

# Long-Range Program Plan FY 2008-09 through 2012-13

Justice Administrative Commission

September 30, 2007

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Agency Mission
Agency Goals, Objectives, Service Outcomes with Performance Projection Tables
Linkage to Governor's Priorities
Trends and Conditions Statement
Exhibit II – Performance Measures and Standards7
Exhibit III – Performance Measure Assessment
Exhibit IV – Performance Measure Validity and Reliability
Exhibit V – Identification of Associated Activity Contributing to Performance Measures
Exhibit VI – Agency Level Unit Cost Summary

# Justice Administrative Commission

MISSION STATEMENT

# **Provide Superior Services**

The Justice Administrative Commission administratively serves the offices of State Attorneys, Public Defenders, Capital Collateral Regional Counsels, Criminal Conflict and Civil Regional Counsels, and the Statewide Guardian Ad Litem Program; and provides compliance and financial review of the court appointed attorney due process costs.

# **Goals, Objectives, Service Outcomes with Projection Tables**

# Justice Administrative Commission

**Goal 1:** Provide quality administrative services.

**Objective 1:** To have zero material and/or substantial audit findings.

### PRIMARY SERVICE OUTCOME:

Outcome: Number of material and/or substantial audit findings.

Baseline/ Year 2001-02	FY 2008-09	FY 2009-10	FY 2010-11	FY 2011-12	FY 2012-13
0	0	0	0	0	0

**Objective 2:** Increase efficiency through automation.

Outcome: Number of new databases created and accounting transaction processes.

Baseline/ Year 2001-02	FY 2008-09	FY 2009-10	FY 2010-11	FY 2011-12	FY 2012-13
21	2	0	0	0	0

# **Justice Administrative Commission**

# **Executive Office of the Governor Linkage to Governor's Priorities**

#### HOW DO YOUR AGENCY GOALS LINK TO THE GOVERNOR'S SIX PRIORITIES?

#### <u>#1 – Safety First:</u>

- **#2 Strengthening Florida's Families:**
- **#3 Keeping Florida's Economy Vibrant:**
- <u>#4 Success for Every Student:</u>
- <u>#5 Keeping Floridian's Healthy:</u>
- <u>#6 Protecting Florida's Natural Resources.</u>

#### <u>#7 – Better Government through Technology.</u>

Goal 1: Provide quality administrative services.

- **Objective 1:** To have zero material and/or substantial audit findings.
- **Objective 2:** Increase efficiency through automation.

#### TRENDS AND CONDITIONS STATEMENT

#### **Justice Administrative Commission**

#### Agency's Primary Responsibilities and Statutory Authority

Pursuant to Chapter 43.16, Florida Statutes, the Justice Administrative Commission's (JAC) duties shall include, but not be limited to the following: maintenance of a central state office for administrative services and assistance when possible to and on behalf of the State Attorneys and Public Defenders of Florida, the Offices of the Capital Collateral Regional Counsels, Criminal Conflict and Civil Regional Counsels, and the Statewide Guardian Ad Litem Program.

Additionally, the Justice Administrative Commission is further charged with the responsibility of providing compliance and financial review of the court appointed counsel due process costs.

The JAC priorities were determined after consulting with our clients (State Attorneys, Public Defenders, Capital Collateral Regional Counsels and the Guardian Ad Litem), and related legislative actions. Over the next five years, the JAC will continue to review its priorities with our stakeholders and make modifications as necessary.

Through proper training, the JAC strives to maintain employees who are highly skilled, motivated, quality minded, productive and professional in order to better serve our customers. In addition, we keep error rates at a minimum as reported by the Auditor General.

# LRPP Exhibit II - Performance Measures and Standards

Department: Justice Administration	Department No.: 21
Program: Justice Administrative Commission	Code: 21.30.00.00
Service/Budget Entity: Executive Direction/Support Services	Code: 21.30.08.00

#### NOTE: Approved primary service outcomes must be listed first.

Approved Performance Measures for FY 2007-08 (Words)	Approved Prior Year Standard FY 2006-07 (Numbers)	Actual Prior Year Standards FY 2006-07 (Numbers)	Approved Standards for FY 2007-08 (Numbers)	Requested Standards for FY 2008-09 (Numbers)
Number of material/substantial audit findings related to areas of direct JAC responsibility to its customers	0	0	0	0
Percent of invoices processed within statutory time frames	99.85%	92.99%	99.85%	95.00%
Number of budget amendments processed and agency transfers processed	273	254	273	250
Number of accounting transactions (FLAIR) processed	350,000	451,690	350,000	450,000
Number of financial reports produced	8,448	8,431	8,448	8,448
Number of human resource reports prepared	344	528	344	528
Number of transaction codes posted in People First	52,600	40,552	52,600	41,000
Number of JAC staff users directly supported	111	111	111	111
Number of JAC computer devices directly supported	131	132	131	132

