Attestation Examination

ESCAMBIA COUNTY DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD

Florida Education Finance Program

Full-Time Equivalent Student Enrollment

and Student Transportation

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2022



Board Members and Superintendent

During the 2021-22 fiscal year, Dr. Timothy A. Smith served as Superintendent and the following individuals served as Board members:

	District No.
Kevin L. Adams, Chair from 11-16-21, Vice Chair through 11-15-21	1
Paul H. Fetsko, Vice Chair from 11-16-21	2
Dr. Laura Dortch Edler	3
Patricia D. Hightower	4
William E. Slayton Jr., Chair through 11-15-21	5

The team leader was Clayton G. Dyer and the examination was supervised by Jennifer Taylor, CPA.

Please address inquiries regarding this report to Jacqueline Bell, CPA, Audit Manager, by e-mail at iacquelinebell@aud.state.fl.us or by telephone at (850) 412-2811.

This report and other reports prepared by the Auditor General are available at:

FLAuditor.gov

Printed copies of our reports may be requested by contacting us at:

State of Florida Auditor General

Claude Pepper Building, Suite G74 · 111 West Madison Street · Tallahassee, FL 32399-1450 · (850) 412-2722

ESCAMBIA COUNTY DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
SUMMARY	i
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT STUDENT ENROLLMENT	1
SCHEDULE A – POPULATIONS, TEST SELECTION, AND TEST RESULTS	
Reported Full-Time Equivalent Student Enrollment	4
Schools and Students	4
Teachers	5
Proposed Adjustments	5
SCHEDULE B – EFFECT OF PROPOSED ADJUSTMENTS ON WEIGHTED FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT STUDENT ENROLLMENT	6
SCHEDULE C - PROPOSED ADJUSTMENTS BY SCHOOL	7
SCHEDULE D – FINDINGS AND PROPOSED ADJUSTMENTS	
Overview	9
Findings	9
SCHEDULE E - RECOMMENDATIONS AND REGULATORY CITATIONS	13
NOTES TO SCHEDULES	16
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON STUDENT TRANSPORTATION	19
SCHEDULE F - POPULATIONS, TEST SELECTION, AND TEST RESULTS	22
SCHEDULE G – FINDINGS AND PROPOSED ADJUSTMENTS	
Overview	24
Findings	24
SCHEDULE H - RECOMMENDATIONS AND REGULATORY CITATIONS	29
NOTES TO SCHEDULES	30
MANIAGEMENT'S PESDONSE	31

ESCAMBIA COUNTY DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CMW Class Minutes, Weekly

DEUSS Date Entered United States School

DIT Days in Term

DJJ Department of Juvenile Justice

DOE Department of Education

ELL English Language Learner

EP Educational Plan

ESE Exceptional Student Education

ESOL English for Speakers of Other Languages

ESY Extended School Year

FAC Florida Administrative Code

FEFP Florida Education Finance Program

FTE Full-Time Equivalent

IDEA Individuals with Disabilities Education Act

IEP Individual Educational Plan

PK Prekindergarten

SBE State Board of Education

SUMMARY OF ATTESTATION EXAMINATION

Except for the material noncompliance described below involving reporting errors or records that were not properly or accurately prepared or were not available at the time of our examination and could not be subsequently located for students in English for Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL) and student transportation, the Escambia County District School Board (District) complied, in all material respects, with State requirements relating to the classification, assignment, and verification of the full-time equivalent (FTE) student enrollment, including teacher certification, and student transportation as reported under the Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022. Specifically, we noted:

- Exceptions involving reporting errors or records that were not properly or accurately prepared or were not available at the time of our examination and could not be subsequently located for 10 of the 28 students in our ESOL test. None of the 28 students in our ESOL test attended charter schools.
- Exceptions involving the reported ridership classification or eligibility for State transportation funding for 49 of the 411 students in our student transportation test as well as exceptions for 681 students identified in our general tests.

Noncompliance related to the reported FTE student enrollment resulted in nine findings. The resulting proposed net adjustment to the District's reported, unweighted FTE totaled .0000 but has a potential impact on the District's weighted FTE of negative 6.1781 (all applicable to District schools other than charter schools). Noncompliance related to student transportation resulted in nine findings and a proposed net adjustment of negative 721 students.

The weighted adjustments to the FTE student enrollment are presented in our report for illustrative purposes only. The weighted adjustments to the FTE student enrollment do not take special program caps and allocation factors into account and are not intended to indicate the weighted FTE used to compute the dollar value of adjustments. That computation is the responsibility of the Department of Education (DOE). However, the gross dollar effect of our proposed adjustments to the FTE may be estimated by multiplying the proposed net weighted adjustments to the FTE student enrollment by the base student allocation amount. The base student allocation for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, was \$4,372.91 per FTE. For the District, the estimated gross dollar effect of our proposed adjustments to the reported FTE student enrollment is negative \$27,016 (negative 6.1781 times \$4,372.91), of which all is applicable to District schools other than charter schools.

We have not presented an estimate of the potential dollar effect of our proposed adjustments to student transportation because there is no equivalent method for making such an estimate.

The ultimate resolution of our proposed adjustments to the FTE student enrollment and student transportation and the computation of their financial impact is the responsibility of the DOE.



The District was established pursuant to Section 1001.30, Florida Statutes, to provide public educational services for the residents of Escambia County, Florida. Those services are provided primarily to prekindergarten (PK) through 12th-grade students and to adults seeking career education-type training. The District is part of the State system of public education under the general direction and control of the State Board of Education (SBE). The geographic boundaries of the District are those of Escambia County.

The governing body of the District is the District School Board that is composed of five elected members. The executive officer of the Board is the appointed Superintendent of Schools. The District had 60 schools¹ other than charter schools, 5 charter schools, 2 cost centers, and 3 virtual education cost centers serving PK through 12th-grade students.

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, State funding totaling \$161.1 million was provided through the FEFP to the District for the District-reported 38,955.25 unweighted FTE as recalibrated, which included 828.80 unweighted FTE as recalibrated for charter schools. The primary sources of funding for the District are funds from the FEFP, local ad valorem taxes, and Federal grants and donations.



FTE Student Enrollment

Florida school districts receive State funding through the FEFP to serve PK through 12th-grade students (adult education is not funded by the FEFP). The FEFP was established by the Florida Legislature in 1973 to guarantee to each student in the Florida public school system, including charter schools, the availability of programs and services appropriate to the student's educational needs that are substantially equal to those available to any similar student notwithstanding geographic differences and varying local economic factors. To provide equalization of educational opportunity in Florida, the FEFP formula recognizes: (1) varying local property tax bases, (2) varying program cost factors, (3) district cost differentials, and (4) differences in per-student costs for equivalent educational programs due to sparsity and dispersion of student population.

The funding provided by the FEFP is based on the numbers of individual students participating in particular educational programs. A numerical value is assigned to each student according to the student's hours and days of attendance in those programs. The individual student thus becomes equated to a numerical value known as an unweighted FTE student enrollment. For brick and mortar school students, one student would be reported as 1.0 FTE if the student was enrolled in six courses per day at 50 minutes per course for the full 180-day school year (i.e., six courses at 50 minutes each per day is 5 hours of class a day or 25 hours per week, which equates to 1.0 FTE). For virtual education students, one student would be reported as 1.0 FTE if the student has successfully completed six courses or credits or the prescribed level of content that counts toward promotion to the next grade. A student who completes less than six credits will be reported as a fraction of an FTE. Half-credit completions will be included in

¹ Includes the John M. McKay Scholarships for Students with Disabilities and the Family Empowerment Scholarship Programs identified with special use school numbers.

determining an FTE student enrollment. Credits completed by a student in excess of the minimum required for that student for graduation are not eligible for funding.

School districts report all FTE student enrollment regardless of the 1.0 FTE cap. The DOE combines all FTE student enrollment reported for the student by all school districts, including the Florida Virtual School. The DOE then recalibrates all reported FTE student enrollment for each student to 1.0 FTE if the total reported FTE for the student exceeds 1.0 FTE. The FTE student enrollment reported by the Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) for FTE student enrollment earned beyond the 180-day school year, FTE related to John M. McKay Scholarships for Students with Disabilities Program, and FTE reported for the Family Empowerment Scholarship Programs are not included in the recalibration to 1.0 FTE.

All FTE student enrollment is capped at 1.0 FTE except for the FTE student enrollment reported by the DJJ for students beyond the 180-day school year and FTE related to the John M. McKay Scholarships for Students with Disabilities Program and Family Empowerment Scholarship Programs. However, if a student only has FTE student enrollment reported in one FTE membership survey² of the 180-day school year (Survey 2 or Survey 3), the FTE student enrollment reported will be capped at .5000 FTE, even if FTE student enrollment is reported in Survey 1 or Survey 4, with the exception of FTE student enrollment reported by the DJJ for students beyond the 180-day school year and FTE related to John M. McKay Scholarships for Students with Disabilities Program and Family Empowerment Scholarship Programs.

