

RICK SCOTT Governor KEN DETZNER
Secretary of State

June 19, 2013

Ken Detzner
Secretary of State
Florida Department of State
R. A. Gray Building
500 South Bronough Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0250

Dear Secretary Detzner:

I am pleased to submit for your review and approval the Office of Inspector General (OIG) Annual Work Plan. Our Work Plan was compiled based on a risk assessment performed by OIG staff and input from senior management and directors.

The activities outlined in our Work Plan address the major operations of the department and optimize the use of our resources. Members of senior management requested some of the planned activities. This Plan is dynamic, so we encourage continuous feedback and additional project suggestions. We have retained a portion of our time for special projects including those you may request.

We look forward to working with you and our fellow department employees in meeting the challenges and opportunities that face the Florida Department of State. With your approval, we will implement the OIG Annual Work Plan for fiscal year 2013-2014. Thank you for your continued support and cooperation.

Sincerely.

John L. Greene, CIA, CGAP, CGFM

Inspector General

Approved:

Ken Detzner

Secretary of State





#### OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL



# OIG Risk Assessment and Proposed 2013-2014 Work Plan



John L. Greene Inspector General

June 19, 2013

#### INTRODUCTION

We are pleased to present the results of our annual risk assessment and work plan development. The purpose of this memorandum is to share the results of our annual risk assessment and provide our proposed 2013/2014 Office of Inspector General (OIG) Work Plan

Our annual risk assessment is used to identify and manage risks – events or actions that may adversely affect the Department and the achievement of organizational objectives. These risks may be operational, financial, legal or reputational.

Each year the OIG assesses the operations of the Department with the objective of identifying areas with the highest level of risk (probability of adverse events occurring). We performed this risk assessment to: provide a basis for allocation of our resources; facilitate proactive responses by management; and comply with professional auditing standards which we are required by law to follow. We interviewed senior management and directors to solicit their views on risks facing the Department and activities where the OIG could add value. We considered the results of audits, management reviews and investigations performed during the current and prior years performed by the OIG to identify areas of potential weakness in the Department's operations. Using the results from these efforts and our professional judgment, we developed the audit work plans.

The approved work plan document will guide our work throughout the year but will be adjusted to meet management needs as other priorities are identified.

We are available to discuss the risk assessment, potential cost saving ideas and our proposed work plan topics at your convenience.

#### **RISK ASSESSMENT PROCESS**

As part of the OIG Annual Risk Assessment process, we defined the audit universe into six program components that are used for budget purposes: Division of Corporations; Division of Library and Information Services; Division of Cultural Affairs; Division of Historical Resources; Division of Elections; and Office of the Secretary/Division of Administrative Services.

We developed 15 questions to ask the Directors of the six program components. Some of the questions asked to the Directors were to identify major risks and risk impacts as well help us be aware of any fraud, waste, or abuse in the Department. We translated the risk into measurable risk factors and applied weights to these risk factors:

- Full Time Equivalent's-13%
- Budget-18%
- Prior Audit Coverage-13%
- Degree of Change or Stability-18%
- Complexity of Operations-16%
- Performance Measures-12%
- Procedures-10%

A management risk ranking spreadsheet was created to reflect the Director's identified risk and risk impacts. The OIG developed a scale (1-10) with one being the lowest risk and ten being the highest risk for the Directors and OIG to use when ranking how each of the identified major audit risk relates to their assigned risk factors. We established a score using the scale for each risk factor for each auditable unit and summed the auditable unit's individual factor scores into a unit score of total risk for each auditable unit.

We interviewed members of senior management to solicit their views on risks facing the Department and activities where the OIG could add value. The OIG provided input and considered the results of audits, management reviews and investigations performed during the current and prior years to identify areas of potential weakness in the Department's operations. Using the results from these efforts and our professional judgment we developed the audit work plan.

#### RISK RANKING RESULTS OF PROGRAM COMPONENTS

Here is the risk ranking results of the identified major audit risk by program component. The program components are presented in the order they were ranked from highest to lowest. The risk ranking score is the sum of the auditable unit's individual factor scores into a unit score of total risk for each program component.

