
	Action	78	01	00	00	00
0.10	A 1	Yes		1		
8.10	Are the statutory authority references correct? Are the General Revenue Service Charge percentage rates used for each revenue source	ies				
0.11	correct? (Refer to section 215.20, Florida Statutes, for appropriate General Revenue Service					
	Charge percentage rates.)	Yes				
8.12	Is this an accurate representation of revenues based on the most recent Consensus	100				
0.12	Estimating Conference forecasts?	N/A				
8.13	If there is no Consensus Estimating Conference forecast available, do the revenue estimates					
	appear to be reasonable?	Yes				
8.14	Are the federal funds revenues reported in Section I broken out by individual grant? Are the					
	correct CFDA codes used?	Yes				
8.15	Are anticipated grants included and based on the state fiscal year (rather than federal fiscal					
	year)?	Yes				
8.16	Are the Schedule I revenues consistent with the FSI's reported in the Exhibit D-3A?	Yes				
8.17	If applicable, are nonrecurring revenues entered into Column A04?	N/A				
8.18	Has the agency certified the revenue estimates in columns A02 and A03 to be the latest and					
	most accurate available? Does the certification include a statement that the agency will					
	notify OPB of any significant changes in revenue estimates that occur prior to the					
	Governor's Budget Recommendations being issued?	Yes				
8.19	Is a 5% trust fund reserve reflected in Section II? If not, is sufficient justification provided					
	for exemption? Are the additional narrative requirements provided?	N/A				
8.20	Are appropriate General Revenue Service Charge nonoperating amounts included in Section					
	II?	N/A				
8.21	Are nonoperating expenditures to other budget entities/departments cross-referenced	NT/ A				
0.22	accurately?	N/A				
8.22	Do transfers balance between funds (within the agency as well as between agencies)? (See					
	also 8.6 for required transfer confirmation of amounts totaling \$100,000 or more.)					
		N/A				
8.23	Are nonoperating expenditures recorded in Section II and adjustments recorded in Section					
	III?	N/A				
8.24	Are prior year September operating reversions appropriately shown in column A01, Section	37/1				
		N/A				
8.25	Are current year September operating reversions (if available) appropriately shown in	NT/A				
9.26	column A02, Section III? Does the Schedule IC properly reflect the unreserved fund balance for each trust fund as	N/A				
8.26	defined by the LBR Instructions, and is it reconciled to the agency accounting records?					
	defined by the LBR instructions, and is it reconciled to the agency accounting records:	Yes				
8.27	Has the agency properly accounted for continuing appropriations (category 13XXXX) in	103				
0.27	column A01, Section III?	N/A				
8.28	Does Column A01 of the Schedule I accurately represent the actual prior year accounting	21/12				
0.20	data as reflected in the agency accounting records, and is it provided in sufficient detail for					
	analysis?	Yes				
8.29	Does Line I of Column A01 (Schedule I) equal Line K of the Schedule IC?	Yes				
AUDITS						
8.30	Is Line I a positive number? (If not, the agency must adjust the budget request to eliminate					
	the deficit).	Yes				
8.31	Is the June 30 Adjusted Unreserved Fund Balance (Line I) equal to the July 1 Unreserved					
	Fund Balance (Line A) of the following year? If a Schedule IB was prepared, do the totals					
	agree with the Schedule I, Line I? (SC1R, SC1A - Report should print "No					
	Discrepancies Exist For This Report")	Yes				

		Program or Service (Budget I		lget Entity	y Codes)	
	Action	78	01	00	00	00
				I		
8.32	Has a Department Level Reconciliation been provided for each trust fund and does Line A					
	of the Schedule I equal the CFO amount? If not, the agency must correct Line A. (SC1R,	3.7				
0.22	DEPT)	Yes				
8.33	Has a Schedule IB been provided for ALL trust funds having an unreserved fund balance in					
	columns A01, A02 and/or A03, and if so, does each column's total agree with line I of the					
	Schedule I?	Yes				
8.34	Have A/R been properly analyzed and any allowances for doubtful accounts been properly					
	recorded on the Schedule IC?	Yes				
TIP	The Schedule I is the most reliable source of data concerning the trust funds. It is very					
	important that this schedule is as accurate as possible!					
TIP	Determine if the agency is scheduled for trust fund review. (See page 128 of the LBR					
	Instructions.) Transaction DFTR in LAS/PBS is also available and provides an LBR review					
	date for each trust fund.					