Student Transportation

Any student who is transported by the District must meet one or more of the following conditions to be eligible for State transportation funding: live 2 or more miles from school, be classified as a student with a disability under Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), be a Career Education 9-12 or an Exception Student Education (ESE) student who is transported from one school center to another where appropriate programs are provided, or be on a route that meets the criteria for hazardous walking conditions specified in Section 1006.23, Florida Statutes. Additionally, Section 1002.33(20)(c), Florida Statutes, provides that the governing board of the charter school may provide transportation through an agreement or contract with the district school board, a private provider, or parents. The charter school and the sponsor shall cooperate in making arrangements that ensure that transportation is not a barrier to equal access for all students residing within a reasonable distance of the charter school as determined in its charter. The District received \$8.3 million for student transportation as part of the State funding through the FEFP.

ine direction

March 2024

Report No. 2024-150

² FTE is determined and reported during the school year by means of four FTE membership surveys that are conducted under the direction of district and school management. See Note A6. for more information on surveys.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Report No. 2024-150 March 2024



AUDITOR GENERAL STATE OF FLORIDA

Claude Denson Pepper Building, Suite G74 111 West Madison Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1450



Phone: (850) 412-2722 Fax: (850) 488-6975

The President of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the Legislative Auditing Committee

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Report on Full-Time Equivalent Student Enrollment

We have examined the Escambia County District School Board's (District's) compliance with State requirements relating to the classification, assignment, and verification of the full-time equivalent student enrollment including teacher certification reported under the Florida Education Finance Program for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022. These requirements are found primarily in Sections 1011.60, 1011.61, and 1011.62, Florida Statutes; State Board of Education Rules, Chapter 6A-1, Florida Administrative Code; and the *FTE General Instructions 2021-22* issued by the Department of Education.

Management's Responsibility for Compliance

District management is responsible for the District's compliance with the aforementioned State requirements, including the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the District's compliance with State requirements based on our examination. Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards for a direct examination engagement established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the examination to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the classification, assignment, and verification of the full-time equivalent student enrollment including teacher certification reported by the District under the Florida Education Finance Program complied with State requirements in all material respects.

An examination involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about whether the District complied with State requirements. The nature, timing, and extent of the procedures selected depend on our judgment, including an assessment of the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error.

We believe that the evidence we obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a reasonable basis for our modified opinion. Our examination does not provide a legal determination on the District's compliance with State requirements. The legal determination of the District's compliance with these requirements is the responsibility of the Department of Education.

We are required to be independent of the District and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our examination engagement.

An examination by its nature does not include a review of all records and actions of District management and staff and, as a consequence cannot be relied upon to identify all instances of noncompliance, fraud, waste, abuse, or inefficiency. Because of these limitations and the inherent limitations of internal control, an unavoidable risk exists that some material noncompliance may not be detected, even though the examination is properly planned and performed in accordance with attestation standards.

Opinion

Our examination disclosed material noncompliance with State requirements relating to the classification, assignment, and verification of full-time equivalent student enrollment as reported under the Florida Education Finance Program for students in our English for Speakers of Other Languages tests involving reporting errors or records that were not properly or accurately prepared or were not available at the time of our examination and could not be subsequently located.

In our opinion, except for the material noncompliance with State requirements described in the preceding paragraph involving reporting errors or records that were not properly or accurately prepared or were not available at the time of our examination and could not be subsequently located for students in English for Speakers of Other Languages, the Escambia County District School Board complied, in all material respects, with State requirements relating to the classification, assignment, and verification of the full-time equivalent student enrollment including teacher certification reported under the Florida Education Finance Program for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with attestation standards established by *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report all deficiencies that are considered to be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses³ in internal control; fraud and noncompliance with provisions of laws or regulations that have a material effect on the District's compliance with State requirements; and any other instances that warrant the attention of those charged with governance; noncompliance with provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and waste and abuse that has a material effect on the District's compliance with State requirements. We are also required to obtain and report the views of responsible officials concerning the findings, conclusions, and recommendations, as well as any planned corrective actions.

We performed our examination to express an opinion on the District's compliance with State requirements and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the District's related internal control over compliance

Page 2 Report No. 2024-150

March 2024

_

³ A significant deficiency is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

with State requirements; accordingly, we express no such opinion. Because of its limited purpose, our examination would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However, the material noncompliance mentioned above is indicative of significant deficiencies considered to be material weaknesses in the District's internal controls related to reporting errors or records that were not properly or accurately prepared or were not available at the time of our examination and could not be subsequently located for students in English for Speakers of Other Languages. Our examination disclosed certain findings that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and all findings, along with the views of responsible officials, are described in *SCHEDULE D* and *MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE*, respectively. The impact of this noncompliance with State requirements on the District's reported full-time equivalent student enrollment including teacher certification is presented in *SCHEDULES A, B, C,* and *D*.

The District's written response to this examination has not been subjected to our examination procedures and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of this Report

Pursuant to Section 11.45(4)(c), Florida Statutes, this report is a public record and its distribution is not limited. Attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants require us to indicate that the purpose of this report is to provide an opinion on the District's compliance with State requirements. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Respectfully submitted,

Sherrill F. Norman, CPA Tallahassee. Florida

March 6, 2024

POPULATIONS, TEST SELECTION, AND TEST RESULTS FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Reported FTE Student Enrollment

The funding provided by the FEFP is based on the numbers of individual students participating in particular educational programs. The FEFP funds ten specific programs that are grouped under four general program titles: Basic, ESOL, ESE, and Career Education 9-12. The unweighted FTE represents the FTE prior to the application of the specific cost factor for each program. (See SCHEDULE B and NOTE A3., A4., and A5.) For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, the Escambia County District School Board (District) reported to the DOE 38,955.25 unweighted FTE as recalibrated, which included 828.80 unweighted FTE as recalibrated for charter schools, at 60 District schools other than charter schools, 5 charter schools, 2 cost centers, and 3 virtual education cost centers.

Schools and Students

As part of our examination procedures, we tested the FTE student enrollment reported to the DOE for schools and students for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022. (See NOTE B.) The population of schools (70) consisted of the total number of brick and mortar schools in the District that offered courses, including charter schools, cost centers, as well as the virtual education cost centers in the District that offered virtual instruction in the FEFP-funded programs. The population of students (6,373) consisted of the total number of students in each program at the schools and cost centers in our tests. Our Career Education 9-12 student test data includes only those students who participated in on-the-job training.

We noted the following material noncompliance: exceptions involving reporting errors or records that were not properly or accurately prepared or were not available at the time of our examination and could not be subsequently located for 10 of the 28 students in our ESOL test.⁴ None of the 28 students in our ESOL test attended charter schools.

Our populations and tests of schools and students are summarized as follows:

	Number of S	chools	Number of Stu at Schools To		Students With	Recalibra <u>Unweighte</u>		Proposed
<u>Programs</u>	<u>Population</u>	<u>Test</u>	<u>Population</u>	<u>Test</u>	Exceptions	Population	<u>Test</u>	<u>Adjustments</u>
Basic	68	10	4,864	101	-	28,303.3600	74.0021	8.4262
Basic with ESE Services	69	10	1,359	69	1	8,697.1000	54.8365	.0884
ESOL	40	5	100	28	10	516.6000	14.9814	(5.9924)
ESE Support Levels 4 and 5	30	3	26	24	2	264.5300	19.9999	(2.0000)
Career Education 9-12	9	2	24	20	<u>-</u>	<u>1,173.6600</u>	5.4276	<u>(.5222</u>)
All Programs	70	10	<u>6,373</u>	<u>242</u>	<u>13</u>	38,955.2500	169.2475	.0000

⁴ For ESOL, the material noncompliance is disclosed in Findings 4, 5, and 9 on SCHEDULE D.

Teachers

We also tested teacher qualifications as part of our examination procedures. (See NOTE B.) The population of teachers (199, all of which are applicable to District schools other than charter schools) consisted of the total number of teachers at schools in our test who taught courses in ESE Support Levels 4 and 5, Career Education 9-12, or taught courses to ELL students, and of the total number of teachers reported under virtual education cost centers in our test who taught courses in Basic, Basic with ESE Services, ESE Support Levels 4 and 5, Career Education 9-12, or taught courses to ELL students. From the population of teachers, we selected 66 and found exceptions for 3 teachers. None of the 66 teachers in our test taught at charter schools.

Proposed Adjustments

Our proposed adjustments present the net effects of noncompliance disclosed by our examination procedures, including those related to our test of teacher qualifications. Our proposed adjustments generally reclassify the reported FTE to Basic education, except for noncompliance involving a student's enrollment or attendance in which case the reported FTE is taken to zero. (See SCHEDULES B, C, and D.)

