

LONG RANGE



FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

FISCAL YEAR

2018-19

THROUGH

2022-23

SEPTEMBER 29, 2017

PROGRAM PLAN

COMMISSIONERS:
JULIE I. BROWN, CHAIRMAN
ART GRAHAM
RONALD A. BRISÉ
DONALD J. POLMANN

STATE OF FLORIDA



EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
BRAULIO L. BAEZ
(850) 413-6463

Public Service Commission

LONG RANGE PROGRAM PLAN

September 29, 2017

Cynthia Kelly, Director
Office of Policy and Budget
Executive Office of the Governor
1701 Capitol
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0001

JoAnne Leznoff, Staff Director
House Appropriations Committee
221 Capitol
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1300

Mike Hansen, Staff Director
Senate Committee on Appropriations
201 Capitol
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1300

Dear Directors:

Pursuant to Chapter 216, Florida Statutes, our Long Range Program Plan (LRPP) for the Public Service Commission is submitted in the format prescribed in the budget instructions. The information provided electronically and contained herein is a true and accurate presentation of our mission, goals, objectives and measures for the Fiscal Year 2018-19 through Fiscal Year 2022-23. The internet website address that provides the link to the LRPP located on the Florida Fiscal Portal is <http://www.floridapsc.com/Publications/Reports>. This submission has been approved by Braulio L. Baez, Executive Director.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Braulio L. Baez", written over the word "Sincerely,".

Braulio L. Baez
Executive Director

BLB:dm

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION



LONG RANGE PROGRAM PLAN FY 2018-19 through 2022-23

SEPTEMBER 29, 2017

AGENCY MISSION

**Facilitate The Efficient Provision of
Safe and Reliable Utility Services at
Fair Prices**

**Public Service Commission
Long Range Program Plan FY 2018-19 through 2022-23
Goals and Objectives
in Priority Order**

GOAL #1: Ensure that the Florida utilities provide reliable service to customers.

OBJECTIVE 1A: Ensure adequate planning of electric utility infrastructure to meet customer needs.

OUTCOME 1A-1: Percent of generation reserve margin for Florida electric utilities compared to industry standard. (Electric)

FY 2012-13 Baseline (Actual)	FY 2018-19	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23
26.5%	≥15%	≥15%	≥15%	≥15%	≥15%

OUTCOME 1A-2: Percent of Gas and Class A & B Water and Wastewater companies that annually prepare planning documents for infrastructure needs and expected capital expenditures.

FY 2012-13 Baseline(Actual)	FY 2018-19	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23
(new)	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%

OBJECTIVE 1B: Ensure adequate operation and maintenance of utility infrastructure to meet customer needs.

OUTCOME 1B: Number of outage-related customer complaints. (Electric, Gas, Water & Wastewater)

FY 2012-13 Baseline(Actual)	FY 2018-19	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23
417 (electric)	≤500	≤500	≤500	≤500	≤500
0 (gas)	≤10	≤10	≤10	≤10	≤10
43 (water)	≤50	≤50	≤50	≤50	≤50

**Public Service Commission
 Long Range Program Plan FY 2018-19 through 2022-23
 Goals and Objectives
 in Priority Order**

GOAL #2: Ensure the provision of safe electric and natural gas utility services to customers in the State of Florida.

OBJECTIVE 2A: Ensure compliance with safety standards for electric utilities.

OUTCOME 2A: Number of electric-related injuries or fatalities resulting from utility rule violations.

FY 2011-12 Baseline	FY 2018-19	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23
0	0	0	0	0	0

OBJECTIVE 2B: Ensure compliance with safety standards for natural gas utilities.

OUTCOME 2B: Number of gas-related injuries or fatalities resulting from utility rule violations.

FY 2011-2012 Baseline	FY 2018-19	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23
0	0	0	0	0	0

Public Service Commission
Long Range Program Plan FY 2018-19 through 2022-23
Goals and Objectives
in Priority Order

GOAL #3: Ensure that the regulatory process results in fair and reasonable rates while offering rate-base-regulated utilities an opportunity to earn a fair return on their investments.

OBJECTIVE 3A: Establish rates and charges which are fair and reasonable for all customers.

OUTCOME 3A: Percent increase in annual utility bill for average residential usage compared to inflation as measured by the Consumer Price Index plus 1%: Electric, Gas, and Water/Wastewater industries.

FY 2000-01 Baseline (Actual)	FY 2018-19	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23
CPI 3.4% FL 1.84%	CPI + 1	CPI + 1	CPI + 1	CPI + 1	CPI + 1

OBJECTIVE 3B: Ensure that Commission-established returns on equity are commensurate with the level of risk associated with similar investments.

OUTCOME 3B: Average allowed return on equity (ROE) in Florida compared to average ROE in U.S.

FY 2000-01 Baseline (Electric)	FY 2018-19	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23
USA 12.2 FL 11.38	USA +/- 1	USA +/- 1	USA +/- 1	USA +/- 1	USA +/- 1

FY 2000-01 Baseline (Gas)	FY 2018-19	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23
USA 11.6 FL 11.31	USA +/- 1	USA +/- 1	USA +/- 1	USA +/- 1	USA +/- 1

FY 2000-01 Baseline (W&W)	FY 2018-19	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23
USA 11.2 FL 9.69	USA +/- 1	USA +/- 1	USA +/- 1	USA +/- 1	USA +/- 1

OBJECTIVE 3C: Ensure that achieved returns on equity do not exceed authorized returns.

OUTCOME 3C: Percent of utilities achieving within range or over range of last authorized ROE.

FY 2000-01 Baseline (Actual)	FY 2018-19	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23
E 67% / 33%	100% / 0%	100% / 0%	100% / 0%	100% / 0%	100% / 0%
G 25% / 0%	29% / 0%	29% / 0%	29% / 0%	29% / 0%	29% / 0%
W 10% / 5%	10% / 5%	10% / 5%	10% / 5%	10% / 5%	10% / 5%

**Public Service Commission
Long Range Program Plan FY 2018-19 through 2022-23
Goals and Objectives
in Priority Order**

GOAL #4: Encourage and facilitate responsible use of resources and technology in the provision and consumption of utility services.

OBJECTIVE 4A: Inform customers regarding options to use energy and water more efficiently.

OUTCOME 4A: Number of events attended by the PSC for the purpose of promoting energy and water conservation.

FY 2012-2013 Baseline (Actual)	FY 2018-19	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23
30	30	30	30	30	30

OBJECTIVE 4B: Ensure the continued use of water conservation rates and rate structures.

OUTCOME 4B: Percent of jurisdictional water companies utilizing water conservation rates and/or structures.