Number of IRM reports provided to the State Technology Office	1	0	1	0
Number of public records requests	38	170	38	170
Number of court appointed contracts executed	3,000	2,258	3,000	2,300
Number of appointments on cases monitored for compliance	N/A	76,653	80,507	15,000
Number of cases where registry lawyers request fees above the statutory caps	N/A	45	N/A	45
Number of cases where the court orders fees above the statutory cap	N/A	19	N/A	19
Total amount of excess fees awarded by the court per circuit	N/A	\$552,585	N/A	\$552,585
Number of registry lawyers removed from registry for seeking excess fees in violation of s. 27.7002(7) F.S.	N/A	0	N/A	0

LRPP Exhibit III:	PERFORMANCE MEASURE ASSESSMENT
-------------------	--------------------------------

Department: Justice Administration Program: Justice Administrative Commission Service/Budget Entity: Executive Direction/Support Services Measure: Number of Accounting Transactions

#### Action:

Performance Assessment of <u>Outcome</u> Measure

Performance Assessment of <u>Output</u> Measure
 Adjustment of GAA Performance Standards

Revision of Measure
Deletion of Measure

Staff Capacity

Level of Training

**Technological Problems** 

Natural Disaster

Other (Identify)

Other (Identify)

Approved StandardActual Performance<br/>ResultsDifference<br/>(Over/Under)Percentage<br/>Difference350,000451,690101,69029.05%

#### **Factors Accounting for the Difference:**

**Internal Factors** (check all that apply):

Personnel Factors

Competing Priorities

Previous Estimate Incorrect

#### **Explanation:**

This measure is based upon the number of invoice received and lines of accounting data entered into FLAIR.

External Factors	(check all	that apply):	

Resources Unavailable

Legal/Legislative Change

Target Population Change

\_\_\_\_\_. This Program/Service Cannot Fix the Problem

Current Laws Are Working Against the Agency Mission

# Explanation:

Dependent upon the number of payment requests received.

Management Efforts to Address Differences/Problems (check all that apply):

	Training	Technology
	Personnel	$\boxtimes$ Other (Identify)
-	<b>1</b> / <b>1</b>	

#### **Recommendations:**

We previously requested the measure be changed to agency transactions only. This represents lines of accounting data entered by the Agency into FLAIR. We request the measure to be set at 450,000 transactions.

LRPP Exhibit III: PERFORMANCE MEASURE ASSESSMENT					
Department: Justice Administration Program: Justice Administrative Commission Service/Budget Entity: Executive Direction/Support Services Measure: Number of Budget Amendments Processed					
Action:       □       Performance Assessment of Outcome Measure       □       Revision of Measure         □       Performance Assessment of Output Measure       □       Deletion of Measure         □       Adjustment of GAA Performance Standards       □       Deletion of Measure					
Approved Standard	Actual Performance Results	Difference (Over/Under)	Percentage Difference		
273	254	(19)	-7.0%		
Internal Factors (check all that apply):       Staff Capacity         Personnel Factors       Level of Training         Competing Priorities       Other (Identify)         Previous Estimate Incorrect       Other (Identify)         Explanation: This measure is based upon the number of amendments or transfers logged into the budget section and transmitted to the Governor's Office. It is difficult to predict due to uncertainty of fiscal stability.					
External Factors (check all that apply):         Resources Unavailable       Technological Problems         Legal/Legislative Change       Natural Disaster         Target Population Change       Other (Identify)         This Program/Service Cannot Fix the Problem         Current Laws Are Working Against the Agency Mission         Explanation: Dependent upon client agencies to initiate transactions.					
Management Efforts to Address Differences/Problems (check all that apply):         Training       Technology         Personnel       Other (Identify)         Recommendations:       Change measure to reflect most recent data. We request the measure be set at 250 budget amendments.					

LRPP Exhibit III: PERFORMANCE MEASURE ASSESSMENT					
Department: Justice Administration Program: Justice Administrative Commission Service/Budget Entity: Executive Direction/Support Services Measure: Percent of invoices processed within statutory timeframe					
Action:       Performance Assessment of Outcome Measure       Revision of Measure         Performance Assessment of Output Measure       Deletion of Measure         Adjustment of GAA Performance Standards       Deletion of Measure					
Approved Standard	Actual Performance Results	Difference (Over/Under)	Percentage Difference		
99.85%	92.99%	-6.86%	-6.86%		
Factors AccountingInternal Factors (chPersonnel FactorsCompeting PrioriPrevious EstimateExplanation:	eck all that apply): s ties	<ul> <li>Staff Capac</li> <li>Level of Tra</li> <li>Other (Iden</li> </ul>	aining		
External Factors (check all that apply): <ul> <li>Resources Unavailable</li> <li>Legal/Legislative Change</li> <li>Natural Disaster</li> <li>Target Population Change</li> <li>Other (Identify)</li> <li>This Program/Service Cannot Fix the Problem</li> <li>Current Laws Are Working Against the Agency Mission</li> </ul> <li>Explanation:         <ul> <li>This measure is based upon the 40 day compliance report generated by the DFS.</li> <li>Compliance has been impacted by the volume and complexity of due process invoices, and delays in processing due to budget constraints.</li> </ul> </li>					
Management Efforts to Address Differences/Problems (check all that apply):         Training       Technology         Personnel       Other (Identify)         Recommendations:       Change measure to account for this factor. We request the measure be set at 95.00%.         Office of Policy and Budget – July 2007					