The ultimate resolution of our proposed adjustments to the FTE student enrollment and the computation of their financial impact is the responsibility of the DOE.

EFFECT OF PROPOSED ADJUSTMENTS ON WEIGHTED FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT STUDENT ENROLLMENT

No. Program¹	Proposed Net <u>Adjustment</u> ²	Cost <u>Factor</u>	Weighted FTE ³
102 Basic 4-8	.1602	1.000	.1602
103 Basic 9-12	8.2660	1.010	8.3487
111 Grades 4-8 with ESE Services	2.0000	1.126	2.2520
113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services	(1.9116)	1.010	(1.9307)
130 ESOL	(5.9924)	1.199	(7.1849)
254 ESE Support Level 4	(2.0000)	3.648	(7.2960)
300 Career Education 9-12	<u>(.5222</u>)	1.010	<u>(.5274</u>)
Total	<u>.0000</u>		<u>(6.1781</u>)

¹ See Note A7.

² These proposed net adjustments are for <u>un</u>weighted FTE. (See SCHEDULE C.)

³ Weighted adjustments to the FTE are presented for illustrative purposes only. The weighted adjustments to the FTE do not take special program caps or allocation factors into consideration and are not intended to indicate the FTE used to compute the dollar value of adjustments. That computation is the responsibility of the DOE. (See NOTE A5.)

PROPOSED ADJUSTMENTS BY SCHOOL FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Proposed Adjustments¹

No. Program	<u>#0602</u>	<u>#0862</u>	<u>#1251</u>	Balance <u>Forward</u>
102 Basic 4-8				.0000
103 Basic 9-12		6.8322	1.4338	8.2660
111 Grades K-3 with ESE Services	2.0000			2.0000
113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services		(1.0000)	(.9116)	(1.9116)
130 ESOL		(5.8322)		(5.8322)
254 ESE Support Level 4	(2.0000)			(2.0000)
300 Career Education 9-12	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u>(.5222</u>)	<u>(.5222</u>)
Total	<u>.0000</u>	.0000	<u>.0000</u>	.0000

 $^{^{\}rm 1}\,$ These proposed net adjustments are for $\underline{\rm un}{\rm weighted}$ FTE. (See Note A5.)

Proposed Adjustments	<u>s</u> 1
----------------------	------------

	<u></u>	ooca majastinen	<u></u>
No. Program	Brought <u>Forward</u>	<u>#7001</u>	<u>Total</u>
102 Basic 4-8	.0000	.1602	.1602
103 Basic 9-12	8.2660		8.2660
111 Grades K-3 with ESE Services	2.0000		2.0000
113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services	(1.9116)		(1.9116)
130 ESOL	(5.8322)	(.1602)	(5.9924)
254 ESE Support Level 4	(2.0000)		(2.0000)
300 Career Education 9-12	<u>(.5222</u>)	<u></u>	<u>(.5222</u>)
Total	.0000	<u>.0000</u>	<u>.0000</u>

 $^{^{\, 1}}$ These proposed net adjustments are for <u>un</u>weighted FTE. (See Note A5.)

FINDINGS AND PROPOSED ADJUSTMENTS FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Overview

Escambia County District School Board (District) management is responsible for determining that the FTE student enrollment including teacher certification as reported under the FEFP is in compliance with State requirements. These requirements are found primarily in Sections 1011.60, 1011.61, and 1011.62, Florida Statutes; SBE Rules, Chapter 6A-1, FAC; and the *FTE General Instructions 2021-22* issued by the DOE. All noncompliance disclosed by our examination procedures is discussed below and requires management's attention and action as presented in *SCHEDULE E*.

Proposed Net Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)

Findings

Our examination included the July and October 2021 reporting survey periods and the February and June 2022 reporting survey periods. (See NOTE A6.) Unless otherwise specifically stated, the Findings and Proposed Adjustments presented herein are for the October 2021 reporting survey period, the February 2022 reporting survey period, or both. Accordingly, our Findings do not mention specific reporting survey periods unless necessary for a complete understanding of the instances of noncompliance being disclosed.

Reinhardt Holm Elementary School (#0602)

1. [Ref. 60201] Two ESE students were not reported in accordance with the students' *Matrix of Services* forms. We propose the following adjustment:

 111 Grades K-3 with ESE Services
 2.0000

 254 ESE Support Level 4
 (2.0000)

.0000

.0000

Pine Forest High School (#0862)

2. [Ref. 86201] The course schedules for all students in our test were incorrectly reported. The School's bell schedules supported 1,620 instructional minutes per week and met the minimum reporting of CMW; however, the students' course schedules were reported for 1,790 CMW. Student course schedules, which are necessary for the recalibration process to work appropriately, should reflect the correct number of CMW according to the School's bell schedules. Since most of the students were reported at only one school for the entire school year and their reported FTE was recalibrated to 1.0, this incorrect reporting did not affect their ultimate funding level. We present this disclosure finding with no proposed adjustment.

.0000

Findings

Pine Forest High School (#0862) (Continued)

3. [Ref. 86202] The EP meeting participants' signature page for one ESE student was not available at the time of our examination and could not be subsequently located. We propose the following adjustment:

103 Basic 9-12 1.0000 113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services (1.0000) .0000

- 4. [Ref. 86203] The *ELL Student Plans* (Plans) for seven ELL students were either missing and could not be located (two students) or were incomplete as the students' course schedules to accompany the Plans were not available at the time of our examination (five students). We also noted that four of the seven students had one or more of the following exceptions:
 - ELL Committees were not convened by October 1 (one student) or within 30 school days prior to the students' DEUSS anniversary dates (two students) to consider the students' continued ESOL placement beyond 3 years from the students' DEUSS.
 - The ELL Committee meeting form (one student) was not dated; consequently, we were unable to determine when the meeting was held.
 - English language proficiency (two students) was not assessed within 30 school days prior to the students' DEUSS anniversary dates to consider the students' continued ESOL placement beyond 3 years from the students' DEUSS.

We propose the following adjustment:

103 Basic 9-12 3.4593 130 ESOL (3.4593) .0000

5. [Ref. 86204] The file for one ELL student was not available at the time of our examination and could not be subsequently located. We propose the following adjustment:

 103 Basic 9-12
 .3715

 130 ESOL
 (.3715)
 .0000

6. [Ref. 86270] One teacher taught a Geometry course that included an ELL student but had earned none of the 60 in-service training points in ESOL strategies required by SBE Rule 6A-6.0907, FAC, and the teacher's in-service training timeline. We propose the following adjustment:

Findings

Pine Forest High School (#0862) (Continued)

103 Basic 9-12 130 ESOL	2.0014 (2.0014)	.0000
		.0000

West Florida High School/Technical (#1251)

7. [Ref. 125170] Our testing of teacher qualifications disclosed that one teacher did not hold a valid Florida teaching certificate. School records indicated that the teacher was hired as a substitute; however, our review of the teacher's classroom placement indicated that the teacher was not assigned to fill in for an absent teacher (i.e., in a limited temporary role), but hired to fill an open teacher vacancy providing direct instructional services to students.

Sections 1010.215(1)(c) and 1012.01(2), Florida Statutes, provide that instructional personnel consists of classroom teachers, including substitutes, and means any K-12 staff member whose functions provide direct support in the learning process of students. Classroom teachers, including substitute teachers, are staff members assigned the professional activity of instructing students in courses in classroom situations, including basic instruction, ESE, career education, and adult education. Further, Section 1012.55(1)(b), Florida Statutes, indicates that each person employed or occupying a position, such as a teacher or other position in which the employee serves in an instructional capacity, in any public school of any district of this State shall hold the certificate required by laws and SBE rules in fulfilling the requirements of the law for the type of service rendered. Such positions include personnel providing direct instruction to students through a virtual environment or through a blended virtual and physical environment.

Since the teacher was providing direct instructional services, did not hold any certification, and was not otherwise qualified to teach, we propose the following adjustment:

103 Basic 9-12	1.4338	
113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services	(.9116)	
300 Career Education 9-12	<u>(.5222</u>)	.0000

.0000

Proposed Net Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)

Findings

Escambia Virtual Instruction Program (#7001)

8. [Ref. 700170] One teacher was not properly certified and was not approved by the School Board to teach out of field. The teacher was certified in ESOL and Elementary Education but taught a course that required certification in Music. In addition, the student's parents were not notified of the teacher's out-of-field status. Since the student was reported for Basic funding only, we present this disclosure finding with no proposed adjustment.