FY 2012-13 Baseline (Actual)	FY 2018-19	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23
40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%

OBJECTIVE 4C: Ensure electric utilities are implementing Commission-approved energy efficiency programs.

OUTCOME 4C: Percent of utility energy efficiency programs evaluated annually for program effectiveness.

FY 2012-13 Baseline (Actual)	FY 2018-19	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

**Public Service Commission
 Long Range Program Plan FY 2018-19 through 2022-23
 Goals and Objectives
 in Priority Order**

GOAL #5: Expedite resolution of disputes between customers and utilities.

OBJECTIVE 5A: Provide timely and quality assistance to customers regarding utility complaints and inquiries.

OUTCOME 5A-1: Percent of consumer complaints closed in 60 days.

FY 2012-2013 Baseline (Actual)	FY 2018-19	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23
90%	85%	85%	85%	85%	85%

OUTCOME 5A-2: Percent of consumer complaints closed through the informal resolution process, without a Commission hearing.

FY 2012-2013 Baseline (Actual)	FY 2018-19	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23
99%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%

**Public Service Commission
 Long Range Program Plan FY 2018-19 through 2022-23
 Goals and Objectives
 in Priority Order**

GOAL #6: Identify and address barriers that impede competitive telecommunications markets from being fair and efficient.

OBJECTIVE 6A: Monitor the telecommunications market and provide the appropriate regulatory review and oversight.

OUTCOME 6A-1: Percent of interconnection agreements processed within 100 days.

FY 2012-2013 Baseline (Actual)	FY 2018-19	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23
100%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%

OUTCOME 6A-2: Number of proceedings which evaluate or resolve wholesale telecommunications competitive issues.

FY 2012-2013 Baseline (Actual)	FY 2018-19	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-2
410	165	150	120	120	120

TRENDS AND CONDITIONS STATEMENT

The Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC or Commission) is committed to making sure that Florida's consumers receive essential services — electric, natural gas, water, and wastewater — in a safe, affordable, and reliable manner. At the same time, the FPSC balances consumer needs with the opportunity for utilities and their stockholders to earn a fair rate of return on their capital investments. In doing so, the FPSC exercises regulatory authority over utilities in one or more of three key areas: rate base/economic regulation, competitive market oversight, and monitoring of safety, reliability, and service.

FPSC Responsibilities

Scope of Authority

The FPSC regulates the retail rates and service territories of investor-owned electric utilities, gas utilities, and water and wastewater utilities. The regulation of utilities is commonly referred to as rate base or rate-of-return regulation, which includes rate setting responsibility, earnings oversight, quality of service, and consumer complaints. A characteristic unique to Florida's water and wastewater industry is that counties have the option to elect to regulate the investor-owned water and wastewater companies in their county pursuant to Chapter 367, Florida Statutes, or transfer jurisdiction to the FPSC. Currently 38 of 67 counties cede regulatory authority to the FPSC. For telecommunications companies, the Commission has jurisdiction over company-to-company matters, including disputes over interconnection agreements. The Commission also provides oversight for the Lifeline program for low-income customers, established under the federal Universal Service Program, and Telephone Relay Services for the deaf, hard of hearing, and speech impaired. The FPSC also has oversight over pay phone services.

The FPSC's jurisdiction over municipal electric utilities and rural electric cooperatives is limited to rate structure, safety, and territorial boundaries. Rate structure refers to the classification system used in justifying differing rates between various customer classes. In order to assure an adequate and reliable supply of electricity in Florida, the FPSC has jurisdiction over the generation and bulk transmission planning of all electric utilities. The Commission is responsible for reviewing electric utility Ten-Year Site Plans and determining the need for major new power plant and transmission line additions under the Florida Power Plant and Transmission Line Siting Acts. Finally, the FPSC also has authority to set conservation goals for Florida's investor-owned electric utilities and the two largest municipal electric utilities.

The FPSC also ensures compliance with gas safety rules and regulations for municipally-owned natural gas utilities, special gas districts, investor-owned gas utilities, intrastate gas pipelines, and private master meters.

Statutory Authority

The FPSC's authority for its activity is contained in the following Florida Statutes:

- Chapter 120, Rulemaking
- Chapter 186, Planning and Development (10-Year Site Plans)
- Chapter 350, Organization, Powers and Duties
- Chapter 364, Telecommunications
- Chapter 366, Electric Utilities
- Chapter 367, Water and Wastewater Systems
- Chapter 368, Gas Transmission and Distribution Facilities
- Chapter 403, Power Plant, and Transmission Line Siting, and Intrastate Natural Gas Pipeline Siting
- Chapter 427, Special Transportation and Communications Services

Rules adopted by the FPSC to implement the above laws are contained in Chapter 25, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). The FPSC also exercises quasi-judicial responsibilities to conduct evidentiary hearings regarding cost and quality of regulated services, hear complaints, and issue written orders.

To meet its statutory responsibilities, the FPSC has established the following six primary goals:

1. Ensure that Florida utilities provide reliable service to customers.
2. Ensure the provision of safe electric and natural gas to customers in the State of Florida.
3. Ensure that the regulatory process results in fair and reasonable rates for consumers while offering rate-base-regulated utilities an opportunity to earn a fair return on their investments.
4. Encourage and facilitate responsible use of resources and technology in the provision and consumption of services.
5. Expedite resolution of disputes between consumers and utilities.
6. Identify and address barriers that impede competitive telecommunications markets from being fair and efficient.

AGENCY PRIORITIES

As discussed previously, the FPSC's authority extends over three major utility industries: energy (electricity and natural gas), water and wastewater and telecommunications. Each industry has unique characteristics and each has significant issues that will require regulatory actions by the FPSC over the next five years. The agency's priorities are based on legislative directives and economic and environmental factors affecting provision of utility services within the state.

Energy Priorities

Florida's electric utilities are required by law to furnish adequate, reliable electricity service at a reasonable cost to each customer. Meeting customer demand in a time of rising costs and uncertain economic conditions represents a significant challenge. The Florida Legislature has stressed, through a series of legislative initiatives, the importance of diversifying fuels used for electric power generation. These initiatives include enhancing contract provisions for the purchase of renewable energy by investor-owned utilities, encouraging customer ownership of renewable energy resources, placing additional emphasis on energy efficiency and conservation, and establishing regulatory treatment for costs associated with nuclear construction.

Since the late 1990s, utilities across the nation, including those in Florida, selected natural gas-fired generation as the predominant source of new capacity. The deregulation of natural gas as a generation fuel source, combined with improvements in the efficiency of combined cycle gas turbine technology, provided a cost-effective alternative to consider for additions to the generation fleet. The use of natural gas for electricity production in Florida increased from 19.3 percent in 1995 to 62.9 percent in 2016. Natural gas usage is expected to remain at approximately 60 percent over the next decade.