TIP	Review the unreserved fund balances and compare revenue totals to expenditure totals to					
	determine and understand the trust fund status.					
TIP	Typically nonoperating expenditures and revenues should not be a negative number. Any					
	negative numbers must be fully justified.					
	EDULE II (PSCR, SC2)					
AUDIT						
9.1	Is the pay grade minimum for salary rate utilized for positions in segments 2 and 3?					
	(BRAR, BRAA - Report should print "No Records Selected For This Request") Note:					
	Amounts other than the pay grade minimum should be fully justified in the D-3A issue					
	narrative. (See Base Rate Audit on page 159 of the LBR Instructions.)					
		No				
10. SCI	HEDULE III (PSCR, SC3)		•			
10.1	Is the appropriate lapse amount applied? (See page 92 of the LBR Instructions.)	N/A				
10.2	Are amounts in <i>Other Salary Amount</i> appropriate and fully justified? (See page 95 of the					
	LBR Instructions for appropriate use of the OAD transaction.) Use OADI or OADR to					
	identify agency other salary amounts requested.	N/A				
11. SCI	HEDULE IV (EADR, SC4)		•	•		
11.1	Are the correct Information Technology (IT) issue codes used?	Yes				
TIP	If IT issues are not coded (with "C" in 6th position or within a program component of					
	160300000), they will not appear in the Schedule IV.					
12 SCE	HEDULE VIIIA (EADR, SC8A)					
12.1	Is there only one #1 priority, one #2 priority, one #3 priority, etc. reported on the Schedule					
12.1	VIII-A? Are the priority narrative explanations adequate? Note: FCO issues can be included					
	in the priority listing.	Yes				
13. SCI	HEDULE VIIIB-1 (EADR, S8B1)					
13.1	NOT REQUIRED FOR THIS YEAR					
	HEDULE VIIIB-2 (EADR, S8B2) (Required to be posted to the Florida Fiscal Portal)					
14.1	Do the reductions comply with the instructions provided on pages 101 through 103 of the					
11	LBR Instructions regarding a 10% reduction in recurring General Revenue and Trust Funds,					
	including the verification that the 33BXXX0 issue has NOT been used? Verify that					1
	excluded appropriation categories and funds were not used (e.g. funds with FSI 3 and 9,					1
	etc.)	Yes				1
TIP	Compare the debt service amount requested (IOE N or other IOE used for debt service) with			ı		<u> </u>
	the debt service need included in the Schedule VI: Detail of Debt Service, to determine					
	whether any debt has been retired and may be reduced.					
15. SCI	HEDULE VIIIC (EADR, S8C) (This Schedule is optional, but if included it is required to l	be post	ed to th	ne Flor	ida	
Fiscal P		F				
	•					

			Program or Service (Budget Entity Code						
	Action	78	01	00	00	00			
					ī				
15.1	Does the schedule display reprioritization issues that are each comprised of two unique								
	issues - a deduct component and an add-back component which net to zero at the department								
15.2	level?	N/A							
13.2	Are the priority narrative explanations adequate and do they follow the guidelines on pages 104-106 of the LBR instructions?	N/A							
15.3	Does the issue narrative in A6 address the following: Does the state have the authority to	11/11							
13.3	implement the reprioritization issues independent of other entities (federal and local								
	governments, private donors, etc.)? Are the reprioritization issues an allowable use of the								
	recommended funding source?	N/A							
AUDIT:									
15.6	Do the issues net to zero at the department level? (GENR, LBR5)	N/A							
16. SCH	EDULE XI (UCSR,SCXI) (LAS/PBS Web - see pages 107-111 of the LBR Instructions f		iled in	<u> </u>	ons)				
	ed to be posted to the Florida Fiscal Portal in Manual Documents)	or acta	1104 111	ou ucu	311 3)				
16.1	Agencies are required to generate this spreadsheet via the LAS/PBS Web. The Final Excel								
	version no longer has to be submitted to OPB for inclusion on the Governor's Florida								
	Performs Website. (Note: Pursuant to section 216.023(4) (b), Florida Statutes, the								
	Legislature can reduce the funding level for any agency that does not provide this								
	information.)	Yes							
16.2	Do the PDF files uploaded to the Florida Fiscal Portal for the LRPP and LBR match?								
		Yes							
	INCLUDED IN THE SCHEDULE XI REPORT:	ı			•	1			
16.3	Does the FY 2018-19 Actual (prior year) Expenditures in Column A36 reconcile to Column								
154	A01? (GENR, ACT1)	Yes							
16.4	None of the executive direction, administrative support and information technology								
	statewide activities (ACT0010 thru ACT0490) have output standards (Record Type 5)?								