.0000

9. [Ref. 700101] The English language proficiency for two students was not assessed, and ELL Committees were not convened by October 1 to consider the students' continued ESOL placements beyond 3 years from each student's DEUSS. In addition, *ELL Student Plans* were not available at the time of our examination and could not be subsequently located. We propose the following adjustment:

 102 Basic 4-8
 .1602

 130 ESOL
 (.1602)
 .0000

.0000

Proposed Net Adjustment ...0000

RECOMMENDATIONS AND REGULATORY CITATIONS FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT STUDENT ENROLLMENT

RECOMMENDATIONS

We recommend that Escambia County District School Board (District) management exercise more care and take corrective action, as appropriate, to ensure that: (1) ESE students are reported in accordance with the students' Matrix of Services forms that are retained in the students' files; (2) student course schedules are reported in accordance with the schools' bell schedules; (3) all required participants are involved in the development of students' EPs and IEPs and proper documentation is maintained in the students' files; (4) ELL Student Plans are timely prepared, include students' course schedules, and are retained in the students' files; (5) the English language proficiency of students being considered for placement or for continuation of their ESOL placement beyond the 3-year base period is assessed by October 1 if the students' DEUSS falls within the first 2 weeks of the school year, or within 30 school days prior to the students' DEUSS anniversary dates, and ELL Committees are timely convened subsequent to these assessments; (6) student files are properly maintained and are readily available; (7) teachers. including substitute teachers serving in a role consistent with that of a classroom teacher as provided by Florida Statutes and SBE rules, are properly certified, or if not properly certified, are approved by the School Board to teach out of field, and the students' parents are notified of the teacher's out-of-field placement; and (8) teachers earn in-service training points required by SBE Rules 6A-1.0503 and 6A-6.0907, FAC, and in accordance with the teachers' in-service training timelines.

The absence of statements in this report regarding practices and procedures followed by the District should not be construed as acceptance, approval, or endorsement of those practices and procedures. Additionally, the specific nature of this report does not limit or lessen the District's obligation to comply with all State requirements relating to the classification, assignment, and verification of the FTE student enrollment including teacher certification as reported under the FEFP.

REGULATORY CITATIONS

Reporting

Section 1007.271(21), Florida Statutes, Dual Enrollment Programs

Section 1011.60, Florida Statutes, Minimum Requirements of the Florida Education Finance Program

Section 1011.61, Florida Statutes, Definitions

Section 1011.62, Florida Statutes, Funds for Operation of Schools

SBE Rule 6A-1.0451, FAC, Florida Education Finance Program Student Membership Surveys

SBE Rule 6A-1.045111, FAC, Hourly Equivalent to 180-Day School Year

FTE General Instructions 2021-22

Attendance

Section 1003.23, Florida Statutes, *Attendance Records and Reports* SBE Rule 6A-1.044(3) and (6)(c), FAC, *Pupil Attendance Records*

FTE General Instructions 2021-22

Comprehensive Management Information System: Automated Student Attendance Recordkeeping System Handbook

ESOL

Section 1003.56, Florida Statutes, *English Language Instruction for Limited English Proficient Students* Section 1011.62(1)(q), Florida Statutes, *Education for Speakers of Other Languages*

SBE Rule 6A-6.0901, FAC, Definitions Which Apply to Programs for English Language Learners

SBE Rule 6A-6.0902, FAC, Requirements for Identification, Eligibility, and Programmatic Assessments of English Language Learners

SBE Rule 6A-6.09021, FAC, Annual English Language Proficiency Assessment for English Language Learners (ELLs)

SBE Rule 6A-6.09022, FAC, Extension of Services in English for Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL) Program

SBE Rule 6A-6.0903, FAC, Requirements for Exiting English Language Learners from the English for Speakers of Other Languages Program

SBE Rule 6A-6.09031, FAC, Post Reclassification of English Language Learners (ELLs)

SBE Rule 6A-6.0904, FAC, Equal Access to Appropriate Instruction for English Language Learners

Career Education On-The-Job Attendance

SBE Rule 6A-1.044(6)(c), FAC, Pupil Attendance Records

Career Education On-The-Job Funding Hours

FTE General Instructions 2021-22

Exceptional Education

Section 1003.57, Florida Statutes, Exceptional Students Instruction

Section 1011.62, Florida Statutes, Funds for Operation of Schools

Section 1011.62(1)(e), Florida Statutes, Funding Model for Exceptional Student Education Programs

SBE Rule 6A-6.03028, FAC, Provision of Free Appropriate Public Education (FAPE) and Development of Individual Educational Plans for Students with Disabilities

SBE Rule 6A-6.03029, FAC, Development of Individualized Family Support Plans for Children with Disabilities Ages Birth Through Five Years

SBE Rule 6A-6.0331, FAC, General Education Intervention Procedures, Evaluation, Determination of Eligibility, Reevaluation and the Provision of Exceptional Student Education Services

SBE Rule 6A-6.0334, FAC, Individual Educational Plans (IEPs) and Educational Plans (EPs) for Transferring Exceptional Students

SBE Rule 6A-6.03411, FAC, Definitions, ESE Policies and Procedures, and ESE Administrators

SBE Rule 6A-6.0361, FAC, Contractual Agreements with Nonpublic Schools and Residential Facilities Matrix of Services Handbook (2017 Edition)

Teacher Certification

Section 1010.215(1)(c), Florida Statutes, Educational Funding Accountability

Section 1012.01(2)(a), Florida Statutes, Definitions, Classroom Teachers

Section 1012.42(2), Florida Statutes, Teacher Teaching Out-of-Field; Notification Requirements

Section 1012.55, Florida Statutes, Positions for Which Certificates Required

Section 1012.56, Florida Statutes, Educator Certification Requirements

SBE Rule 6A-1.0502, FAC, Non-certificated Instructional Personnel

SBE Rule 6A-1.0503, FAC, Definition of Qualified Instructional Personnel

SBE Rule 6A-4.001, FAC, Instructional Personnel Certification

SBE Rule 6A-4.0021, FAC, Florida Teacher Certification Examinations

SBE Rule 6A-6.0907, FAC, Inservice Requirements for Personnel of Limited English Proficient Students

Virtual Education

Section 1002.321, Florida Statutes, Digital Learning

Section 1002.37, Florida Statutes, The Florida Virtual School

Section 1002.45, Florida Statutes, Virtual Instruction Programs

Section 1002.455, Florida Statutes, Student Eligibility for K-12 Virtual Instruction

Section 1003.498, Florida Statutes, School District Virtual Course Offerings

Charter Schools

Section 1002.33, Florida Statutes, Charter Schools

NOTE A – SUMMARY FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT STUDENT ENROLLMENT

A summary discussion of the significant features of the Escambia County District School Board (District), the FEFP, the FTE, and related areas is provided below.

1. The District

The District was established pursuant to Section 1001.30, Florida Statutes, to provide public educational services for the residents of Escambia County, Florida. Those services are provided primarily to PK through 12th-grade students and to adults seeking career education-type training. The District is part of the State system of public education under the general direction and control of the SBE. The geographic boundaries of the District are those of Escambia County.

The governing body of the District is the District School Board that is composed of five elected members. The executive officer of the Board is the appointed Superintendent of Schools. The District had 60 schools other than charter schools, 5 charter schools, 2 cost centers, and 3 virtual education cost centers serving PK through 12th-grade students.

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, State funding totaling \$161.1 million was provided through the FEFP to the District for the District-reported 38,955.25 unweighted FTE as recalibrated, which included 828.80 unweighted FTE as recalibrated for charter schools. The primary sources of funding for the District are funds from the FEFP, local ad valorem taxes, and Federal grants and donations.

2. FEFP

Florida school districts receive State funding through the FEFP to serve PK through 12th-grade students (adult education is not funded by the FEFP). The FEFP was established by the Florida Legislature in 1973 to guarantee to each student in the Florida public school system, including charter schools, the availability of programs and services appropriate to the student's educational needs that are substantially equal to those available to any similar student notwithstanding geographic differences and varying local economic factors. To provide equalization of educational opportunity in Florida, the FEFP formula recognizes: (1) varying local property tax bases, (2) varying program cost factors, (3) district cost differentials, and (4) differences in per-student cost for equivalent educational programs due to sparsity and dispersion of student population.

3. FTE Student Enrollment

The funding provided by the FEFP is based on the numbers of individual students participating in particular educational programs. A numerical value is assigned to each student according to the student's hours and days of attendance in those programs. The individual student thus becomes equated to a numerical value known as an unweighted FTE student enrollment. For example, for PK through 3rd-grade, 1.0 FTE is defined as one student in membership in a program or a group of programs for 20 hours per week for 180 days; for grade levels 4 through 12, 1.0 FTE is defined as one student in membership in a program or a group of programs for 25 hours per week for 180 days. For brick and

Report No. 2024-150 March 2024 mortar school students, one student would be reported as 1.0 FTE if the student was enrolled in six courses per day at 50 minutes per course for the full 180-day school year (i.e., six courses at 50 minutes each per day is 5 hours of class a day or 25 hours per week, which equates to 1.0 FTE). For virtual education students, one student would be reported as 1.0 FTE if the student has successfully completed six courses or credits or the prescribed level of content that counts toward promotion to the next grade. A student who completes less than six credits will be reported as a fraction of an FTE. Half-credit completions will be included in determining an FTE student enrollment. Credits completed by a student in excess of the minimum required for that student for graduation are not eligible for funding.