Fuel diversity will continue to be a critical issue for the FPSC as it monitors potential carbon regulations, the risk of fuel price variability, changes in the capital cost of generating units, and the expansion and integration of renewable energy resources.

Renewable Generation

Another priority of the FPSC is to increase the use of cost-effective renewable energy. Currently there are approximately 1,860 megawatts (MW) of renewable generation resources in Florida from non-utility and utility-owned renewable generating facilities. The majority, approximately 1,127 MW, are municipal solid waste (MSW) or biomass facilities. Over the next 10 years, the utilities project an increase of approximately 2,005 MW of new renewable facilities. More than 1,586 MW of these projected capacity additions are solar facilities.

The Florida Legislature, in 2008, placed emphasis on customer-owned renewable energy as well as supply-side or grid-tied renewables. All electric utilities were directed to offer customers standard interconnection agreements and net metering for renewable energy generation. This policy ensures a simplified, expedited process for interconnecting a renewable system to the utility. Net metering is a billing function that allows customers to receive credit for power from renewable energy systems delivered to a utility. Customer-owned renewable energy systems have increased in 2016 to 141 MW, which is up from a capacity of 2.4 MW in 2006. The majority of customer-owned renewable facilities installed during that time were small solar photovoltaic (PV) systems.

In recent years investor-owned utilities have developed voluntary solar programs where ratepayers contribute to the development of supply-side projects. On August 12, 2014, the FPSC approved FPL's Voluntary Solar Program tariff. This tariff allows customers to voluntarily contribute \$9.00 per month towards the construction of PV generation located in FPL's service territory. FPL began building 300 kilowatts of this generation in January 2015 in advance of customer subscription, and anticipates building as much as 2.4 MW in its "high participation" scenario. On March 21, 2016, the FPSC issued an order approving Gulf Power's request to establish a voluntary solar pilot program. The program will offer all Gulf customers the opportunity to voluntarily contribute to the construction and operation of a 1 MW solar photovoltaic facility through annual subscriptions. The energy generated from the solar facility will be provided to all Gulf customers. In addition to the voluntary programs, utility 10-year site plans project an additional 1,586 MW of utility-owned solar will be developed between 2016 and 2025.

Over the next five years, the FPSC will continue to enforce existing renewable policies and explore additional policies to benefit Florida consumers. The FPSC will monitor the utilities' efforts to interconnect and net meter customer-owned renewables under the FPSC's rule. The FPSC will also review and approve investor-owned utilities' standardized contracts to purchase renewable capacity and energy. Finally, the FPSC will monitor the impact of evolving federal and state energy policies on the development of renewables in Florida and provide technical information to assist legislators in the formulation of renewable energy policy.

Energy Conservation

In July 2014, the FPSC conducted hearings to establish new goals for the Florida Energy Efficiency and Conservation Act (FEECA) utilities. Intervenors in the hearings included the Office of Public Counsel (OPC), the Florida Industrial Power Users Group (FIPUG), the Environmental Defense Fund, Walmart and Sam's Clubs of the East (Walmart Stores), and the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People.

In December 2014, the FPSC established annual numeric demand-side management (DSM) goals for all seven FEECA electric utilities for the period 2015 through 2024. The DSM goals were established for residential and commercial/industrial customers in three categories: summer peak demand; winter peak demand, and annual energy consumption.

It is anticipated that the FPSC will establish annual numeric DSM goals for all seven FEECA electric utilities in late 2019 for the years 2020-2024.

Rate Cases

Gulf Power Company

On October 12, 2016, Gulf Power Company (Gulf) filed a request for an annual base rate increase of \$106.8 million. Customer hearings were held on January 26 and 27, 2017, in Pensacola and Panama City, respectively, to solicit customer input on Gulf's proposed rate increase. On March 20, 2017, prior to the start of the technical hearing, Gulf and the OPC filed a Stipulation and Settlement Agreement (Gulf Settlement) resolving all issues. Subsequent to the March 20th hearing, FIPUG and the Southern Alliance for Clean Energy (SACE) joined OPC and Gulf as signatories to the Gulf Settlement. On April 4, 2017, a technical hearing was held to hear from the parties and take evidence on the Gulf Settlement.

After hearing from all the parties on the Gulf Settlement and admitting additional exhibits into the record, the Commission approved the Gulf Settlement. The Gulf Settlement included a base rate increase of \$61.99 million, less an estimated revenue credit of approximately \$7.7 million to be provided to customers through the Fuel and Purchased Power Capacity Cost Recovery Clause, resulting in a net increase of approximately \$54.3 million effective July 1, 2017. The Settlement also provided for a return on equity (ROE) of 10.25 percent, with an authorized range from 9.25 to 11.25 percent.

Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

On August 1, 2013, Duke Energy Florida (DEF) filed a revised stipulation and settlement agreement (DEF 2013 Stipulation). The DEF 2013 Stipulation extended a base rate freeze from 2017 through 2018. It also addressed issues resulting from the cancellation and decommissioning of Crystal River Unit 3 (CR3), the termination of the Levy project engineering, procurement, and construction contract, as well as potential future generation issues. DEF implemented deferred accounting through the establishment of a regulatory asset to account for the capital cost amounts and revenue requirements associated with all CR3 related costs, including depreciation, operation

and maintenance expenses, property taxes and a cost of capital return. On May 22, 2015, DEF filed a petition for approval to implement a base rate increase, effective with the first billing cycle of January 2016, to recover the revenue requirement associated with the CR3 regulatory asset.

On July 1, 2015, Section 366.96, Florida Statutes, became effective, allowing an electric utility to petition for approval to finance (securitize) nuclear asset recovery costs using specific bonds. On July 24, 2015, DEF petitioned the FPSC for a financing order pursuant to the new statute. The Commission issued its financing order on November 29, 2015, authorizing DEF to issue bonds to recover the CR3 regulatory asset and setting forth requirements of the bond issuance.

The bonds, issued in June 2016, achieved the lowest average interest rate ever on rate reduction bonds, resulting in expected savings to DEF customers of approximately \$684 million. The initial charge has been set at \$2.87 based on a 1,000 kWh-per-month residential customer bill, instead of approximately \$5.00 per month that would apply under traditional utility financing.