Г

٦

LRPP Exhibit	III: PERFORMA	NCE MEASURE A	ASSESSMENT				
Department: Justice Administration Program: Justice Administrative Commission Service/Budget Entity: Executive Direction/Support Services Measure: Number of employee and position transactions (COPES) processed by type							
Performance Ass Adjustment of G.	essment of <u>Outcome</u> M essment of <u>Output</u> Mea AA Performance Standa	sure Dele ards	ision of Measure etion of Measure				
Approved Standard	Actual Performance Results	Difference (Over/Under)	Percentage Difference				
52,600	40,552	-12,048	-22.9%				
External Factors (ch Resources Unava Legal/Legislative Target Population This Program/Ser Current Laws Art Explanation: Depend	eck all that apply): s ities e Incorrect nsition from COPES to neck all that apply): ilable change n Change rvice Cannot Fix The Pr e Working Against The dent upon the client age	Technologi Natural Dis Other (Iden Agency Mission encies to initiate transact	aining tify) cal Problems aster tify) tions.				
<ul> <li>Training</li> <li>Personnel</li> <li>Recommendations:</li> </ul>	This measure was char	es/Problems (check all Technology Other (Iden nged to: Number of tran We request the measure	tify) saction codes				

LRPP Exhibit	<b>III: PERFORMA</b>	NCE MEASURE A	ASSESSMENT
Service/Budget Enti	ce Administration dministrative Commis ty: Executive Directio of computer devices di	n/Support Services	
Performance Ass	essment of <u>Outcome</u> Me essment of <u>Output</u> Meas AA Performance Standa	sure 🗍 Dele	ision of Measure etion of Measure
Approved Standard	Actual Performance Results	Difference (Over/Under)	Percentage Difference
131	132	-1	7%
External Factors (ch Resources Unava Legal/Legislative Target Population This Program/Set	eck all that apply): s ties e Incorrect g change for FY 2007-0 leck all that apply): ilable c Change	Technologia Natural Dis Other (Iden	aining tify) cal Problems aster
<ul> <li>Training</li> <li>Personnel</li> <li>Recommendations:</li> <li>The standard for this</li> </ul>	s to Address Difference measure was changed to request the measure be July 2007	Technology Other (Iden o 131 for FY 2006-07 to	tify)

LRPP Exhibit	<b>III: PERFORMA</b>	NCE MEASURE A	ASSESSMENT				
Program: Justice A Service/Budget Enti							
Performance Ass	essment of <u>Outcome</u> M essment of <u>Output</u> Mea AA Performance Standa	sure 🛛 Dele	ision of Measure etion of Measure				
Approved Standard	Actual Performance Results	Difference (Over/Under)	Percentage Difference				
1	0	-1	-100%				
Internal Factors (ch Personnel Factor Competing Prior Previous Estimat Explanation:	s	<ul> <li>Staff Capac</li> <li>Level of Training</li> <li>Other (Iden</li> </ul>	aining				
Current Laws Ar <b>Explanation:</b>	ilable change n Change rvice Cannot Fix the Pro- e Working Against the ger required to submit t	☐ Natural Dis ⊠ Other (Iden oblem	tify)				
Management Effort Training Personnel Recommendations: Office of Policy and Budget –		es/Problems (check all Technology Other (Iden	11 07				

LRPP Exhibit	LRPP Exhibit III: PERFORMANCE MEASURE ASSESSMENT					
Department: Justice Administration Program: Justice Administrative Commission Service/Budget Entity: Executive Direction/Support Services Measure: Number of court appointed contracts executed Action:						
Performance Ass	essment of <u>Outcome</u> M essment of <u>Output</u> Mea AA Performance Standa	sure 🗍 Dele	ision of Measure etion of Measure			
Approved Standard	Actual Performance Results	Difference (Over/Under)	Percentage Difference			
3,000	2,258	-742	-24.73%			
Internal Factors (ch Personnel Factors Competing Priori Previous Estimate Explanation:	ties	<ul> <li>Staff Capac</li> <li>Level of Tra</li> <li>Other (Iden</li> </ul>	aining			
Current Laws Are <b>Explanation</b> :	ilable Change	☐ Natural Dis ☑ Other (Iden oblem Agency Mission				
<ul> <li>Training</li> <li>Personnel</li> <li>Recommendations:</li> </ul>	ccount for the decrease	es/Problems (check all Technology Other (Iden in contracts executed. V	tify)			

