Sentencing Scoresheet Compliance Report

September 2011

A Report to the Florida Legislature Detailing the Rate of Compliance of Each Judicial Circuit in Providing Sentencing Guidelines Scoresheets to the Department of Corrections



Kenneth S. Tucker Secretary

Prepared by:
Florida Department of Corrections
Bureau of Research and Data Analysis
501 South Calhoun Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399-2500



Table of Contents

Executive Summaryi-iii
Tables
Scoresheets Received Compared with Admissions to the Department of Corrections (by Judicial Circuit)
Scoresheets Received Compared with Admissions to the Department of Corrections (by Judicial Circuit/County)2-4
Scoresheets Received by Judicial Circuit5
Scoresheets Received by Judicial Circuit/County6-7
Sanctions Imposed by Judicial Circuit8
Sanctions Imposed by Judicial Circuit/County9-11
Appendix Fotal Admissions to Prison by County of Commitment

Sentencing Scoresheet Compliance Report

Executive Summary

This report is in fulfillment of 921.002(4)(c) Florida Statutes, which mandates that the Florida Department of Corrections shall, no later than October 1 of each year, provide the Legislature with a sentencing scoresheet compliance report. This report details the compliance of each judicial circuit in submitting to the Department sentencing scoresheets for offenders convicted of felonies between July 1, 2010 and June 30, 2011.

Included in this report is the following information:

- 1. Using FY 2010-11 commitments to the Department of Corrections as a baseline for comparing scoresheet submissions, a compliance rate is provided for each judicial circuit and county. Commitments include all felons convicted and sentenced to state prison, probation or community control as well as modifications to and revocations of supervision. Scoresheets reported are those received through September 1, 2011. The statewide rate of compliance for this time period is 69.7%.
- 2. For scoresheets received with sentence dates during FY 2010-11 (including those with non-department sanctions), county, circuit and region totals are provided.
- 3. Circuit and county totals for scoresheets with FY 2010-11 sentence dates are listed according to most severe type of sanction. Of the 106,542 scoresheets received, 29,536 (27.7%) had a state prison sanction, 44,403 (41.7%) received a probation sanction, 6,604 (6.2%) received a community control sanction, and 24,619 (23.1%) received a county jail sanction.

The methodology for identifying missing scoresheets and calculating the compliance rate is described below:


• Consider all sentencing events (including new admissions, revocations and modifications) and compare them to the sentencing scoresheets received for each offender. There are three possible outcomes: the sentencing event matches the scoresheet, there is no scoresheet to match the sentencing event or there is a scoresheet but no record of a sentencing event. The compliance rate is then calculated by adding together the first two options (resulting in the total number of sentencing events) and comparing it to the number of scoresheets that match.

Note: The sentencing scoresheet database is dynamic in that it is constantly being updated. Every effort is made to include scoresheets that are received; however, there is a lag in data entry. While this lag time is generally less than 45 days it may exceed this in some of the larger circuits. As such, as more time is allowed for the data to mature, a more accurate and complete picture of the data may be presented.

Scoresheet Preparation

Beginning on July 1, 2001 Florida Statute 921.0024(3) gave sole responsibility for the preparation of scoresheets to the State Attorney. Previously this responsibility had been shared between the Department of Corrections and the State Attorney.

Sentencing Scoresheet Compliance Over 5 Years



Heggs V. State

In February 2000, the Florida Supreme Court, in its review of Curtis Leon Heggs, determined Chapter Law 95-184 to be unconstitutional due to a violation of the single subject rule of the Florida Constitution. This Chapter Law contained substantial changes in sentencing law referred commonly to as the "1995 Sentencing Guidelines."

In some cases, the 1995 Sentencing Guidelines provided for greater punishment than the 1994 Sentencing Guidelines. Some enhancements were made to the Guidelines, designed primarily to target offenders with more serious current or prior criminal records. There were a significant number of changes and the nature of the changes provided for significant effects for some offenders. However, many offenders sentenced under the 1995 Guidelines would have scored identically under the 1994 version of the law.