Florida Power & Light Company

On March 15, 2016, Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) filed a petition for a multi-year rate plan consisting of an increase in annual revenue of \$866 million effective January 1, 2017, a revenue increase of \$262 million to be effective January 1, 2018, and a \$209 million increase to be effective on the commercial in-service date of FPL's Okeechobee Clean Energy Center, currently estimated to be June 1, 2019. Under its proposal, FPL would not seek a general increase in base rates before January 2021. FPL's proposal included a request for an overall ROE of 11.50 percent, consisting of an ROE of 11.00 with a performance adder of .50.

An administrative hearing on FPL's request for a rate increase was held on August 22-26, 2016 and August 29- September 1, 2016. All parties to the docket filed post hearing statements on September 19, 2016. On October 6, 2016, FPL and three of the nine intervenors - OPC, the Florida Retail Federation (FRF), and the South Florida Hospital and Healthcare Association (SFHHA) - filed a Joint Motion for Approval of Settlement Agreement resolving all 167 issues raised in the consolidated dockets. On October 27, 2016, a second administrative hearing was held to take supplemental testimony on the terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement that had not previously been addressed in the prior hearing.

At the second hearing, the testimony of five witnesses was heard and six exhibits were admitted into evidence. Post hearing briefs or comments were filed on November 10,

2016, by FPL, the FRF, SFHHA, OPC, the American Association of Retired Persons (AARP), the Larsons, Sierra Club, and Walmart Stores. FIPUG took no position on the settlement agreement. Walmart Stores and Federal Executive Agencies (FEA) did not oppose the Settlement Agreement and the Larsons, AARP, and Sierra Club opposed the Settlement Agreement. On November 29, 2016, the Settlement Agreement was approved.

The settlement agreement included base rate increases of \$400 million effective January 1, 2017, \$211 million effective January 1, 2018, and \$200 million effective on the in-service date of the Okeechobee Unit. The settlement also provided for a ROE of 10.55 percent, with an authorized range from 9.60 to 11.60 percent.

Tampa Electric Company

On April 5, 2013, Tampa Electric Company (TECO) filed a request for an annual base rate increase of \$134.8 million based in part on an authorized ROE of 11.25 percent. On September 6, 2013, a joint motion for approval of a stipulation and settlement agreement was filed by TECO, OPC, FIPUG, FRF, FEA and the WCF Hospital Utility Alliance. The settlement authorized an ROE of 10.25 percent, and provided for a base rate increase of \$57.5 million effective November 2013, an additional \$7.5 million in November 2014, followed by a \$5 million increase in November 2015. The Commission approved the settlement on September 11, 2013, and it is in effect until December 31, 2017.

Florida Public Utilities Company

On April 28, 2014, Florida Public Utilities Company (FPUC) filed a petition requesting a \$5.8 million base rate increase. The proposed increase was based on an 11.25 percent ROE. Customer meetings were held in August 2014. On August 29, 2014, the parties to the case filed a Joint Motion for Approval of Stipulation and Settlement (Settlement), which allowed for an annual base rate increase of \$3.75 million based on an ROE of 10.25 percent. The Settlement also suspended the annual accrual of \$121,620 for storm damage and directed the company to expand tree trimming cycles and conduct underground feasibility studies. The FPSC approved the Settlement September 15, 2014.

On July 3, 2017, FPUC filed a petition for a limited proceeding requesting recovery of reliability and modernization projects. The requested projects total \$15.24 million with an associated total revenue requirement of approximately \$1.82 million. On July 21, 2017, FPUC and OPC filed a joint motion setting forth their agreed upon procedure to process FPUC's request. As agreed to by FPUC and OPC, FPUC's request would be processed using the limited proceeding process, with any required tariff changes to be

made as a separate step following the Commission's vote on the case. A decision on FPUC's request is anticipated to be made by the end of 2017.

Alternative Cost Recovery

In 2006, the Legislature established an alternative cost recovery mechanism to encourage the construction of new nuclear generating facilities in Florida. FPL has utilized the alternative cost recovery provisions of Section 366.93, F.S., to increase generating capacity at existing nuclear facilities by 522 MW. In addition, FPL is currently seeking a Combined Operating License (COL) from the Nuclear Regulatory Commission for two new generating units to be located at the Turkey Point Generating Station. The Commission conducts annual nuclear cost recovery hearings to examine the reasonableness of costs and the prudence of utility activities related to these projects.

Natural Gas Priorities

Natural Gas Bare Steel and Cast Iron Pipe Replacement

In August 2012, the FPSC approved cast iron/bare steel pipe replacement riders for three natural gas utilities: Peoples Gas System (PGS), Florida Public Utilities, and the Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities (Central Florida Gas). Gas utilities have been urged by the Pipeline Hazardous Materials and Safety Administration, which acts through the Office of Pipeline Safety within the U.S. Department of Transportation, to replace these older facilities as a safety measure. Cast iron pipe is subject to "graphitization" or graphitic softening and bare steel is subject to corrosion. Both hazards can lead to structural failure and the release of gas. Under the approved pipeline replacement program, these three utilities will replace 917 miles of cast iron and bare steel distribution pipe and 8,052 service lines within a 10-year period. For 2017, the monthly bill impacts for a residential customer that uses 20 therms per month is \$0.46 for Peoples Gas System (PGS) customers, \$6.85 for Florida Public Utilities customers, and \$2.07 for customers of the Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation.

Pipeline Replacement Program

Company Name	Total Miles of Bare Steel (BS) Pipe Needing Replacement as of September 2012	Total Miles of Cast Iron Pipe (CIP) Needing Replacement as of September 2012	Total Remaining BS Mileage (as of 12/31/16)	Total Remaining CIP Mileage (as of 12/31/16)	Total Mileage Replaced as of 12/31/16
Chesapeake Utilities *(Central Florida Gas)	152	0	68	0	84
**Pensacola Energy	469	88	325	82	150
Florida Public Utilities	197	1	75	0	123
TECO Peoples Gas	411	156	200	44	323
TOTALS	1229	245	688	126	680

*Chesapeake Utilities is the parent company of Central Florida Gas.

**Pensacola Energy participates in the pipeline replacement programs but as a municipal utility, is not subject to FPSC regulation.

As a result of these programs, 680 total miles have been replaced. In 2016, gas operators replaced 17 miles of cast iron pipeline and 92 miles of unprotected bare steel pipeline.