LRPP Exhibit	<b>III: PERFORMA</b>	NCE MEASURE A	ASSESSMENT
Service/Budget Enti Measure: Number Action: Performance Ass Performance Ass	ce Administration dministrative Commis ty: Executive Direction of public records requine essment of <u>Outcome</u> M essment of <u>Output</u> Mea AA Performance Standa	on/Support Services ests easure Rev sure Dele	ision of Measure etion of Measure
Approved Standard	Actual Performance Results	Difference (Over/Under)	Percentage Difference
38	170	132	347.37%
Internal Factors (ch ☐ Personnel Factor ☐ Competing Prior ⊠ Previous Estimat Explanation:	s	<ul> <li>Staff Capac</li> <li>Level of Tra</li> <li>Other (Iden</li> </ul>	aining
Current Laws Ar <b>Explanation:</b>	ilable Change	☐ Natural Dis ☑ Other (Iden oblem Agency Mission	
<ul> <li>Training</li> <li>Personnel</li> <li>Recommendations:</li> </ul>	ccount for the increase	ees/Problems (check all Technology Other (Iden in numbers of requests.	tify)

LRPP Exhibit III: PERFORMANCE MEASURE ASSESSMENT         Department: Justice Administration         Program: Justice Administrative Commission         Service/Budget Entity: Executive Direction/Support Services         Measure: Number of appointments on cases monitored for compliance         Action:         Performance Assessment of Outcome Measure         Performance Assessment of Output Measure         Deletion of Measure						
	AA Performance Standa					
Approved Standard	Actual Performance Results	Difference (Over/Under)	Percentage Difference			
80,507	76,653	-3,854	-4.79%			
Current Laws Ar <b>Explanation:</b>	eck all that apply): s ties e Incorrect neck all that apply): ilable e Change	Natural Disa	aining tify) cal Problems aster			
<ul> <li>Training</li> <li>Personnel</li> <li>Recommendations:</li> <li>Change measure due</li> <li>establishment of the of</li> </ul>	to the implementation of Criminal Conflict and C	es/Problems (check all Technology Other (Ident of SB 1088 in FY 2007-( ivil Regional Councils e request the measure be	tify) 08. The will reduce the			

Г

Department: Justice Administration Program: Justice Administrative Commission Service/Budget Entity: Executive Direction/Support Services Measure: Number of material/substantial audit findings related to areas of direct JAC responsibility to its customers

Action (check one):

Requesting revision to approved performance measure.

Change in data sources or measurement methodologies.

] Requesting new measure.

Backup for performance measure not previously approved or for which validity, reliability and/or methodology information has not been provided.

#### **Data Sources and Methodology:**

The measure includes material/substantial audit findings.

# Validity:

Audit findings are prepared external to this agency by the Auditor General's Office.

# **Reliability:**

Accuracy is dependent upon the audit conducted.

Department: Justice Administration Program: Justice Administrative Commission Service/Budget Entity: Executive Direction/Support Services Measure: Number of accounting transactions (FLAIR) processed

Action (check one):

Requesting revision to approved performance measure.

Change in data sources or measurement methodologies.

Requesting new measure.

Backup for performance measure not previously approved or for which validity, reliability and/or methodology information has not been provided.

#### Data Sources and Methodology:

The measure historically included the automated side of all FLAIR transactions. The methodology was changed to only count agency generated units. Revise the standard to 450,000 transactions, based on the most recent data collected.

# Validity:

These reports are considered state standard and programmed by the FLAIR systems technology group to access data stored in database tables and displayed for use by the requesting agency.

# **Reliability:**

The accuracy of the computer programs and the availability of the FLAIR system has been proven to be reliable.

Department: Justice Administration

Program: Justice Administrative Commission Service/Budget Entity: Executive Direction/Support Services Measure: Number of budget amendments processed and agency transfers processed

Action (check one):

Requesting revision to approved performance measure.

Change in data sources or measurement methodologies.

Requesting new measure.

Backup for performance measure not previously approved or for which validity, reliability and/or methodology information has not been provided.

#### **Data Sources and Methodology:**

Budget amendments and agency transfers are manually logged in and counted. The measure is dependent upon client agencies initiation. Revision of standard to 250 is requested to reflect the most recent data.

# Validity:

Copies of logged documents are maintained in the Budget Division and the initiating agency.

# **Reliability:**

The log books and log spreadsheets have been a source of accurate data in the past and should continue to yield reliable results.