4. Recalibration of FTE to 1.0

School districts report all FTE student enrollment regardless of the 1.0 FTE cap. The DOE combines all FTE student enrollment reported for the student by all school districts, including the Florida Virtual School. The DOE then recalibrates all reported FTE student enrollment for each student to 1.0 FTE if the total reported FTE for the student exceeds 1.0 FTE. The FTE student enrollment reported by the DJJ for FTE student enrollment earned beyond the 180-day school year, FTE related to John M. McKay Scholarships for Students with Disabilities Program, and FTE reported for the Family Empowerment Scholarship Programs are not included in the recalibration to 1.0 FTE.

All FTE student enrollment is capped at 1.0 FTE except for the FTE student enrollment reported by the DJJ for students beyond the 180-day school year and FTE related to the John M. McKay Scholarships for Students with Disabilities Program and Family Empowerment Scholarship Programs. However, if a student only has FTE student enrollment reported in one FTE membership survey of the 180-day school year (Survey 2 or Survey 3), the FTE student enrollment reported will be capped at .5000 FTE, even if FTE student enrollment is reported in Survey 1 or Survey 4, with the exception of FTE student enrollment reported by the DJJ for students beyond the 180-day school year and FTE related to John M. McKay Scholarships for Students with Disabilities Program and Family Empowerment Scholarship Programs.

5. Calculation of FEFP Funds

The amount of State and local FEFP funds is calculated by the DOE by multiplying the number of unweighted FTE in each educational program by the specific cost factor of each program to obtain weighted FTEs. Weighted FTEs are multiplied by the base student allocation amount and that product is multiplied by the appropriate cost differential factor. Various adjustments are then added to obtain the total State and local FEFP dollars. All cost factors, the base student allocation amount, cost differential factors, and various adjustment figures are established by the Florida Legislature.

6. FTE Reporting Surveys

The FTE is determined and reported during the school year by means of four FTE membership surveys that are conducted under the direction of district and school management. Each survey is a determination of the FTE membership for a period of 1 week. The surveys for the 2021-22 school year were conducted during and for the following weeks at the applicable schools: Survey 1 was performed July 12 through 16, 2021; Survey 2 was performed October 11 through 15, 2021; Survey 3 was performed February 7 through 11, 2022; and Survey 4 was performed June 13 through 17, 2022.

7. Educational Programs

The FEFP funds ten specific programs under which instruction may be provided as authorized by the Florida Legislature. The general program titles under which these specific programs fall are: (1) Basic, (2) ESOL, (3) ESE, and (4) Career Education 9-12.

8. Statutes and Rules

The following statutes and rules are of significance to the administration of Florida public education:

Chapter 1000, Florida Statutes, Early Learning-20 General Provisions

Chapter 1001, Florida Statutes, Early Learning-20 Governance

Chapter 1002, Florida Statutes, Student and Parental Rights and Educational Choices

Chapter 1003, Florida Statutes, Public K-12 Education

Chapter 1006, Florida Statutes, Support for Learning

Chapter 1007, Florida Statutes, Articulation and Access

Chapter 1010, Florida Statutes, Financial Matters

Chapter 1011, Florida Statutes, Planning and Budgeting

Chapter 1012, Florida Statutes, Personnel

SBE Rules, Chapter 6A-1, FAC, Finance and Administration

SBE Rules, Chapter 6A-4, FAC, Certification

SBE Rules, Chapter 6A-6, FAC, Special Programs I

NOTE B – TESTING FTE STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Our examination procedures for testing provided for the selection of schools, students, and teachers using judgmental methods for testing the FTE student enrollment including teacher certification as reported under the FEFP to the DOE for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022. Our testing process was designed to facilitate the performance of appropriate examination procedures to test the District's compliance with State requirements relating to the classification, assignment, and verification of the FTE student enrollment including teacher certification as reported under the FEFP. The following schools were selected for testing:

Eindings

	<u>School</u>	<u>Findings</u>
1.	Jim Allen Elementary School	NA
2.	Bratt Elementary School	NA
3.	Reinhardt Holm Elementary School	1
4.	Pine Forest High School	2 through 6
5.	West Florida High School/Technical	7
6.	PACE Program	NA
7.	Beulah Academy of Science*	NA
8.	Escambia Virtual Instruction Program	8 and 9
9.	Escambia Virtual Academy Franchise	NA
10.	Escambia Virtual Instruction (Course Offerings)	NA

^{*} Charter School

Sahaal



AUDITOR GENERAL STATE OF FLORIDA



Phone: (850) 412-2722 Fax: (850) 488-6975

Claude Denson Pepper Building, Suite G74 111 West Madison Street Tallahassee. Florida 32399-1450

The President of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the Legislative Auditing Committee

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Report on Student Transportation

We have examined the Escambia County District School Board's (District's) compliance with State requirements relating to the classification, assignment, and verification of student transportation as reported under the Florida Education Finance Program for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022. These requirements are found primarily in Chapter 1006, Part I, E. and Section 1011.68, Florida Statutes; State Board of Education Rules, Chapter 6A-3, Florida Administrative Code; and the *FTE General Instructions* 2021-22 (Appendix G) issued by the Department of Education.

Management's Responsibility for Compliance

District management is responsible for the District's compliance with the aforementioned State requirements, including the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the District's compliance with State requirements based on our examination. Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards for a direct examination engagement established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the examination to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the classification, assignment, and verification of student transportation reported by the District under the Florida Education Finance Program complied with State requirements in all material respects.

An examination involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about whether the District complied with State requirements. The nature, timing, and extent of the procedures selected depend on our judgment, including an assessment of the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error.

We believe that the evidence we obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a reasonable basis for our modified opinion. Our examination does not provide a legal determination on the District's compliance with State requirements. The legal determination of the District's compliance with these requirements is, however, ultimately the responsibility of the Department of Education.

We are required to be independent of the District and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our examination engagement.

An examination by its nature does not include a review of all records and actions of District management and staff and, as a consequence cannot be relied upon to identify all instances of noncompliance, fraud, waste, abuse, or inefficiency. Because of these limitations and the inherent limitations of internal control, an unavoidable risk exists that some material noncompliance may not be detected, even though the examination is properly planned and performed in accordance with attestation standards.

Opinion

Our examination disclosed material noncompliance with State requirements relating to the classification, assignment, and verification of student transportation as reported under the Florida Education Finance Program involving the students' reported ridership classification or eligibility for State transportation funding.

In our opinion, except for the material noncompliance with State requirements described in the preceding paragraph involving the students' reported ridership classification or eligibility for State transportation funding, the Escambia County District School Board complied, in all material respects, with State requirements relating to the classification, assignment, and verification of student transportation as reported under the Florida Education Finance Program for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with attestation standards established by Government Auditing Standards, we are required to report all deficiencies that are considered to be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses⁵ in internal control; fraud and noncompliance with provisions of laws or regulations that have a material effect on the District's compliance with State requirements; and any other instances that warrant the attention of those charged with governance; noncompliance with provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and waste and abuse that has a material effect on the District's compliance with State requirements. We are also required to obtain and report the views of responsible officials concerning the findings, conclusions, and recommendations, as well as any planned corrective actions.

We performed our examination to express an opinion on the District's compliance with State requirements and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the District's related internal control over compliance with State requirements; accordingly, we express no such opinion. Because of its limited purpose, our examination would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However, the material noncompliance mentioned

⁵ A significant deficiency is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

above is indicative of significant deficiencies considered to be material weaknesses in the District's internal controls related to students' reported ridership classification or eligibility for State transportation funding. Our examination disclosed certain findings that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and all findings, along with the views of responsible officials, are described in *SCHEDULE G* and *MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE*, respectively. The impact of this noncompliance with State requirements on the District's reported student transportation is presented in *SCHEDULES F* and *G*.

The District's written response to this examination has not been subjected to our examination procedures and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of this Report

Pursuant to Section 11.45(4)(c), Florida Statutes, this report is a public record and its distribution is not limited. Attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants require us to indicate that the purpose of this report is to provide an opinion on the District's compliance with State requirements. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Respectfully submitted,

Sherrill F. Norman, CPA Tallahassee, Florida

March 6, 2024

POPULATIONS, TEST SELECTION, AND TEST RESULTS STUDENT TRANSPORTATION

Any student who is transported by the Escambia County District School Board (District) must meet one or more of the following conditions to be eligible for State transportation funding: live 2 or more miles from school, be classified as a student with a disability under IDEA, be a Career Education 9-12 or an ESE student who is transported from one school center to another where appropriate programs are provided, or be on a route that meets the criteria for hazardous walking conditions specified in Section 1006.23(2), Florida Statutes. (See NOTE A1.)