Six Program Components	Risk Ranking Score
Division of Administrative Services	25.80
Division of Corporations	21.57
Division of Elections	21.39
Division of Cultural Affairs	19.67
Division of Historical Resources	19.56
Division of Library and Information Services	12.88

## PROPOSED 2013-2014 WORK PLAN

Both Florida Statutes and professional audit standards require the development of risk based work plans (both short and long term) which consider resource requirements. The OIG currently has 2 positions. Based on our analysis, staff members will be able to expend approximately 3200 staff hours to work plan engagements. Approximately 175 staff hours will be needed to complete ongoing projects initiated during the current fiscal year. The remaining 3025 hours will be programmed for new engagements.

The following contains our proposed work plan provided for your review. Following the table of projects planned for the 2013-2014 fiscal year, we have included a table of projects planned for succeeding fiscal years.

PROPOSED 2013-2014 WORK PLAN AND SELECTION CRITERIA		
Work Plan Project	SELECTION CRITERIA	
Enterprise Audit Plan Projects	State of Florida Inspector's General Enterprise Audit Plan	
Archaeological Conservation Vault Review	Risk Assessment Issue	
Monitoring of Corporation System Rewrite	Risk Assessment Issue	
Performance Measures – 2013-2014	Statutory Compliance -Recurring Work Plan Item	
Enterprise Background Screening Project	Carry Over from Fiscal Year 2012-2013	
On-Site Grant Reviews	Risk Assessment Issue	
Auditor General Audits/Corrective Action	Statutory Compliance -Recurring Work Plan Item	
Florida Single Audit Program Monitoring	Recurring Work Plan Item	
Total Recall System Testing	Risk Assessment Issue	
OPPAGA Corrective Action Status Reports	Statutory Compliance -Recurring Work Plan Item	
OIG Risk Assessment	Recurring OIG Work Plan Item	
Management Requests	Recurring OIG Work Plan Item	
OIG Corrective Action/Follow-up	Recurring OIG Work Plan Item	
Inventory Process Review	Management Request	
Conduct Investigations as Needed	Statutory Compliance -Recurring Work Plan Item	
Quality Assessment Review	Statutory Compliance - Recurring Work Plan Item	

POTENTIAL OUT YEAR WORK PLAN PROJECTS
Revenue-Cash Receipts
Bureau of Election Records Review
Mission San Luis-Operations
Annual Reports-Corporations
Florida Electronic Library Usage
Performance Measures
Auditor General Audits/Corrective Action
Florida Single Audit Program Monitoring
OPPAGA Corrective Action Status Reports
OIG Risk Assessment
Management Requests
Conduct Investigations as Needed

## **ATTACHMENT 1 – Addressee and Distribution List**

Ken Detzner, Secretary

Copies distributed to:

Jennifer Kennedy, Assistant Secretary of State and Chief of Staff John Boynton, Deputy Secretary for Administrative Services, Corporations and Elections

Kerri L. Post, Deputy Secretary for Cultural, Historical and Information Programs Jay Kassees, Director of Administrative Services and Acting Director, Florida Division of Corporations

Judi Ring, Director, Florida Division of Library and Information Services Sandy Shaughnessy, Director, Florida Division of Cultural Affairs Robert Bendus, Director, Florida Division of Historical Resources Maria Matthews, Director, Florida Division of Elections David Martin, Auditor General Melinda Miguel, Chief Inspector General

#### Florida Department of State Office of Inspector General

This report is reviewed by:

Mark Williams
Management Review Specialist

Approved by:

John L. Greene, CIA, CGAP, CGFM

Date

Inspector General

The mission of the department is to serve and enhance Florida's communities by preserving and promoting Florida's rich historical and cultural heritage; by supporting local library systems; by ensuring fair and accurate elections; and by issuing corporate charters and recording financial information. The Office of Inspector General conducts audits and reviews of department programs to assist the Secretary and other agency management and staff in fulfilling this mission.

Please address inquiries regarding this report to John Greene at 850-245-6195. Copies of final reports may be viewed and downloaded via the internet at: <a href="http://www.dos.state.fl.us/office/inspector-general">http://www.dos.state.fl.us/office/inspector-general</a>. Copies may also be obtained by telephone at 850-425-6198, by FAX at 850-245-6125, in person or by mail at Office of Secretary, R. A. Gray Building, 500 S. Bronough Street, Tallahasses, Florida 32399-0250.