Department: Justice Administration Program: Justice Administrative Commission Service/Budget Entity: Executive Direction/Support Services Measure: Number of invoices processed within statutory timeframe

Action (check one):

Requesting revision to approved performance measure.

Change in data sources or measurement methodologies.

] Requesting new measure.

Backup for performance measure not previously approved or for which validity, reliability and/or methodology information has not been provided.

#### Data Sources and Methodology:

Data source is a FLAIR generated compliance report. Achievement of this standard has been impacted by the volume of due process invoices and unavoidable delays in payment processing due to budget constraints. Revision of standard to 95.00% is requested to consider factors which are beyond our control.

# Validity:

This is a report produced by the FLAIR systems technology group which provides compliance percentages by agency.

# **Reliability:**

The accuracy of the computer programs and the availability of the FLAIR system have proven to be reliable.

Department: Justice Administration Program: Justice Administrative Commission Service/Budget Entity: Executive Direction/Support Services Measure: Number of financial reports produced

Action (check one):

Requesting revision to approved performance measure.

Change in data sources or measurement methodologies.

Requesting new measure.

Backup for performance measure not previously approved or for which validity, reliability and/or methodology information has not been provided.

#### **Data Sources and Methodology:**

FLAIR Trial Balance, Schedule of Allotment Balance, Transaction Detail, State CFO Reports, Legislative Budget Requests, Due Process Monthly and Quarterly Reports, and Rate Reports. Standard was revised to 8,448 for FY 2006-07. No change is requested.

# Validity:

A copy of reports produced is maintained in the Accounting Section.

# **Reliability:**

These records have been a source of accurate data in the past and should continue to yield reliable results.

LRPP EXHIBIT IV: Performance Measure Validity and Reliability
Department: Justice Administration Program: Justice Administrative Commission Service/Budget Entity: Executive Direction/Support Services Measure: Number of human resources reports produced
Action (check one):
<ul> <li>Requesting revision to approved performance measure.</li> <li>Change in data sources or measurement methodologies.</li> <li>Requesting new measure.</li> <li>Backup for performance measure not previously approved or for which validity, reliability and/or methodology information has not been provided.</li> </ul>
<b>Data Sources and Methodology:</b> This measure includes all Unemployment and Quarterly Casualty Reports generated. Revision of standard to 528 is requested to reflect the most recent data.
Validity: Copies of reports are maintained in the Human Resources Section.
<b>Reliability:</b> The records have been a source of accurate data in the past and should continue to yield reliable results.

Department: Justice Administration Program: Justice Administrative Commission Service/Budget Entity: Executive Direction/Support Services Measure: Number of transaction codes processed in People First

Action (check one):

Requesting revision to approved performance measure.

Change in data sources or measurement methodologies.

Requesting new measure.

Backup for performance measure not previously approved or for which validity, reliability and/or methodology information has not been provided.

#### **Data Sources and Methodology:**

The data source is People First and the methodology is the count of transactions codes entered. Achievement of this standard has been impacted by the volume of uploaded transactions. Revision of standard to 41,000 is requested to reflect payroll and position transactions entered by staff into People First.

#### Validity:

These reports are produced from database tables stored in People First and stored for use by the requesting agency.

# **Reliability:**

The reports have been a source of accurate data in the past and should continue to yield reliable results.

Department: Justice Administration Program: Justice Administrative Commission Service/Budget Entity: Executive Direction/Support Services Measure: Number of JAC staff users directly supported

Action (check one):

Requesting revision to approved performance measure.

Change in data sources or measurement methodologies.

Requesting new measure.

Backup for performance measure not previously approved or for which validity, reliability and/or methodology information has not been provided.

#### **Data Sources and Methodology:**

The measure is based on staff capacity. No change to standard of 111.

#### Validity:

Number is validated by authorized number of FTEs maintained by the Human Resources Section.

# **Reliability:**

The electronic records have been a source of accurate data in the past and should continue to yield reliable results.

Department: Justice Administration Program: Justice Administrative Commission Service/Budget Entity: Executive Direction/Support Services Measure: Number of JAC computer devices directly supported

Action (check one):

Requesting revision to approved performance measure.

Change in data sources or measurement methodologies.

] Requesting new measure.

Backup for performance measure not previously approved or for which validity, reliability and/or methodology information has not been provided.

#### **Data Sources and Methodology:**

Number of computers is electronically recorded. Revision of standard to 132 is requested to reflect the most current inventory of equipment.

#### Validity:

Computer devices are automatically recorded in agency inventory by property manager.

# **Reliability:**

The electronic records have been a source of accurate data in the past and should continue to yield reliable results.

LRPP EXHIBIT IV:	Performance	Measure	Validity an	d Reliability
------------------	-------------	---------	-------------	---------------

Department: Justice Administration

Program: Justice Administrative Commission

Service/Budget Entity: Executive Direction/Support Services

Measure: Number of IRM reports provided to the State Technology Office

Action (check one):

Requesting revision to approved performance measure.

