## **Justice Administrative Commission**

## Long-Range Program Plan



Fiscal Years 2005-06 through 2009-10 Plans

# Justice Administrative Commission Table of Contents

#### Cover Page

Agency Mission	1
Agency Goals, Objectives, Outcomes and Projection Tables In Agency Priority Order	2
Linkage to Governor's Priorities	N/A
Trends and Conditions Statement	3
Agency Workforce Plan – LRPP Exhibit I	4
Performance Measures and Standards – LRPP Exhibit II	5
Performance Measure Assessment FY 2003-04-LRPP Exhibit III	6
Performance Measure Validity and Reliability – LRPP Exhibit IV	7
Glossary of Terms and Acronyms	N/A

#### MISSION STATEMENT

#### JUSTICE ADMINISTRATIVE COMMISSION

The Justice Administrative Commission administratively serves the offices of State Attorneys, Public Defenders, Capital Collateral Regional Counsels, and Statewide Guardian Ad Litem Program; and, provides compliance and financial review of the court appointed attorney due process costs.

## Goals, Objectives, Outcomes and Projection Tables In Agency Priority Order

#### Justice Administrative Commission

Goal 1: Provide quality administrative services.

**Objective 1**: To have zero material and/or substantial audit findings.

#### **PRIMARY SERVICE OUTCOME:**

Outcome: Number of material and/or substantial audit findings.

FY 2000-01 BASELINE		FY 2006-07	FY 2007-08	FY 2008-09	FY 2009-10
0	0	0	0	0	0

**Objective 2**: Increase the level of staff expertise.

Outcome: Number of training courses completed/attended by staff.

FY 2000-01 BASELINE	FY 2005-06	FY 2006-07	FY 2007-08	FY 2008-09	FY 2009-10
21	21	21	21	21	21

#### TRENDS AND CONDITIONS STATEMENT

#### AGENCIES PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITIES AND STATUTORY AUTHORITY

Pursuant to Chapter 43.16, Florida Statutes, the Justice Administrative Commission's (JAC) duties shall include but not be limited to the following: maintenance of a central state office for administrative services and assistance when possible to and on behalf of the State Attorneys and Public Defenders of Florida, the Offices of the Capital Collateral Regional Counsels and the Statewide Guardian Ad Litem Program.

Additionally, the Justice Administrative Commission is further charged with the responsibility of providing compliance and financial review of the court appointed counsel and due process costs.

The JAC priorities were determined after consulting with our clients (State Attorneys, Public Defenders, Capital Collateral Regional Counsels and the Guardian Ad Litem), and related legislative actions. Over the next five years, the JAC will continue to review its priorities with our stakeholders and make modifications as necessary.

Through proper training, the JAC strives to maintain employees who are highly skilled, motivated, quality minded, productive and professional in order to better serve our customers. In addition we keep error rates at a minimum as reported by the Auditor General.

## LRPP Exhibit I: Agency Workforce Plan

#### **Justice Administrative Commission**

Fiscal Years	Total FTE Reductions	Description of Reduction Issue	Positions per Issue	Impact of Reduction
FY 2005-2006				
FY2006-2007				
Total*	0			

<sup>\*</sup>to equal remainder of target

THERE ARE CURRENTLY NO PLANS FOR A WORKFORCE REDUCTION IN THE JUSTICE ADMINISTRATIVE COMMSSION DUE TO THE JULY 1, 2004 IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE V. AS THE FISCAL YEAR PROGRESSES AND STATISTICAL DATA BECOMES AVAILABLE, WE MAY REVISIT THIS ISSUE.

#### **LRPP Exhibit II - Performance Measures and Standards**

Department: Justice Administration Department No.: 21

Program:	Justice Administrative Commission	Code: 21.30.08.00
Service/Budget Entity:	Executive Direction/Support Services	Code: 21.30.08.00

NOTE: Approved primary service outcomes must be listed first.