Natural Gas Vehicle Tariffs

The Florida Legislature has taken steps to encourage the use of natural gas as a motor fuel. During the 2012 session of the Legislature, changes to Section 334.044, Florida Statutes, were passed to encourage the use of natural gas to reduce transportation costs for individuals and businesses. In recent years, the FPSC has approved natural gas vehicle tariffs for a number of gas utilities, including PGS, City Gas, Florida Public Utilities Indiantown, Ft. Meade and the Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities. In April 2015 the FPSC approved PGS's special contract with Nopetro-Orlando, LLC (Nopetro). Founded in Miami in 2007, Nopetro builds and operates natural gas fueling stations. On April 5, 2016, PGS received approval of a special contract with United Parcel Service (UPS). PGS provides natural gas transportation service to a compressed natural gas fueling station for UPS to serve its Orlando area fleet. On May 4, 2017, the FPSC approved modifications to PGS's Natural Gas Vehicle Services tariffs to provide greater clarity regarding optional services offered by PGS for customers buying natural gas for natural gas vehicles.

Allocation of Intrastate Transmission Pipelines Costs

Some of Florida's natural gas providers are evaluating possible changes in how intrastate transmission pipeline capacity costs are allocated among customers. A segment of the natural gas market, primarily large volume commercial/industrial customers that are purchasing directly from a third party marketer, currently are not allocated intrastate transmission costs. The gas utilities have initiated communication with the third party marketers and large commercial customers to explore a revised cost allocation methodology. On August 13, 2016, the FPSC approved a petition by Florida Public Utilities Company and the Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities to address the allocation of intrastate transmission pipeline capacity costs.

On May 16, 2016, PGS filed a petition to accomplish two objectives: modify the rate at which PGS' upstream pipeline capacity is released to pool managers, and increase penalties for pool managers whose customers use more gas in a month than they are allocated. PGS currently contracts with 15 different pool managers who supply gas for transportation customers. On June 24, 2016, staff held a noticed meeting with PGS, the Office of Public Counsel, and various pool managers to address the petition. On July 15, 2016, PGS filed an amended petition to address concerns raised by the pool managers who attended the meeting. The FPSC approved PGS's amended petition on October 11, 2016.

Water & Wastewater Priorities

The water and wastewater industry, although not subject to competitive pressures, faces unique challenges of its own in the areas of quality of service, aging infrastructure, environmental compliance costs, rate relief requests, and reuse of reclaimed water.

Compared with other utility industries, water and wastewater utilities generally have smaller customer bases over which to spread increased costs. Lacking significant economies of scale, the effects of increased costs may be greater for customers of a water and wastewater utility than for those of other utilities. The Commission's role is to balance the goals of financial viability for the utility with the quality of service at reasonable rates for customers.

In all rate proceedings the Commission considers the extent to which a water utility provides service that meets secondary water standards established by the Department of Environmental Protection. Another consideration involves setting water rates that send accurate price signals to customers to encourage efficient use of a critical resource.

As a result of legislation passed in 2016, the Commission adopted rules addressing the filing requirements for a water or a wastewater utility to create a reserve fund for repair or replacement of infrastructure that is nearing the end of its useful life or is detrimental to the quality or reliability of service. In addition, consistent with the recommendations of the 2013 Study Committee on Investor-Owned Water and Wastewater Utilities, Commission staff along with the Florida Rural Water Association conducted a series of 10 workshops across Florida in 2016. The goal was to assist utilities by providing an overview of Commission regulation, updates on legislation affecting the utilities and providing information on regulatory issues. Participants included 47 owners, operators and managers representing 61 utilities.

During the fiscal year 2016-2017 the FPSC processed nine petitions for rate relief. The nine petitions consisted of four file and suspend cases and five staff assisted rate cases. The FPSC expects rate case activity for the water and wastewater industry to remain the same in the coming year.

KW Resort Utilities Corporation

On July 1, 2015, KW Resort Utilities Corporation (KWRU) filed a request for a revenue increase of \$1,438,381 (96.32 percent). Key issues in the case included the cost of expanding capacity of the wastewater treatment plant and construction of two shallow injection wells for disposal of treated effluent. OPC, Monroe County and the Harbor Shores Condominium Association were granted intervention in the case. The Commission found the utility's quality of service was satisfactory and approved a revenue increase of \$901,618 (58.75 percent) with an ROE of 11.16 percent. In addition, the Commission ordered that a new docket be opened to investigate whether the utility's billing practices violated any orders, rules, or statutes.

Telecommunications Priorities

In 1995, the Florida Legislature recognized the potential benefits of introducing competition for telecommunications services and enacted legislation to open local telecommunications markets to service providers other than the incumbent local exchange companies (ILECs). The following year, the United States Congress enacted the Telecommunications Act of 1996 making local competition a national objective. The emergence of technologies such as wireless and Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) has created an increasingly competitive market for telecommunications services. The Legislature amended Florida's law again in 2011, deregulating retail services and interexchange companies, in addition to measures intended to increase competition.

The FPSC will continue promoting competitive markets by resolving disputes between companies, facilitating company-to-company interconnection (arbitrations, contract

interpretations, complaints, etc.), and monitoring evolving telecommunications technology. Also, the FPSC will continue to address Lifeline and Telephone Relay Service and monitor related federal matters that may impact Florida carriers and consumers.

The Lifeline program provides a credit of up to \$9.25 per month to subscribers' bills to make telephone service affordable to eligible low-income customers. Lifeline is a program funded by the Federal Universal Service Fund. All customers contribute to the Universal Service Fund through a line item on their monthly interstate or international telephone bill. Wireless carriers designated as Eligible Telecommunications Carriers (ETCs) in Florida have been extremely successful in increasing Lifeline enrollment in Florida, thereby increasing Universal Service Fund benefits to our state.

To facilitate access to affordable telecommunications service for all consumers, the FPSC and the Department of Children and Families (DCF) implemented a Lifeline coordinated enrollment process. The FPSC and DCF are continuing to work together to streamline the enrollment process for Lifeline applicants. FPSC efforts ensure that all Florida consumers have access to telecommunications services at affordable rates.

Pursuant to the Telecommunications Access Services Act of 1991 (TASA), the FPSC is responsible for establishing, implementing, promoting, and overseeing the administration of a statewide telecommunications access system to provide access to telecommunications relay services to people who are hearing or speech impaired and those who communicate with them. As part of its TASA responsibility, the FPSC oversees Florida Telecommunications Relay, Inc., a not-for-profit corporation that fulfills certain TASA requirements by providing for the distribution of specialized equipment required for telecommunications services to the deaf, hard of hearing, and speech impaired and for outreach in the most cost-effective manner.

Three issues currently before the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) could potentially affect Florida telecommunications customers:

The telecommunications network is undergoing technological change. Time Division Multiplexing (TDM) has been a dominant telecommunications technology since the early 1960s. TDM is now being replaced by Internet Protocol (IP)-based architecture on a widespread basis. AT&T, Frontier, and CenturyLink have all indicated they will be converting from TDM to IP. The estimated time to convert varies by company and ranges from four to 10 years. The FCC has issued orders requiring certain safeguards that must be followed in an IP environment. The FPSC will continue to be involved with the regulatory issues surrounding the IP transition, including the appropriate level of state and federal regulation and wholesale interconnection requirements.