Change in data sources or measurement methodologies.

Requesting new measure.

Backup for performance measure not previously approved or for which validity, reliability and/or methodology information has not been provided.

#### **Data Sources and Methodology:**

The JAC is no longer submits IRM reports to the State Technology Office. Request the measure be deleted.

Validity:

N/A

**Reliability:** 

N/A

Department: Justice Administration Program: Justice Administrative Commission Service/Budget Entity: Executive Direction/Support Services Measure: Number of public records requests

Action (check one):

Requesting revision to approved performance measure.

Change in data sources or measurement methodologies.

Requesting new measure.

Backup for performance measure not previously approved or for which validity, reliability and/or methodology information has not been provided.

#### **Data Sources and Methodology:**

The measure is dependent upon external sources. Requests are manually logged and counted. Request standard be changed to 170.

# Validity:

Copies of logged requests are maintained in a file.

# **Reliability:**

The log books have been a source of accurate data in the past and should continue to yield reliable results.

Department: Justice Administration Program: Justice Administrative Commission Service/Budget Entity: Executive Direction/Support Services Measure: Number of court appointed contracts executed

Action (check one):

Requesting revision to approved performance measure.

Change in data sources or measurement methodologies.

Requesting new measure.

Backup for performance measure not previously approved or for which validity, reliability and/or methodology information has not been provided.

#### **Data Sources and Methodology:**

Source is conflict attorney database. Revision of the standard to 2,300 is requested to reflect the most recent data.

#### Validity:

All attorney contracts are tracked in a database maintained by the JAC.

# **Reliability:**

The contract database is updated frequently with data on new appointments and contract changes.

Department: Justice Administration Program: Justice Administrative Commission Service/Budget Entity: Executive Direction/Support Services

Measure: Number of appointments on cases monitored for compliance

Action (check one):

Requesting revision to approved performance measure.

Change in data sources or measurement methodologies.

] Requesting new measure.

Backup for performance measure not previously approved or for which validity, reliability and/or methodology information has not been provided.

#### **Data Sources and Methodology:**

Source is conflict attorney data base. Due to the implementation of SB 1088, the creation of the Criminal Conflict and Civil Regional Counsels in FY 2007-2008 will reduce the number of private attorney appointments. Revision of standard to 15,000 is requested to reflect this implementation.

#### Validity:

The JAC maintains a database, CAATS, to track all court appointed counsel cases. Data relating to appointments and payment requests are tracked in this system by case and fiscal year.

# **Reliability:**

Payments requests are entered in to CAATS as part of the payment process.

LRPP EXHIBIT IV	: Performance N	Measure Validity	and Reliability
-----------------	-----------------	------------------	-----------------

**Department: Justice Administration** 

**Program:** Justice Administrative Commission

Service/Budget Entity: Executive Direction/Support Services

Measure: Number of cases where registry lawyers request fees above the statutory caps

Action (check one):

Requesting revision to approved performance measure.

Change in data sources or measurement methodologies.

Requesting new measure.

Backup for performance measure not previously approved or for which validity, reliability and/or methodology information has not been provided.

# Data Sources and Methodology:

Source is database maintained by the Legal Section. There were 45 requests for fees in excess of the statutory cap in FY 2006-07. Request standard be set at 45.

# Validity:

A database tracks all payments in excess of statutory caps.

# **Reliability:**

All registry attorney fees are tracked by the Legal Section..

**Department: Justice Administration** 

Program: Justice Administrative Commission

Service/Budget Entity: Executive Direction/Support Services

Measure: Number of cases where the court orders fees above the statutory cap

Action (check one):

Requesting revision to approved performance measure.

Change in data sources or measurement methodologies.

Requesting new measure.

Backup for performance measure not previously approved or for which validity, reliability and/or methodology information has not been provided.

#### **Data Sources and Methodology:**

Source is database maintained by the Legal Section. There were 19 cases where the court ordered fees above the cap. Request standard be set at 19.

# Validity:

A database tracks all payments in excess of statutory caps.

# **Reliability:**

All payments, including excess fee requests are entered into the database as part of the payment process.

**Department: Justice Administration** 

Program: Justice Administrative Commission

Service/Budget Entity: Executive Direction/Support Services

Measure: Total amount of excess fees awarded by the court per circuit

Action (check one):

Requesting revision to approved performance measure.

Change in data sources or measurement methodologies.

Requesting new measure.

Backup for performance measure not previously approved or for which validity, reliability and/or methodology information has not been provided.

#### **Data Sources and Methodology:**

Source is database maintained by the Legal Section. Standard of \$525,585 is requested for FY 2008-09 based on actual numbers for FY 2006-07.

# Validity:

A database tracks all payments in excess of statutory caps.