	Approved <b>Prior</b>		Approved	Requested
Approved Performance Measures for	Year Standard	Prior Year Actual	Standards for	FY 2005-06
FY 2004-05	FY 2003-04	FY 2003-04	FY 2004-05	Standard
(Words)	(Numbers)	(Numbers)	(Numbers)	(Numbers)
Number of material/substantial audit findings related to areas of				
direct JAC responsibility to its customers	0	0	0	0
Percent of invoices processed within statutory time frames	99.85%	99.81%	99.85%	99.85%
Number of budget amendments processed and agency transfers				
processed	300	250	350	250
Number of accounting transactions (FLAIR) processed	280,500	237,210	720,500	648,450
Number of financial reports produced	3,774	3,784	6,000	5,400
Number of human resource reports prepared	277	437	324	292
Number of employee and position transactions (COPES) processed				
by type	57,687	55,191	58,464	52,618
Number of JAC staff users directly supported	56	45	60	54
Number of JAC computer devices directly supported	136	86	108	97
Number of IRM reports provided to the State Technology Office	1	1	1	1
Number of public records requests	40	42	42	38
Number of court appointed contracts executed	N/A	N/A	3,600	3,240
Number of cases monitored for compliance	N/A	N/A	46,000	41,400

LRPP 05-06 1

LRPP Exhibit III: PERFORMANCE MEASURE ASSESSMENT FY 2003-04					
Department: Program: Service/Budget Er Measure:	Department: Justice Administration Program: Justice Administrative Commission Service/Budget Entity: Executive Direction and Support Services				
Measure	ssessment of <u>Outcom</u>	_	Revision of		
Measure	sessment of <u>Output</u> N GAA Performance Sta		Deletion of		
Approved Standard	Actual Performance Results	Difference (Over/Under)	Percentage Difference		
300	250	-50	-16.66%		
Factors Accounting for the Difference: Internal Factors (check all that apply):  Personnel Factors Competing Priorities Devel of Training Previous Estimate Incorrect Other (Identify)  Explanation: Difficult to predict due to uncertainty of fiscal stability					
External Factors (check all that apply):  Resources Unavailable  Problems  Legal/Legislative Change  Target Population Change  This Program/Service Cannot Fix The Problem  Current Laws Are Working Against The Agency Mission					
Explanation: Dependent upon client agencies to initiate transactions.					
Management Efforts to Address Differences/Problems (check all that apply):  ☐ Training ☐ Technology ☐ Personnel ☐ Other (Identify)  Recommendations: Continue to base requested standard on actual prior year historical data plus consideration for impact of Article V. uncertainties.					

LRPP Exhibit III: PERFORMANCE MEASURE ASSESSMENT FY 2003-04					
Donartmont:	Justice Adm	vinietration			
Department:					
Program:		inistrative Commissio			
Service/Budget Er	ntity: Executive D	irection and Support	Services		
Measure:		employee/position t			
		rocessed by type	arroadtione		
	(COPES) P	rocessed by type			
Action:		_			
Performance As	ssessment of Outcom	ne Measure	Revision of		
Measure		_	_		
		4	□ Dalation of		
	sessment of <u>Output</u> N	/leasure [	Deletion of		
Measure					
☐ Adjustment of €	GAA Performance Sta	indards			
	or a continuo o co	indardo			
A	A - (   D (	D'111	D		
Approved Standard	Actual Performance	Difference	Percentage		
	Results	(Over/Under)	Difference		
57,687	55,191	-2,496	-4.33%		
Factors Accounting for the Difference: Internal Factors (check all that apply):  Personnel Factors Competing Priorities Previous Estimate Incorrect Other (Identify)  Explanation:					
External Factors ( Resources Una	check all that apply): vailable	☐ Tec	chnological		
Problems					
Legal/Legislativ	e Change	□ Nat	ural Disaster		
Target Populati	•		er (Identify)		
<u> </u>	•		er (identity)		
	Service Cannot Fix Th				
Current Laws A	re Working Against T	he Agency Mission			
Explanation:					
Management Efforts to Address Differences/Problems (check all that apply):  Training Personnel Other (Identify)  Recommendations:					

LRPP Exhibit		NCE MEASURE A	ASSESSMENT	
Department: Program: Service/Budget Entity: Measure: Measure: Justice Administration Justice Administrative Commission Executive Direction and Support Services Number of JAC staff users directly supported Action:				
Measure Performance A Measure	ssessment of <u>Outcom</u> ssessment of <u>Output</u> SAA Performance Sta	Measure [	Revision of Deletion of	
Approved Standard	Actual Performance Results	Difference (Over/Under)	Percentage Difference	
56	45	-11	-19.64%	
Factors Accounting for the Difference: Internal Factors (check all that apply):  Personnel Factors Competing Priorities Previous Estimate Incorrect Other (Identify)  Explanation:  Staff Capacity Level of Training  Explanation:				
External Factors (check all that apply):  Resources Unavailable  Problems  Legal/Legislative Change  Target Population Change  This Program/Service Cannot Fix The Problem  Current Laws Are Working Against The Agency Mission  Explanation:				
Management Efforts to Address Differences/Problems (check all that apply):  Training Personnel Other (Identify)  Recommendations:				