The FCC is looking into possible long-term changes to the basic telephone numbering system. Because of the increased use of mobile services, the evolution from TDM to IP technologies, and the transition to intercarrier bill-and-keep compensation, the FCC is looking into the possibility of eliminating geographic telephone numbers and area codes. On June 22, 2015, the FCC released an order that establishes a process to authorize interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) providers to obtain North American Numbering Plan (NANP) telephone numbers directly through the NANP administrators rather than through intermediaries. The FCC believes that decreasing the need to associate numbers with geography could allow more efficient allocation of limited numbering resources and expansion of the consumer benefits associated with the ability to transfer wireline numbers. The FCC is in the process of gathering information and comments on creating a unified or national numbering regime that would apply equally to all service providers, regardless of location, and how this regime would incorporate the current authority of the state commissions. The FCC will be examining the effects of eliminating geographic numbers on public safety, disability access, and routing/interconnection.

The FCC has made several decisions that could affect Lifeline customers in Florida in an order released April 27, 2016. The main decisions that will affect Florida Lifeline customers are:

- Changes in eligibility criteria.
- Changes in supported services, with broadband being added and stand-alone voice services potentially being phased out.
- Creation of a National Lifeline Eligibility Verifier to affirm the eligibility and enrollment of subscribers for Lifeline service.

Conclusion

Safe, reliable and affordable utility services are critical to promoting a positive business and social environment for Florida's residents. Measures of our success focus on ratemaking, customer protection, conservation, safety, and competitive market oversight. The FPSC's primary responsibility is to ensure that customers of regulated utility companies receive safe and reliable service at fair and reasonable rates. At the same time, the FPSC is required by law to ensure that rate base regulated companies are afforded an opportunity to earn a fair return on their investment in property dedicated to providing utility service. With Florida's dynamic energy climate, the targets are ever changing, and this task is more complex than ever before.

**FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION**

LRPP EXHIBIT II

**PERFORMANCE MEASURES
AND
STANDARDS**

LRPP Exhibit II - Performance Measures and Standards

Department: Florida Public Service Commission Department No: 61000000

Program: Utilities Regulation/Consumer Assistance Code: 1205.00.00.00
 Service/Budget Entity: Utility Regulation Code: 61030100

	Approved Performance Measure FY 2016-17	Approved Prior Year Standard FY 2016-17	Prior Year Actual FY 2016-17	Approved Standards For FY 2017-18	Requested Standards FY 2018-19
1	Percent of annual utility increases for average residential usage compared to inflation as measured by the Consumer Price Index (CPI). (composite)	CPI + 1 (1.26%)	-4.53%	CPI + 1	CPI + 1
2	Percent of utilities achieving within range and over range of last authorized ROE. (Electric)	100% / 0%	80% / 0%	100% / 0%	100% / 0%
3	Percent of utilities achieving within range and over range of last authorized ROE. (Gas)	29% / 0%	25% / 0%	29% / 0%	29% / 0%
4	Percent of utilities achieving within range and over range of last authorized ROE. (Water/Wastewater)	10% / 5%	12% / 5%	10% / 5%	10% / 5%
5	Proceedings to Evaluate or Resolve Wholesale Telecommunications Competitive Issues.	240	264	180	165
6	Percent of generation reserve margin for Florida electric utilities compared to industry standard. (Electric)	≥15%	26.6%	≥15%	≥15%
7	Percent of Gas and Class A&B Water and Wastewater companies that annually prepare planning documents for infrastructure needs and expected capital expenditures.	80%	81%	80%	80%
8	Number of outage related customer complaints. (Electric)	≤500	515	≤500	≤500
9	Number of outage related customer complaints. (Gas)	≤10	0	≤10	≤10
10	Number of outage related customer complaints. (Water & Wastewater)	≤50	50	≤50	≤50
11	Number of electric-related injuries or fatalities resulting from utility rule violations.	0	0	0	0

	Approved Performance Measure FY 2016-17	Approved Prior Year Standard FY 2016-17	Prior Year Actual FY 2016-17	Approved Standards For FY 2017-18	Requested Standards FY 2018-19
12	Number of gas-related injuries or fatalities resulting from utility rule violations.	0	0	0	0
13	Average allowed return on equity (ROE) in Florida compared to average ROE in the USA. (Electric)	USA +/- 1 9.77%	10.46%	USA +/- 1	USA +/- 1
14	Average allowed return on equity (ROE) in Florida compared to average ROE in the USA. (Gas)	USA +/- 1 9.5%	10.86%	USA +/- 1	USA +/- 1
15	Average allowed return on equity (ROE) in Florida compared to average ROE in the USA. (Water & Wastewater)	USA +/- 1 9.68%	9.95%	USA +/- 1	USA +/- 1
16	Number of events attended by the PSC for the purpose of promoting energy and water conservation.	30	42	30	30
17	Percent of jurisdictional water companies utilizing water conservation rates and/or structures.	40%	44%	40%	40%
18	Percent of utility energy efficiency programs evaluated annually for program effectiveness.	100%	100%	100%	100%
19	Percent of consumer complaints closed in 60 days.	85%	88.5%	85%	85%
20	Percent of consumer complaints closed through the informal resolution process, without a Commission hearing.	90%	99.9%	90%	90%
21	Percent of interconnection agreements processed within 100 days.	95%	99%	95%	95%

**FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION**

LRPP EXHIBIT III

**PERFORMANCE MEASURES
ASSESSMENT**

LRPP Exhibit III: PERFORMANCE MEASURE ASSESSMENT

Department: Florida Public Service Commission
Program: Utilities Regulation/Consumer Assistance
Service/Budget Entity: Utility Regulation
Measure: **#2 Percent of Utilities Achieving Within Range and Over Range of Last Authorized ROE: Electric**

Action:

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Performance Assessment of <u>Outcome</u> Measure | <input type="checkbox"/> Revision of Measure |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Performance Assessment of <u>Output</u> Measure | <input type="checkbox"/> Deletion of Measure |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adjustment of GAA Performance Standards | |

Approved Standard	Actual Performance Results	Difference (Over/Under)	Percentage Difference
100%/0%	80%/0%	20%/0%	20%

Factors Accounting for the Difference:

Internal Factors (check all that apply):

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Personnel Factors | <input type="checkbox"/> Staff Capacity |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Competing Priorities | <input type="checkbox"/> Level of Training |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Previous Estimate Incorrect | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Identify) |

Explanation:

External Factors (check all that apply):

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Resources Unavailable | <input type="checkbox"/> Technological Problems |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Legal/Legislative Change | <input type="checkbox"/> Natural Disaster |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Target Population Change | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (Identify) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> This Program/Service Cannot Fix the Problem | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Current Laws Are Working Against the Agency Mission | |

Explanation: One of the five electric utilities earned below its approved ROE range. Utilities are responsible for filing petitions for rate increases to address under earnings. The utility that earned below its authorized ROE filed a petition with the Commission in July 2017, seeking to recover costs associated with completed and anticipated capital improvements.