	(Audit #1 should print "No Activities Found")	Yes							
16.5	Does the Fixed Capital Outlay (FCO) statewide activity (ACT0210) only contain 08XXXX								
	or 14XXXX appropriation categories? (Audit #2 should print "No Operating Categories	NT/A							
16.6	Found")	N/A							
16.6	Has the agency provided the necessary standard (Record Type 5) for all activities which								
	should appear in Section II? (Note: The activities listed in Audit #3 do not have an associated output standard. In addition, the activities were not identified as a Transfer to a								
	State Agency, as Aid to Local Government, or a Payment of Pensions, Benefits and Claims.								
	Activities listed here should represent transfers/pass-throughs that are not represented by								
	those above or administrative costs that are unique to the agency and are not appropriate to								
	be allocated to all other activities.)	Yes							
16.7	Does Section I (Final Budget for Agency) and Section III (Total Budget for Agency) equal?								
	(Audit #4 should print "No Discrepancies Found")	No							
TIP	If Section I and Section III have a small difference, it may be due to rounding and therefore		•		•				
	will be acceptable.								
17. MA	NUALLY PREPARED EXHIBITS & SCHEDULES (Required to be posted to the Florid	a Fisca	l Porta	ıl)		•			
17.1	Do exhibits and schedules comply with LBR Instructions (pages 112 through 156 of the								
	LBR Instructions), and are they accurate and complete?	Yes							
17.2	Does manual exhibits tie to LAS/PBS where applicable?	Yes							
17.3	Are agency organization charts (Schedule X) provided and at the appropriate level of detail?	Vaa							
17 4	Dogs the LDD include a caparate Schedule IV D for each IT majest over \$1 william (and	Yes							
17.4	Does the LBR include a separate Schedule IV-B for each IT project over \$1 million (see page 132 of the LBR instructions for exceptions to this rule)? Have all IV-Bs been emailed								
	to: IT@LASPBS.STATE.FL.US?	N/A							
	Are all forms relating to Fixed Capital Outlay (FCO) funding requests submitted in the	1 V / F1							
	proper form, including a Truth in Bonding statement (if applicable)?	N/A							
	proper roam, mereaning a read in Donaing Statement (in applicable);		I	I	1	I			

		Program or Service (Budget Entity Codes)						
	Action	78	01	00	00	00		
AUDITS	S - GENERAL INFORMATION							
TIP	Review <i>Section 6: Audits</i> of the LBR Instructions (pages 158-160) for a list of audits and their descriptions.							
TIP	Reorganizations may cause audit errors. Agencies must indicate that these errors are due to an agency reorganization to justify the audit error.							
18. CA	PITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM (CIP) (Required to be posted to the Florida Fisca	d Porta	al)					
18.1	Are the CIP-2, CIP-3, CIP-A and CIP-B forms included?	Yes						
18.2	Are the CIP-4 and CIP-5 forms submitted when applicable (see CIP Instructions)?	Yes						
18.3	Do all CIP forms comply with CIP Instructions where applicable (see CIP Instructions)?	Yes						
18.4	Does the agency request include 5 year projections (Columns A03, A06, A07, A08 and A09)?	N/A						
18.5	Are the appropriate counties identified in the narrative?	N/A						
18.6	Has the CIP-2 form (Exhibit B) been modified to include the agency priority for each project and the modified form saved as a PDF document?	N/A						
TIP	Requests for Fixed Capital Outlay appropriations which are Grants and Aids to Local Governments and Non-Profit Organizations must use the Grants and Aids to Local Governments and Non-Profit Organizations - Fixed Capital Outlay major appropriation category (140XXX) and include the sub-title "Grants and Aids". These appropriations utilize a CIP-B form as justification.							
19. FL(ORIDA FISCAL PORTAL							
19.1	Have all files been assembled correctly and posted to the Florida Fiscal Portal as outlined in the Florida Fiscal Portal Submittal Process?	Yes						