As part of our examination procedures, we tested student transportation as reported to the DOE for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022. (See NOTE B.) The population of vehicles (500) consisted of the total number of vehicles (buses, vans, or passenger cars) reported by the District for all reporting survey periods. For example, a vehicle that transported students during the July and October 2021 and February and June 2022 reporting survey periods would be counted in the population as four vehicles. Similarly, the population of students (30,814) consisted of the total number of funded students reported by the District as having been transported for all reporting survey periods. (See NOTE A2.) The District reported students in the following ridership categories:

Ridership Category	Number of Funded Students <u>Transported</u>
Teenage Parents and Infants	2
Hazardous Walking	3,097
IDEA – PK through Grade 12, Weighted	1,470
All Other FEFP Eligible Students	<u>26,245</u>
Total	<u>30,814</u>

Students with exceptions are students with exceptions affecting their ridership category. Students cited only for incorrect reporting of DIT, if any, are not included in our error-rate determination.

We noted the following material noncompliance: exceptions involving the reported ridership classification or eligibility for State transportation funding for 49 of 411 students in our student transportation test.⁶

_

⁶ For student transportation, the material noncompliance is composed of Findings 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, and 9 on SCHEDULE G.

Our examination results are summarized below:

	Students	
<u>Description</u>	With Exceptions	Proposed Net Adjustment
Our tests included 411 of the 30,814 students reported as being transported by the District.	49	(40)
In conjunction with our general tests of student transportation we identified certain issues related to 681 additional students.	<u>681</u>	<u>(681</u>)
Totals	<u>730</u>	<u>(721</u>)

Our proposed net adjustment presents the net effect of noncompliance disclosed by our examination procedures. (See *SCHEDULE G*.)

The ultimate resolution of our proposed net adjustment and the computation of its financial impact is the responsibility of the DOE.

FINDINGS AND PROPOSED ADJUSTMENTS STUDENT TRANSPORTATION

Overview

Escambia County District School Board (District) management is responsible for determining that student transportation as reported under the FEFP is in compliance with State requirements. These requirements are found primarily in Chapter 1006, Part I, E. and Section 1011.68, Florida Statutes; SBE Rules, Chapter 6A-3, FAC; and the *FTE General Instructions 2021-22 (Appendix G)* issued by the DOE. All noncompliance disclosed by our examination procedures is discussed below and requires management's attention and action as presented in *SCHEDULE H*.

Students Transported Proposed Net Adjustments

Findings

Our examination procedures included both general tests and detailed tests. Our general tests included inquiries concerning the District's transportation of students and verification that a bus driver's report existed for each bus reported in a survey period. Our detailed tests involved verification of the specific ridership categories reported for students in our tests from the July and October 2021 reporting survey periods and the February and June 2022 reporting survey periods. Adjusted students who were in more than one reporting survey period are accounted for by reporting survey period. For example, a student included in our tests twice (e.g., once for the October 2021 reporting survey period and once for the February 2022 reporting survey period) will be presented in our Findings as two test students.

1. [Ref. 51] Our general tests disclosed that 60 students were reported for an incorrect number of DIT. Specifically, 12 students were reported for 14 or 79 DIT rather than 84 or 92 DIT in the October 2021 and February 2022 reporting survey periods, and 48 students enrolled in a non-residential DJJ program were reported for 20, 26, or 92 DIT rather than 26 or 25 DIT in the July 2021 and June 2022 reporting survey periods. We propose the following adjustments:

July 2021 Survey

26 Days in Term
All Other FFFP Fligible

All Other FEFP Eligible Students 20

20 Days in Term

All Other FEFP Eligible Students (20)