# **Reliability:**

All payments, including excess fee requests are entered into the database as part of the payment process.

Department: Justice Administration Program: Justice Administrative Commission Service/Budget Entity: Executive Direction/Support Services Measure: Number of registry lawyers removed from the registry for seeking excess fees in violation of s. 27002(7), F.S.

Action (check one):

Requesting revision to approved performance measure.

Change in data sources or measurement methodologies.

] Requesting new measure.

Backup for performance measure not previously approved or for which validity, reliability and/or methodology information has not been provided.

#### **Data Sources and Methodology:**

Source is database maintained by the Legal Section. The standard for FY 2008-09 is yet to be determined. There were no lawyers removed from the registry in FY 2006-07 due to pending litigation.

Validity: N/A

**Reliability:** N/A

LRPP E	LRPP Exhibit V: Identification of Associated Activity Contributing to Performance Measures			
Measure Number	Approved Performance Measures for FY 2006-07 (Words)		Associated Activities Title	
1	Number of material/substantial audit findings related to areas of direct JAC responsibilities to its customers		Executive Direction Pass Through - Due Process and Court Appointed Costs Pass Through - to DMS for HR Outsourcing & DFS for CCRC Registry	
2	Percent of invoices processed within statutory time frames		Executive Direction Pass Through - Due Process and Court Appointed Costs Pass Through - to DMS for HR Outsourcing & DFS for CCRC Registry	
3	Number of budget amendments processed and agency transfers processed		Executive Direction Pass Through - Due Process and Court Appointed Costs Pass Through - to DMS for HR Outsourcing & DFS for CCRC Registry	
4	Number of accounting transactions (FLAIR) processed		Executive Direction Pass Through - Due Process and Court Appointed Costs Pass Through - to DMS for HR Outsourcing & DFS for CCRC Registry	
5	Number of financial reports produced		Executive Direction Pass Through - Due Process and Court Appointed Costs Pass Through - to DMS for HR Outsourcing & DFS for CCRC Registry	
6	Number of human resource reports prepared	· · · · · · · ·	Executive Direction	
7	Number of transaction codes posted in People First		Executive Direction Pass Through - Due Process and Court Appointed Costs	
8	Number of staff users directly supported		Executive Direction Pass Through - Due Process and Court Appointed Costs	
9	Number of JAC computer devises directly supported		Executive Direction Pass Through - Due Process and Court Appointed Costs	

10	Number of public records requests	 Executive Direction Pass Through - Due Process and Court Appointed Costs
11	Number of court appointed contracts	Pass Through - Due Process and Court Appointed Costs
	executed	
12	Number of appointments on cases	Pass Through - Due Process and Court Appointed Costs
	monitored for compliance	
13	Number of cases where registry lawyers	Pass Through - to DMS for HR Outsourcing & DFS for CCRC Registry
	request fees above the statutory caps	
14	Number of cases where the court orders	Pass Through - to DMS for HR Outsourcing & DFS for CCRC Registry
	fees above the statutory cap	
15	Total amount of excess fees awarded by	Pass Through - to DMS for HR Outsourcing & DFS for CCRC Registry
	the court per circuit	
16	Number of registry lawyers removed	Pass Through - to DMS for HR Outsourcing & DFS for CCRC Registry
	from the registry for seeking excess	
	fees in violation of s. 27.7002(7), F.S.	