LRPP Exhibit	_	NCE MEASURE A	ASSESSMENT	
Department: Program: Service/Budget Entity: Measure:  Justice Administrative Commission Executive Direction and Support Services Number of JAC computer devices directly supported			Services	
Measure	ssessment of <u>Outcom</u> sessment of <u>Output</u> N		Revision of	
Measure	GAA Performance Sta		Deletion of	
Approved Standard	Actual Performance Results	Difference (Over/Under)	Percentage Difference	
136	86	-50	-36.76%	
Factors Accounting for the Difference: Internal Factors (check all that apply):  Personnel Factors Competing Priorities Previous Estimate Incorrect Other (Identify)  Explanation:				
External Factors (check all that apply):  Resources Unavailable  Problems  Legal/Legislative Change  Target Population Change  This Program/Service Cannot Fix The Problem  Current Laws Are Working Against The Agency Mission  Explanation:				
Management Efforts to Address Differences/Problems (check all that apply):  Training Personnel Other (Identify)  Recommendations:				

LRPP Exhibit III: PERFORMANCE MEASURE ASSESSMENT FY 2003-04					
Department:	Justice Adm	inistration			
Program: Justice Administrative Commission					
Service/Budget Er	•	• •			
Measure:	processed.	accounting transact	ions (FLAIR)		
Action:	-				
Performance A	ssessment of Outcon	ne Measure	Revision of		
Measure					
	sessment of Output M	leasure [	Deletion of		
Measure	occoment of <u>output</u> iv		Bolotion of		
	SAA Performance Sta	ndards			
Approved Standard	Actual Performance	Difference	Doroontogo		
Approved Standard	Results	(Over/Under)	Percentage Difference		
280,500	* 237,210	43,290	15.43%		
* Note: Prelimina	ary transaction count	through 07/27/04. Th	ne final count will		
	until the FY is closed	•			
Factors Accounting for the Difference: Internal Factors (check all that apply):  Personnel Factors Competing Priorities Previous Estimate Incorrect Other (Identify)  Explanation: The projection was based on changes that were not within the Agency's control. During this period the Capital Collateral Regional					
Counsel – Northern Office was closed and the Guardian Ad-Litem program					
was moved at mid-year. Also, the tracking system was changed from					
external to interna	•	5 ,	J		
External Factors	check all that apply):				
Resources Una		X Tech	nnological Problems		
X Legal/Legislative			ural Disaster		
_	•	<u> </u>			
_ ·	Target Population Change				
This Program/Service Cannot Fix The Problem					
Current Laws Are Working Against The Agency Mission					
Explanation: Timing of legislative changes. Also, changed to internal					
tracking system for more control of data collection and reporting.					
Management Efforts to Address Differences/Problems (check all that apply):					
X Training		X Tech			
X Personnel		Other (Ide	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	s: Management will		• ,		
Recommendations: Management will continue to address the issues involved in projecting future measures.					

LRPP EXHIBIT IV: Performance Measure Validity and Reliability				
Department: Program: Service/Budget Entity: Measure: Number of budget processed	Justice Administration Justice Administrative Commission Executive Direction/Support Services amendments processed and agency transfers			
Action (check one):				
Requesting revision to approved performance measure. Change in data sources or measurement methodologies. Requesting new measure. Backup for performance measure not previously approved or for which validity, reliability and/or methodology information has not been provided.  Data Sources and Methodology: No Change. (Revision to standard only)				
Validity: No Change. (Revision to standard only)				
Reliability: No Change. (Revision to sta	ndard only)			

LRPP EXHIBIT IV: Performance Measure Validity and Reliability			
Department: Program: Service/Budget Entity: Measure: Number of accoun	Justice Administration Justice Administrative Commission Executive Direction/Support Services ating transactions (FLAIR) processed		
Action (check one):			
<ul> <li>☐ Requesting revision to approved performance measure.</li> <li>☐ Change in data sources or measurement methodologies.</li> <li>☐ Requesting new measure.</li> <li>☑ Backup for performance measure not previously approved or for which validity, reliability and/or methodology information has not been provided.</li> </ul>			
Data Sources and Methodology: No Change. (Revision to standard only)			
Validity: No Change. (Revision to standard only)			
Reliability: No Change. (Revision to sta	ndard only)		