October 2021 Survey

84 Days in Term

Hazardous Walking 3
All Other FEFP Eligible Students 4

Page 24 Report No. 2024-150

March 2024

79 Days in Term Hazardous Walking All Other FEFP Eligible Students 14 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (2) February 2022 Survey 92 Days in Term Hazardous Walking All Other FEFP Eligible Students 2 79 Days in Term Hazardous Walking All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 14 Days in Term Hazardous Walking All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 14 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 14 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 25 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 26 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (27) 0 2. [Ref. 52] Our general tests disclosed that 27 students (15 in our test) were incorrectly reported in the June 2022 reporting survey period. The District did not provide evidence to support that the students were IDEA students enrolled in an ESY Program or were students enrolled in a nonresidential DJJ Program. We propose the following adjustments: June 2022 Survey 18 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (21) 92 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (22)	<u>Findings</u>		Students Transported Proposed Net Adjustments		
Hazardous Walking All Other FEFP Eligible Students 21 14 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (2) February 2022 Survey 92 Days in Term Hazardous Walking All Other FEFP Eligible Students 2 79 Days in Term Hazardous Walking All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 14 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) June 2022 Survey 92 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) June 2022 Survey 92 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 25 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (27) 0 2. [Ref. 52] Our general tests disclosed that 27 students (15 in our test) were incorrectly reported in the June 2022 reporting survey period. The District did not provide evidence to support that the students were IDEA students enrolled in an ESY Program or were students enrolled in a nonresidential DJJ Program. We propose the following adjustments: June 2022 Survey 18 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (21) 92 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (21)			7 tajastiiiciits		
All Other FEFP Eligible Students (2) 14 Days in Term		4-1			
All Other FEFP Eligible Students (2) February 2022 Survey 92 Days in Term Hazardous Walking 3 All Other FEFP Eligible Students 2 79 Days in Term Hazardous Walking (3) All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 14 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) June 2022 Survey 92 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 25 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 26 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (2) 27 (27) 28 (27) 29 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (2) 20 (27) 21 (27) 22 (27) 23 (27) 24 (27) 25 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (27) All Other FEFP Eligible Students (27) All Other FEFP Eligible Students (27) 29 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students were IDEA students (15 in our test) were incorrectly reported in the June 2022 reporting survey period. The District did not provide evidence to support that the students were IDEA students enrolled in an ESY Program or were students enrolled in a nonresidential DJJ Program. We propose the following adjustments: June 2022 Survey 18 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (21)					
February 2022 Survey 92 Days in Term Hazardous Walking All Other FEFP Eligible Students 79 Days in Term Hazardous Walking All Other FEFP Eligible Students 2 79 Days in Term Hazardous Walking All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 14 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) June 2022 Survey 92 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 25 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (27) 0 2. [Ref. 52] Our general tests disclosed that 27 students (15 in our test) were incorrectly reported in the June 2022 reporting survey period. The District did not provide evidence to support that the students were IDEA students enrolled in an ESY Program or were students enrolled in a nonresidential DJJ Program. We propose the following adjustments: June 2022 Survey 18 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (21) 92 Days in Term	All Other FEFP Eligible Students	(2)			
February 2022 Survey 92 Days in Term Hazardous Walking All Other FEFP Eligible Students 2 79 Days in Term Hazardous Walking All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 14 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) June 2022 Survey 92 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 25 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 26 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (27) 27 Defended the June 2022 reporting survey period. The District did not provide evidence to support that the students were IDEA students enrolled in an ESY Program or were students enrolled in a nonresidential DJJ Program. We propose the following adjustments: June 2022 Survey 18 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (21) 92 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (21)	14 Days in Term				
92 Days in Term Hazardous Walking All Other FEFP Eligible Students 2 79 Days in Term Hazardous Walking (3) All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 14 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) June 2022 Survey 92 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 25 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 26 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (27) 0 2. [Ref. 52] Our general tests disclosed that 27 students (15 in our test) were incorrectly reported in the June 2022 reporting survey period. The District did not provide evidence to support that the students were IDEA students enrolled in an ESY Program or were students enrolled in a nonresidential DJJ Program. We propose the following adjustments: June 2022 Survey 18 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (21)	All Other FEFP Eligible Students	(2)			
Hazardous Walking All Other FEFP Eligible Students 79 Days in Term Hazardous Walking All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 14 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) June 2022 Survey 92 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 25 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 26 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (27) 0 2. [Ref. 52] Our general tests disclosed that 27 students (15 in our test) were incorrectly reported in the June 2022 reporting survey period. The District did not provide evidence to support that the students were IDEA students enrolled in an ESY Program or were students enrolled in a nonresidential DJJ Program. We propose the following adjustments: June 2022 Survey 18 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (21)	February 2022 Survey				
All Other FEFP Eligible Students 2 79 Days in Term Hazardous Walking (3) All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 14 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) June 2022 Survey 92 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 25 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 26 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (27) 27 [Ref. 52] Our general tests disclosed that 27 students (15 in our test) were incorrectly reported in the June 2022 reporting survey period. The District did not provide evidence to support that the students were IDEA students enrolled in an ESY Program or were students enrolled in a nonresidential DJJ Program. We propose the following adjustments: June 2022 Survey 18 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (21) 92 Days in Term	92 Days in Term				
79 Days in Term Hazardous Walking All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 14 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) June 2022 Survey 92 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 25 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (27) 0 2. [Ref. 52] Our general tests disclosed that 27 students (15 in our test) were incorrectly reported in the June 2022 reporting survey period. The District did not provide evidence to support that the students were IDEA students enrolled in an ESY Program or were students enrolled in a nonresidential DJJ Program. We propose the following adjustments: June 2022 Survey 18 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (21) 92 Days in Term	Hazardous Walking	3			
Hazardous Walking All Other FEFP Eligible Students 14 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) June 2022 Survey 92 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 25 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students 26 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students 26 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (27) 2 [Ref. 52] Our general tests disclosed that 27 students (15 in our test) were incorrectly reported in the June 2022 reporting survey period. The District did not provide evidence to support that the students were IDEA students enrolled in an ESY Program or were students enrolled in a nonresidential DJJ Program. We propose the following adjustments: June 2022 Survey 18 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (21)	All Other FEFP Eligible Students	2			
Hazardous Walking All Other FEFP Eligible Students 14 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) June 2022 Survey 92 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 25 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students 26 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students 26 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (27) 2 [Ref. 52] Our general tests disclosed that 27 students (15 in our test) were incorrectly reported in the June 2022 reporting survey period. The District did not provide evidence to support that the students were IDEA students enrolled in an ESY Program or were students enrolled in a nonresidential DJJ Program. We propose the following adjustments: June 2022 Survey 18 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (21)	79 Days in Term				
All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 14 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) June 2022 Survey 92 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 25 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students 28 26 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (27) 0 2. [Ref. 52] Our general tests disclosed that 27 students (15 in our test) were incorrectly reported in the June 2022 reporting survey period. The District did not provide evidence to support that the students were IDEA students enrolled in an ESY Program or were students enrolled in a nonresidential DJJ Program. We propose the following adjustments: June 2022 Survey 18 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (21) 92 Days in Term		(3)			
All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) June 2022 Survey 92 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 25 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students 28 26 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (27) 0 2. [Ref. 52] Our general tests disclosed that 27 students (15 in our test) were incorrectly reported in the June 2022 reporting survey period. The District did not provide evidence to support that the students were IDEA students enrolled in an ESY Program or were students enrolled in a nonresidential DJJ Program. We propose the following adjustments: June 2022 Survey 18 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (21) 92 Days in Term					
All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) June 2022 Survey 92 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 25 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students 28 26 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (27) 0 2. [Ref. 52] Our general tests disclosed that 27 students (15 in our test) were incorrectly reported in the June 2022 reporting survey period. The District did not provide evidence to support that the students were IDEA students enrolled in an ESY Program or were students enrolled in a nonresidential DJJ Program. We propose the following adjustments: June 2022 Survey 18 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (21) 92 Days in Term	14 Days in Term				
92 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 25 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students 28 26 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (27) 0 2. [Ref. 52] Our general tests disclosed that 27 students (15 in our test) were incorrectly reported in the June 2022 reporting survey period. The District did not provide evidence to support that the students were IDEA students enrolled in an ESY Program or were students enrolled in a nonresidential DJJ Program. We propose the following adjustments: June 2022 Survey 18 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (21)		(1)			
92 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1) 25 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students 28 26 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (27) 0 2. [Ref. 52] Our general tests disclosed that 27 students (15 in our test) were incorrectly reported in the June 2022 reporting survey period. The District did not provide evidence to support that the students were IDEA students enrolled in an ESY Program or were students enrolled in a nonresidential DJJ Program. We propose the following adjustments: June 2022 Survey 18 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (21)	June 2022 Survey				
All Other FEFP Eligible Students 25 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students 28 26 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students 27 Students 28 28 29 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students 29 Our general tests disclosed that 27 students (15 in our test) were incorrectly reported in the June 2022 reporting survey period. The District did not provide evidence to support that the students were IDEA students enrolled in an ESY Program or were students enrolled in a nonresidential DJJ Program. We propose the following adjustments: June 2022 Survey 18 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (21)	•				
All Other FEFP Eligible Students 28 26 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students 2. [Ref. 52] Our general tests disclosed that 27 students (15 in our test) were incorrectly reported in the June 2022 reporting survey period. The District did not provide evidence to support that the students were IDEA students enrolled in an ESY Program or were students enrolled in a nonresidential DJJ Program. We propose the following adjustments: June 2022 Survey 18 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (21)		(1)			
All Other FEFP Eligible Students 28 26 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students 2. [Ref. 52] Our general tests disclosed that 27 students (15 in our test) were incorrectly reported in the June 2022 reporting survey period. The District did not provide evidence to support that the students were IDEA students enrolled in an ESY Program or were students enrolled in a nonresidential DJJ Program. We propose the following adjustments: June 2022 Survey 18 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (21)	25 Days in Term				
26 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students 2. [Ref. 52] Our general tests disclosed that 27 students (15 in our test) were incorrectly reported in the June 2022 reporting survey period. The District did not provide evidence to support that the students were IDEA students enrolled in an ESY Program or were students enrolled in a nonresidential DJJ Program. We propose the following adjustments: June 2022 Survey 18 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (21)		28			
All Other FEFP Eligible Students 2. [Ref. 52] Our general tests disclosed that 27 students (15 in our test) were incorrectly reported in the June 2022 reporting survey period. The District did not provide evidence to support that the students were IDEA students enrolled in an ESY Program or were students enrolled in a nonresidential DJJ Program. We propose the following adjustments: June 2022 Survey 18 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (21)	All other FETT Eligible Students	20			
2. [Ref. 52] Our general tests disclosed that 27 students (15 in our test) were incorrectly reported in the June 2022 reporting survey period. The District did not provide evidence to support that the students were IDEA students enrolled in an ESY Program or were students enrolled in a nonresidential DJJ Program. We propose the following adjustments: June 2022 Survey 18 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (21)		(27)	0		
incorrectly reported in the June 2022 reporting survey period. The District did not provide evidence to support that the students were IDEA students enrolled in an ESY Program or were students enrolled in a nonresidential DJJ Program. We propose the following adjustments: June 2022 Survey 18 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (21)	All Other FEFF Eligible Students	<u>(27</u>)	U		
evidence to support that the students were IDEA students enrolled in an ESY Program or were students enrolled in a nonresidential DJJ Program. We propose the following adjustments: June 2022 Survey 18 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (21)	2. [Ref. 52] Our general tests disclosed that 27 students	(15 in our test) were			
were students enrolled in a nonresidential DJJ Program. We propose the following adjustments: June 2022 Survey 18 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (21) 92 Days in Term	incorrectly reported in the June 2022 reporting survey period. The District did not provide				
adjustments: June 2022 Survey 18 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (21) 92 Days in Term	evidence to support that the students were IDEA students enrolled in an ESY Program or				
June 2022 Survey 18 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (21) 92 Days in Term	were students enrolled in a nonresidential DJJ Program. We propose the following				
18 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students (21) 92 Days in Term	adjustments:				
All Other FEFP Eligible Students (21) 92 Days in Term	•				
92 Days in Term					
	All Other FEFP Eligible Students	(21)			
	92 Days in Term				
	All Other FEFP Eligible Students	<u>(6</u>)	(27)		

Findings

3. [Ref. 53] Our general tests disclosed three students (two in our test) were either not marked as riding the bus (one student) or not listed on the supporting bus driver's report (two students). We propose the following adjustments:

October 2021 Survey

84 Days in TermAll Other FEFP Eligible Students(1)IDEA - PK through Grade 12, Weighted(1)

February 2022 Survey

92 Days in Term

All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1)

4. [Ref. 54] Our general review of transportation records evidenced that the ridership of 672 students (5 in our test) was not properly supported for State transportation funding. We noted that the bus drivers' reports for 23 buses were not appropriately signed or dated by the bus drivers attesting to the accuracy of the ridership reported on those buses and routes. Additionally, 1 of the test students was incorrectly reported in the Hazardous Walking ridership category due to a data entry error and was not otherwise eligible for State transportation funding. We propose the following adjustments:

October 2021 Survey

84 Days in Term(14)Hazardous Walking(268)

February 2022 Survey

92 Days in Term(14)Hazardous Walking(14)IDEA - PK through Grade 12, Weighted(4)All Other FEFP Eligible Students(372)

5. [Ref. 56] Our general review of the reported ridership of students transported on local city buses disclosed that one student was incorrectly reported in the All Other FEFP Eligible Students ridership category. The student lived less than 2 miles from the student's assigned school and was not otherwise eligible for State transportation funding. We propose the following adjustment:

February 2022 Survey

92 Days in Term

All Other FEFP Eligible Students (1)

(672)