Management Efforts to Address Differences/Problems (check all that apply):

- | | |
|------------------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Training | <input type="checkbox"/> Technology |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Personnel | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Identify) |

Recommendations:

LRPP Exhibit III: PERFORMANCE MEASURE ASSESSMENT

Department: Florida Public Service Commission
Program: Utilities Regulation/Consumer Assistance
Service/Budget Entity: Utility Regulation
Measure: **#3 Percent of Utilities Achieving Within Range and Over Range of Last Authorized ROE: Gas**

Action:

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Performance Assessment of <u>Outcome</u> Measure | <input type="checkbox"/> Revision of Measure |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Performance Assessment of <u>Output</u> Measure | <input type="checkbox"/> Deletion of Measure |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adjustment of GAA Performance Standards | |

Approved Standard	Actual Performance Results	Difference (Over/Under)	Percentage Difference
29%/0%	25%/0%	4%/0%	13.8%/0%

Factors Accounting for the Difference:

Internal Factors (check all that apply):

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Personnel Factors | <input type="checkbox"/> Staff Capacity |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Competing Priorities | <input type="checkbox"/> Level of Training |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Previous Estimate Incorrect | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Identify) |

Explanation:

External Factors (check all that apply):

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Resources Unavailable | <input type="checkbox"/> Technological Problems |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Legal/Legislative Change | <input type="checkbox"/> Natural Disaster |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Target Population Change | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (Identify) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> This Program/Service Cannot Fix the Problem | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Current Laws Are Working Against the Agency Mission | |

Explanation: Of the eight gas utilities, two utilities earned within their authorized ranges, and six utilities earned below their authorized ranges. Utilities are responsible for filing petitions for rate increases to address under earnings.

Management Efforts to Address Differences/Problems (check all that apply):

- | | |
|------------------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Training | <input type="checkbox"/> Technology |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Personnel | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Identify) |

Recommendations:

LRPP Exhibit III: PERFORMANCE MEASURE ASSESSMENT

Department: Florida Public Service Commission
Program: Utility Regulation/ Consumer Assistance
Service/Budget Entity: Consumer Safety/Protection
Measure: **#8 Number of Outage Related Customer Complaints: Electric**

Action:

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Performance Assessment of <u>Outcome</u> Measure | <input type="checkbox"/> Revision of Measure |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Performance Assessment of <u>Output</u> Measure | <input type="checkbox"/> Deletion of Measure |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adjustment of GAA Performance Standards | |

Approved Standard	Actual Performance Results	Difference (Over/Under)	Percentage Difference
500	515	15 Over	3%

Factors Accounting for the Difference:

Internal Factors (check all that apply):

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Personnel Factors | <input type="checkbox"/> Staff Capacity |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Competing Priorities | <input type="checkbox"/> Level of Training |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Previous Estimate Incorrect | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Identify) |

Explanation:

External Factors (check all that apply):

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Resources Unavailable | <input type="checkbox"/> Technological Problems |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Legal/Legislative Change | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Natural Disaster |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Target Population Change | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Identify) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> This Program/Service Cannot Fix the Problem | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Current Laws Are Working Against the Agency Mission | |

Explanation: Florida was impacted by two hurricanes in 2016. Based on a review of complaints filed, a significant number of complaints were received within a week of the two hurricanes.

Management Efforts to Address Differences/Problems (check all that apply):

- | | |
|------------------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Training | <input type="checkbox"/> Technology |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Personnel | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Identify) |

Recommendations: No recommended changes at this time. The number of complaints appears to have been impacted by external factors outside of the utilities' control.

LRPP Exhibit III: PERFORMANCE MEASURE ASSESSMENT

Department: Florida Public Service Commission
Program: Utilities Regulation/Consumer Assistance
Service/Budget Entity: Utility Regulation
Measure: **#14 Average Allowed Return on Equity (ROE) in Florida Compared to Average ROE in the USA: Gas**

Action:

- Performance Assessment of Outcome Measure Revision of Measure
- Performance Assessment of Output Measure Deletion of Measure
- Adjustment of GAA Performance Standards

Approved Standard	Actual Performance Results	Difference (Over/Under)	Percentage Difference
USA +/- 1 (8.50% - 10.50%)	10.86%	.36%	3.4%

Factors Accounting for the Difference:

Internal Factors (check all that apply):

- Personnel Factors
- Competing Priorities
- Previous Estimate Incorrect
- Staff Capacity
- Level of Training
- Other (Identify)

Explanation:

External Factors (check all that apply):

- Resources Unavailable
- Legal/Legislative Change
- Target Population Change
- This Program/Service Cannot Fix the Problem
- Current Laws Are Working Against the Agency Mission
- Technological Problems
- Natural Disaster
- Other (Identify)

Explanation: The USA standard includes current ROE decisions. The current allowed ROEs for the gas utilities were approved by the Commission between 2004 and 2009, as part of each utility’s rate case. Market conditions have put downward pressure on capital costs, and thus, ROEs set after 2009, are considerably lower. In addition, risk characteristics of each utility form the basis for the approved ROE.