			AL YEAR 2006-07	FIXED CAPITAI	
SECTION I: BUDGET	OPERATING			OUTLAY	
OTAL ALL FUNDS GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT		734	4,058,182		
ADJUSTMENTS TO GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT (Supplementals, Vetoes, Budget Amendments, etc.)	54,907,275			0	
INAL BUDGET FOR AGENCY	-	788	3,965,457		
	Number of	(1) Unit	(2) Expenditures		
SECTION II: ACTIVITIES * MEASURES	Units	Cost	Expenditures (Allocated)	(3) FC(	
xecutive Direction, Administrative Support and Information Technology (2)					
Represent Children * Number of cases assigned to GAL's.	48,147	713.43	34,349,313		
Civil Investigative Services * Number of appointed civil cases investigated	22,797	17.13	390,432		
Criminal Investigative Services * Number of appointed criminal cases investigated	851,413	22.35	19,032,058		
Criminal Trial Indigent Defense * Number of appointed criminal cases	851,413	197.90	168,495,846		
Civil Trial Indigent Defense * Number of appointed civil cases	22,797	150.83	3,438,503		
Client Services Programs * Number of client services.	1,733	92.75	160,742		
Indigent Appellate Defense * Number of appointed appellate cases	5,593	2,655.30	14,851,119		
Death Row Case Investigation * Number of death row cases investigated	142	16,834.56	2,390,507		
Death Penalty Legal Counsel * Number of hearings before the court	174	20,723.24	3,605,844		
Death Penalty Public Records Request * Number of public records analyses completed	100	12,400.89	1,240,089		
Felony Prosecution * Felony Cases Referred	483,338	404.42	195,469,469		
Misdemeanor Prosecution * Misdemeanor/Criminal Traffic Cases Referred	1,190,696	71.32	84,915,392		
Juvenile Prosecution * Juvenile Cases Referred	176,310	199.87	35,238,692		
Child Support Enforcement Services * Child Support Enforcement Actions	14,205	1,540.78	21,886,710		
Baker Act Services * Baker Act Hearings	29,100	33.80	983,619		
Sexual Predator Civil Commitment Services * Sexual Predator Civil Commitment Proceedings	3,739	1,184.12	4,427,427		
Child Welfare Services * Number of child welfare legal cases referred	798	3,257.35	2,599,366		
Criminal Investigative Services * Cases Investigated and Reviewed	660,004	45.09	29,760,717		
Post Conviction Relief Services * Post Conviction Responses	12,879	280.42	3,611,539		
Prosecution Alternative Services - Worthless Check Diversion * Worthless Check Diversion Program	166,411	2.11	351,274		
Prosecution Alternative Services - Domestic Violence Diversion * Number of cases disposed of in domestic violence	3,951	33.73	133,258		
iversions Prosecution Alternative Services - Pre-trial Intervention * Pre-Trial Intervention Program	39,045	2.07	80,996	· ·	
Victim Services * Victim Contacts	759,105	3.79	2,878,977		
Witness Services * Witness Contacts	1,394,760	0.17	238,531		
Williess Services Williess Connects	1,374,700	0.17	230,331		
	<u> </u>				
OTAL			630,530,420		
SECTION III: RECONCILIATION TO BUDGET ASS THROUGHS					
TRANSFER - STATE AGENCIES			134,951,912		
AID TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS	-		134,731,712		
PAYMENT OF PENSIONS, BENEFITS AND CLAIMS	-				
OTHER	_				
EVERSIONS			23,483,251		
			788.965.583		

(1) Some activity unit costs may be overstated due to the allocation of double budgeted items.

(2) Expenditures associated with Executive Direction, Administrative Support and Information Technology have been allocated based on FTE. Other allocation methodologies could result in significantly different unit costs per activity. (3) Information for FCO depicts amounts for current year appropriations only. Additional information and systems are needed to develop meaningful FCO unit costs.

(4) Final Budget for Agency and Total Budget for Agency may not equal due to rounding.

IUCSSP03 LAS/PBS SYSTEM SP 09/21/2007 10:34 BUDGET PERIOD: 1998-2009 SCHED XI: AGENGY-LEVEL UNIT COST SUMMARY STATE OF FLORIDA AUDIT REPORT JUSTICE ADMINISTRATION \_\_\_\_\_ ACTIVITY ISSUE CODES SELECTED: TRANSFER-STATE AGENCIES ACTIVITY ISSUE CODES SELECTED: 1-8: ACT5000 ACT5100 ACT5200 AID TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS ACTIVITY ISSUE CODES SELECTED: 1-8: \_\_\_\_\_ TOTALS FROM SECTION I AND SECTIONS II + III: DEPARTMENT: 21 EXPENDITURES FCO FINAL BUDGET FOR AGENCY (SECTION I): 788,965,457 TOTAL BUDGET FOR AGENCY (SECTION III): 788,965,583 \_\_\_\_\_ DIFFERENCE: 126-(MAY NOT EQUAL DUE TO ROUNDING) -----\_\_\_\_\_ THE FOLLOWING STATEWIDE ACTIVITIES (ACT0010 THROUGH ACT0490) HAVE AN OUTPUT STANDARD (RECORD TYPE 5) AND SHOULD NOT: \*\*\* NO ACTIVITIES FOUND \*\*\* \_\_\_\_\_ THE FCO ACTIVITY (ACT0210) CONTAINS EXPENDITURES IN AN OPERATING CATEGORY AND SHOULD NOT: (NOTE: THIS ACTIVITY IS ROLLED INTO EXECUTIVE DIRECTION, ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY) \*\*\* NO OPERATING CATEGORIES FOUND \*\*\* \_\_\_\_\_ THE FOLLOWING ACTIVITIES DO NOT HAVE AN OUTPUT STANDARD (RECORD TYPE 5) AND ARE REPORTED AS 'OTHER' IN SECTION III: (NOTE: 'OTHER' ACTIVITIES ARE NOT 'TRANSFER-STATE AGENCY' ACTIVITIES OR 'AID TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS' ACTIVITIES. ALL ACTIVITIES WITH AN OUTPUT STANDARD (RECORD TYPE 5) SHOULD BE REPORTED IN SECTION II.)

\*\*\* NO ACTIVITIES FOUND \*\*\*