Students Transported Proposed Net Adjustments

Findings

6. [Ref. 57] Four students in our test were incorrectly reported in the IDEA - PK through Grade 12, Weighted ridership category. The IEP for the students did not indicate that the students met at least one of the five criteria required for reporting in a weighted ridership category. Three students lived 2 miles or more from the students' assigned schools and were eligible to be reported in the All Other FEFP Eligible Students ridership category. The remaining student was not otherwise eligible to be reported for State transportation funding. We propose the following adjustment:

October 2021 Survey

84 Days in Term

IDEA – PK through Grade 12, Weighted
All Other FEFP Eligible Students

(4) 3 (1)

7. [Ref. 58] Ten students in our test were incorrectly reported in the IDEA – PK through Grade 12, Weighted ridership category. The IEPs for six students were not available at the time of our examination and could not be subsequently located. The IEPs for two students did not include the pages detailing the eligibility criteria for reporting students in a weighted ridership category, and the IEPs for two students in the June 2022 reporting survey period did not authorize ESY services. We noted that six students lived more than 2 miles from the students' assigned schools and, as such, were eligible to be reported in the All Other FEFP Eligible Students ridership category. The remaining students were not otherwise eligible to be reported for State transportation funding. We propose the following adjustments:

October 2021 Survey

84 Days in Term

IDEA – PK through Grade 12, Weighted

All Other FEFP Eligible Students

2

February 2022 Survey

92 Days in Term

IDEA – PK through Grade 12, Weighted

All Other FEFP Eligible Students

4

June 2022 Survey

14 Days in Term

IDEA – PK through Grade 12, Weighted

(4)

June 2022 Survey

(4)

(5)

8. [Ref. 59] The IEPs for five students in our test did not document the need for ESY services; consequently, the students were not eligible for State transportation funding during the June 2022 reporting survey period. We propose the following adjustment:

<u>Findings</u>	Students Transported Proposed Net Adjustments
June 2022 Survey	
18 Days in Term IDEA - PK through Grade 12, Weighted (5)	(5)
9. [Ref. 60] Eight students in our test were incorrectly reported in the Hazardou	IS
Walking ridership category. The students were not otherwise eligible to be reported for	or
State transportation funding:	
October 2021 Survey	
84 Days in Term	
Hazardous Walking (6)	
February 2022 Survey	
92 Days in Term	(0)
Hazardous Walking (2)	<u>(8</u>)
Proposed Net Adjustment	<u>(721</u>)

RECOMMENDATIONS AND REGULATORY CITATIONS STUDENT TRANSPORTATION

RECOMMENDATIONS

We recommend that Escambia County District School Board (District) management exercise more care and take corrective action, as appropriate, to ensure that: (1) the number of DIT are accurately reported; (2) only ESE students whose IEPs authorize ESY services or students who are enrolled in a non-residential DJJ program are reported for State transportation funding during a summer reporting survey period; (3) only those students who are recorded on bus drivers' reports as having been transported to an FEFP eligible program on at least 1 day during the 11 day window of the reporting survey period are reported for State transportation funding; (4) all bus drivers' reports documenting student ridership during the reporting survey periods are accurately prepared and timely signed and dated by the bus drivers attesting to the validity of the students' ridership; (5) the distance from home to school is verified prior to students being reported in the All Other FEFP Eligible Students ridership category based on living 2 miles or more from their assigned schools; (6) students who are reported in the IDEA - PK through Grade 12, Weighted ridership category meet one of the five criteria required for weighted classification, have documentation to support the reported weighted classification as indicated on each student's IEP, and the IEPs are maintained in readily accessible files; (7) only ESE students requiring ESY services as noted on the students' IEPs that also specify a need for transportation as a related service are reported for State transportation funding during the summer reporting survey periods; and (8) only students whose path from home to the student's assigned school crosses an approved hazardous walking location and live less than 2 miles from the student's assigned school are reported in the Hazardous Walking ridership category.

The absence of statements in this report regarding practices and procedures followed by the District should not be construed as acceptance, approval, or endorsement of those practices and procedures. Additionally, the specific nature of this report does not limit or lessen the District's obligation to comply with all State requirements relating to the classification, assignment, and verification of student transportation as reported under the FEFP.

REGULATORY CITATIONS

Section 1002.33, Florida Statutes, *Charter Schools*Chapter 1006, Part I, E., Florida Statutes, *Transportation of Public K-12 Students*Section 1011.68, Florida Statutes, *Funds for Student Transportation*SBE Rules, Chapter 6A-3, FAC, *Transportation FTE General Instructions 2021-22 (Appendix G)*

NOTE A - SUMMARY STUDENT TRANSPORTATION

A summary discussion of the significant features of the Escambia County District School Board (District) student transportation and related areas is provided below.

1. Student Eligibility

Any student who is transported by the District must meet one or more of the following conditions to be eligible for State transportation funding: live 2 or more miles from school, be classified as a student with a disability under IDEA, be a Career Education 9-12 or an ESE student who is transported from one school center to another where appropriate programs are provided, or be on a route that meets the criteria for hazardous walking conditions specified in Section 1006.23(2), Florida Statutes.

2. Transportation in Escambia County

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, the District received \$8.3 million for student transportation as part of the State funding through the FEFP. The District's student transportation reported by survey period was as follows:

Survey Period	Number of Vehicles	Number of Funded Students	Number of Courtesy Riders
July 2021	-	20	-
October 2021	202	15,539	3,273
February 2022	203	15,146	3,144
June 2022	<u>95</u>	<u>109</u>	<u>2,435</u>
Totals	<u>500</u>	<u>30,814</u>	<u>8,852</u>

3. Statutes and Rules

The following statutes and rules are of significance to the District's administration of student transportation:

Section 1002.33, Florida Statutes, *Charter Schools*Chapter 1006, Part I, E., Florida Statutes, *Transportation of Public K-12 Students*Section 1011.68, Florida Statutes, *Funds for Student Transportation*SBE Rules, Chapter 6A-3, FAC, *Transportation*



Our examination procedures for testing provided for the selection of students using judgmental methods for testing student transportation as reported to the DOE for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022. Our testing process was designed to facilitate the performance of appropriate examination procedures to test the District's compliance with State requirements relating to the classification, assignment, and verification of student transportation as reported under the FEFP.



THE SCHOOL DISTRICT OF ESCAMBIA COUNTY

75 NORTH PACE BOULEVARD PENSACOLA, FL 32505 PH (850)432-6121 FX (850)469-6379

http://escambiaschools.org

KEITH LEONARD, SUPERINTENDENT

March 4, 2024

Ms. Sherrill F. Norman, CPA Auditor General Claude Denson Pepper Building, Suite G74 111 West Madison Street Tallahassee. Florida 32399-1450

Re: Preliminary and Tentative Report
Florida Education Finance Program
Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students and Student Transportation
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2022

Dear Ms. Norman:

Our review of the Preliminary and Tentative Draft Report of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students and Student Transportation is complete and the findings and recommendations have been noted and researched by appropriate District personnel. Please accept this management response as an indication of the Escambia County School District's effort to take the recommended steps for improving our recordkeeping and reporting procedures.

Full-Time Equivalent Student Enrollment

In accordance with the recommendations of the Auditor General, the District will continue to improve its efforts to:

- Report ESE students properly and in accordance with Matrix of Services forms.
- Ensure that students' course schedules are reported in accordance with appropriate bell schedules.
- Ensure that all required participants take part in development of students' EPs and IEPs and that the schools maintain proper documentation of the results.
- Prepare and maintain ELL Student Plans and course schedules.
- Improve the recordkeeping, monitoring, and compliance of the ESOL program and its requirements including timely ELL Committee meetings.
- · Properly maintain student files.
- Ensure that teachers and substitutes are properly certified or, if approved by the School Board to teach out-of-field courses, that parents are properly notified.
- Ensure teachers earn in-service training points according to SBE rules and FAC in accordance with teachers' in-service training timelines.

In addition to the above, the District will provide consistent training to appropriate staff, including school principals and certain support staff, on the FTE survey process consistent with the requirements of the FTE General Instructions. Also, various program administrators will work with District level staff to improve the understanding of FTE reporting and recordkeeping requirements relating to each area of program expertise.

Affirmative action / equal opportunity employer

Student Transportation

In accordance with the recommendations of the Auditor General, the District will continue to improve its efforts to:

- Accurately report the number of days in term.
- Properly report and serve students who have IEPs requiring transportation services.
- Ensure that only FEFP eligible students are reported for State transportation funding.
- Ensure the accuracy of the reported number of school buses in operation.
- Accurately maintain bus driver student ridership reports during the eleven day survey window and assure that such reports are properly signed and dated.
- Determine that student ridership categories are properly applied and that the distance from home to school is verified for transporting students living two miles or more from their assigned school.
- Accurately report and document the hazardous walking condition for which students are reported.

We appreciate the opportunity to respond to these findings. Please contact the District if you require further clarification on any issues.

Sincerely,

Keith Leonard

Kenth Fam

KL/TSC/dh

Affirmative action / equal opportunity employer