Management Efforts to Address Differences/Problems (check all that apply):

- Training
- Personnel
- Technology
- Other (Identify)

Recommendations:

Office of Policy and Budget – July 2017

**FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION**

LRPP EXHIBIT IV

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE VALIDITY
AND RELIABILITY**

**FPSC IS NOT REQUESTING
CHANGES IN STANDARDS FOR THE
2018-19 THROUGH 2022-23 LRPP**

**FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION**

LRPP EXHIBIT V

**ASSOCIATED ACTIVITIES
CONTRIBUTING TO PERFORMANCE
MEASURES**

LRPP Exhibit V: Identification of Associated Activity Contributing to Performance Measures

Measure Number	Approved Performance Measures for FY 2017-18		Associated Activities Title
1	Percentage of annual utility increases for average residential usage compared to inflation as measured by the Consumer Price Index (CPI). (Composite)		Ratemaking
2	Percent of utilities achieving within range and over range of last authorized ROE. (Electric)		Ratemaking
3	Percent of utilities achieving within range and over range of last authorized ROE. (Gas)		Ratemaking
4	Percent of utilities achieving within range and over range of last authorized ROE. (Water & Wastewater)		Ratemaking
5	Proceedings to Evaluate or Resolve Wholesale Telecommunications Competitive Issues.		Competitive Market Oversight
6	Percent of generation reserve margin for Florida electric utilities compared to industry standard. (Electric)		Reliability
7	Percent of Gas and Class A&B Water and Wastewater companies that annually prepare planning documents for infrastructure needs and expected capital expenditures.		Reliability
8	Number of outage related customer complaints. (Electric)		Reliability
9	Number of outage related customer complaints. (Gas)		Reliability
10	Number of outage related customer complaints. (Water & Wastewater)		Reliability
11	Number of electric-related injuries or fatalities resulting from utility rule violations.		Safety Oversight
12	Number of gas-related injuries or fatalities resulting from utility rule violations.		Safety Oversight

13	Average allowed return on equity (ROE) in Florida compared to average ROE in the USA: Electric		Ratemaking
14	Average allowed return on equity (ROE) in Florida compared to average ROE in the USA: Gas		Ratemaking
15	Average allowed return on equity (ROE) in Florida compared to average ROE in the USA: Water & Wastewater		Ratemaking
16	Number of events attended by the PSC for the purpose of promoting energy and water conservation.		Conservation
17	Percent of jurisdictional water companies utilizing water conservation rates and/or structures.		Conservation
18	Percent of utility energy efficiency programs evaluated annually for program effectiveness.		Conservation
19	Percent of consumer complaints closed in 60 days.		Consumer Protection and Assistance
20	Percent of consumer complaints closed through the informal resolution process, without a Commission hearing.		Consumer Protection and Assistance
21	Percent of interconnection agreements processed within 100 days		Competitive Market Oversight

Office of Policy and Budget – July 2017

**FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION**

LRPP EXHIBIT VI

**AGENCY-LEVEL UNIT
COST SUMMARY**

NUCSSP03 LAS/PBS SYSTEM
BUDGET PERIOD: 2008-2019
STATE OF FLORIDA

SP 08/24/2017 09:26
SCHED XI: AGENCY-LEVEL UNIT COST SUMMARY
AUDIT REPORT PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ACTIVITY ISSUE CODES SELECTED:

TRANSFER-STATE AGENCIES ACTIVITY ISSUE CODES SELECTED:

1-8:

AID TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS ACTIVITY ISSUE CODES SELECTED:

1-8:

THE FOLLOWING STATEWIDE ACTIVITIES (ACT0010 THROUGH ACT0490) HAVE AN OUTPUT STANDARD (RECORD TYPE 5)
AND SHOULD NOT:

*** NO ACTIVITIES FOUND ***

THE FCO ACTIVITY (ACT0210) CONTAINS EXPENDITURES IN AN OPERATING CATEGORY AND SHOULD NOT:
(NOTE: THIS ACTIVITY IS ROLLED INTO EXECUTIVE DIRECTION, ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT AND INFORMATION
TECHNOLOGY)

*** NO OPERATING CATEGORIES FOUND ***

THE FOLLOWING ACTIVITIES DO NOT HAVE AN OUTPUT STANDARD (RECORD TYPE 5) AND ARE REPORTED AS 'OTHER' IN
SECTION III: (NOTE: 'OTHER' ACTIVITIES ARE NOT 'TRANSFER-STATE AGENCY' ACTIVITIES OR 'AID TO LOCAL
GOVERNMENTS' ACTIVITIES. ALL ACTIVITIES WITH AN OUTPUT STANDARD (RECORD TYPE 5) SHOULD BE REPORTED
IN SECTION II.)

*** NO ACTIVITIES FOUND ***

TOTALS FROM SECTION I AND SECTIONS II + III:

DEPARTMENT: 61	EXPENDITURES	FCO
FINAL BUDGET FOR AGENCY (SECTION I):	25,195,305	
TOTAL BUDGET FOR AGENCY (SECTION III):	25,195,578	
	-----	-----
DIFFERENCE:	273-	
(MAY NOT EQUAL DUE TO ROUNDING)	=====	=====

**FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION**

GLOSSARY

TERMS AND ACRONYMS

Glossary

Terms and Acronyms

Alternative Cost Recovery – Any recovery mechanism that is different from the base rates mechanism is alternative cost recovery. An example of this for a nuclear construction project is recovery of project financing costs or carrying costs and other expenses as the project develops through the Capacity Cost Recovery Clause upon a showing that costs were prudently incurred.

Base Rate – The per unit rate (e.g., per kWh for an electric utility or per therm for a gas distribution utility) charge to customers.

Baseline Data – Indicators of a state agency's current performance level, pursuant to guidelines established by the Executive Office of the Governor in consultation with legislative appropriations and appropriate substantive committees.

Demand-Side Management – Energy users voluntarily lowering energy demand, thereby reducing the amount of energy that must be generated.

ETC – Eligible Telecommunications Carrier. A telephone company that has been designated eligible by a state public utility commission or the Federal Communications Commission to receive financial support for providing basic telephone services to qualified households and for high-cost telephone service.

FEECA – Florida Energy Efficiency and Conservation Act.

FEECA Utilities – Duke Energy Florida, LLC (DEF), Florida Power and Light Company (FPL), Florida Public Utilities Company (FPUC), Gulf Power Company (Gulf), Tampa Electric Company (TECO), Jacksonville Electric Authority (JEA) and Orlando Utilities Commission (OUC).

FPSC – Florida Public Service Commission.

F.S. – Florida Statutes.

IOU – Investor-Owned Utility.

kWh – Kilowatt hour.

KW – Kilowatt, or 1000 watts.

MW – Megawatt. A megawatt is the equivalent of 1000 kilowatts.

North American Numbering Plan (NANP) – NANP is a telephone numbering system originally developed by American Telephone and Telegraph (AT&T) in 1947 to make long distance direct dialing easier for customers. Each telephone number consists of ten digits: an area code and a seven digit local number.

NRC – Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Rate Base – The value of utility assets, less depreciation, upon which a utility earns a rate of return.

Reliability – The extent to which the measuring procedure yields the same results on repeated trials, and data are complete and sufficiently error-free for the intended use.

Renewable Energy – Energy from a source that is not depleted when used, such as wind or solar power.

Standard – The level of performance to an outcome or output.

Validity – The appropriateness of the measuring instrument in relation to the purpose for which it is being used.

Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) – A technology that transmits a telephone call over a data network such as the public internet.

Watt – A unit of power.