LRPP EXHIBIT IV: Perfo	ormance Measure Validity and Reliability	
Program: Service/Budget Entity:	Justice Administration Justice Administrative Commission Executive Direction/Support Services ee and position transactions (COPES)	
Action (check one):		
Requesting revision to approved performance measure. Change in data sources or measurement methodologies. Requesting new measure. Backup for performance measure not previously approved or for which validity, reliability and/or methodology information has not been provided.  Data Sources and Methodology: No Change. (Revision to standard only)		
Validity: No Change. (Revision to star	ndard only)	
Reliability: No Change. (Revision to star	ndard only)	

LRPP EXHIBIT IV: Performance Measure Validity and Reliability		
Department: Program: Service/Budget Entity: Measure: Number of financia	Justice Administration Justice Administrative Commission Executive Direction/Support Services al reports produced	
Action (check one):		
☐ Requesting revision to approved performance measure. ☐ Change in data sources or measurement methodologies. ☐ Requesting new measure. ☑ Backup for performance measure not previously approved or for which validity, reliability and/or methodology information has not been provided.  Data Sources and Methodology: No Change. (Revision to standard only)		
Validity: No Change. (Revision to standard only)		
Reliability: No Change. (Revision to sta	ndard only	

LRPP EXHIBIT IV: Performance Measure Validity and Reliability			
Justice Administration Justice Administrative Commission Executive Direction/Support Services resource reports prepared			
Requesting revision to approved performance measure. Change in data sources or measurement methodologies. Requesting new measure. Backup for performance measure not previously approved or for which validity, reliability and/or methodology information has not been provided.  Data Sources and Methodology: No Change. (Revision to standard only			
Validity: No Change. (Revision to standard only			
ndard only			

LRPP EXHIBIT IV: Performance Measure Validity and Reliability			
Department: Program: Service/Budget Entity: Measure: Number of JAC sta	···		
Action (check one):			
Requesting revision to approved performance measure. Change in data sources or measurement methodologies. Requesting new measure. Backup for performance measure not previously approved or for which validity, reliability and/or methodology information has not been provided.  Data Sources and Methodology: No Change. (Revision to standard only			
Validity: No Change. (Revision to sta	ndard only		
Reliability: No Change. (Revision to sta	indard only		

LRPP EXHIBIT IV: Performance Measure Validity and Reliability		
Department: Program: Service/Budget Entity: Measure: Number of JAC co	Justice Administration Justice Administrative Commission Executive Direction/Support Services omputer devices directly supported	
Action (check one):		
Action (check one):  Requesting revision to approved performance measure. Change in data sources or measurement methodologies. Requesting new measure. Backup for performance measure not previously approved or for which validity, reliability and/or methodology information has not been provided.  Data Sources and Methodology: No Change. (Revision to standard only)  Validity: No Change. (Revision to standard only)  Reliability: No Change. (Revision to standard only)		

LRPP EXHIBIT IV: Performance Measure Validity and Reliability			
Justice Administration Justice Administrative Commission Executive Direction/Support Services records requests			
Requesting revision to approved performance measure. Change in data sources or measurement methodologies. Requesting new measure. Backup for performance measure not previously approved or for which validity, reliability and/or methodology information has not been provided.  Data Sources and Methodology: No Change. (Revision to standard only)			
Validity: No Change. (Revision to standard only)			
ndard only)			

LRPP EXHIBIT IV: Performance Measure Validity and Reliability			
Department: Program: Service/Budget Entity: Measure: Number of court a	Justice Administration Justice Administrative Commission Executive Direction/Support Services ppointed contracts executed		
Action (check one):			
Requesting revision to approved performance measure. Change in data sources or measurement methodologies. Requesting new measure. Backup for performance measure not previously approved or for which validity, reliability and/or methodology information has not been provided.  Data Sources and Methodology: Conflict Attorney Data Base			
Validity: Not yet available. FY 04/05 is measure	the first year of implementation for this		
Reliability: Not yet available. FY 04/05 is measure	the first year of implementation for this		

LRPP EXHIBIT IV: Performance Measure Validity and Reliability			
Department: Program: Service/Budget Entity: Measure: Number of cases n			
Action (check one):			
Requesting revision to approved performance measure. Change in data sources or measurement methodologies. Requesting new measure. Backup for performance measure not previously approved or for which validity, reliability and/or methodology information has not been provided.  Data Sources and Methodology: Conflict Attorney Data Base  Validity: Not yet available. FY 04/05 is the first year of implementation for this			
measure			
Reliability: Not yet available. FY 04/05 is measure	the first year of implementation for this		