In May 2000, the Supreme Court revised and finalized their opinion in this case and further clarified who is eligible for re-sentencing. This decision stated that "only those persons adversely affected by the amendments made by Chapter Law 95-184 may rely on our decision here to obtain relief. Stated another way, in the guidelines context, we determine that if a person's sentence imposed under the 1995 Guidelines could have been imposed under the 1994 Guidelines (without a departure), then that person shall not be entitled to relief. "

The Supreme Court declined to rule in Heggs as to when the window period closed for offenders claiming their guidelines sentences are invalid due to the amendments contained in Chapter Law 95-184. However, in its review of Xzavier Trapp, the Supreme Court determined that the window period for challenging the Sentencing Guidelines provisions amended in Chapter Law 95-184 opened in October 1, 1995 and closed on May 24, 1997.

Approximately 192,267 sentencing events occurred under the 1995 sentencing structure for offenses committed between October 1, 1995 and May 24, 1997, based on the Guidelines scoresheets received by the Department of Corrections through February 29, 2000. Original analysis indicated that 73,467 of these sentencing events evidenced scores adversely affected by the changes brought about in the 1995 guidelines. This analysis did not determine that the 1995 sentence was outside the range of the 1994 sentence, only that the scoresheet calculation reflected a difference in points.

Due to the potential number of re-sentencing events, it would be inaccurate to attempt to analyze and compare the 1995 sentencing guidelines to other versions of the sentencing scoresheet. As re-sentencing events occur, the original 1995 scoresheet will be replaced in the database with a 1994 scoresheet. This is necessary because the re-sentencing invalidates the original sentencing event. Likewise, the new sentence will overwrite the original sentence in DOC sentence structure and databases. A computerized record of the original sentence is not kept in the database that is used for data analysis.

As such, it is not possible to determine which scoresheets are the result of a re-sentencing or to verify that a re-sentencing event was a result of the Heggs ruling. Therefore, any analysis of this time period would yield a mixture of offenders sentenced under both the 1994 and 1995 guidelines.

For the analysis of the compliance rates, we are excluding all scoresheets with offense dates that occur from October 1, 1995 through May 24, 1997 (less than 2% of all scoresheets received).

Scoresheets Received Compared with Admissions* to the Department of Corrections by Judicial Circuit

(Scoresheets Received through September 1, 2011 for Offenders Sentenced between July 1, 2010 and June 30, 2011)**

Judicial Circuit	Supervi	sion Admissi	ons	Pris	son Admission	ons	All Admissions			
	Total	With Scor	resheets	Total	With Scoresheets		Total	With Sco	With Scoresheets	
1 (Pensacola)	4,535	3,283	72.4%	1,538	956	62.2%	6,073	4,239	69.8%	
2 (Tallahassee)	2,748	1,441	52.4%	1,230	584	47.5%	3,978	2,025	50.9%	
3 (Lake City)	1,715	610	35.6%	759	358	47.2%	2,474	968	39.1%	
4 (Jacksonville)	2,675	668	25.0%	3,037	2,200	72.4%	5,712	2,868	50.2%	
5 (Tavares)	5,147	3,395	66.0%	2,210	1,349	61.0%	7,357	4,744	64.5%	
6 (Clearwater)	7,363	6,894	93.6%	3,205	2,996	93.5%	10,568	9,890	93.6%	
7 (Daytona)	4,312	2,605	60.4%	1,610	937	58.2%	5,922	3,542	59.8%	
8 (Gainesville)	1,851	824	44.5%	1,047	362	34.6%	2,898	1,186	40.9%	
9 (Orlando)	6,279	4,400	70.1%	2,352	2,069	88.0%	8,631	6,469	75.0%	
10 (Bartow)	3,530	2,609	73.9%	1,609	1,281	79.6%	5,139	3,890	75.7%	
11 (Miami)	7,618	3,780	49.6%	1,844	1,031	55.9%	9,462	4,811	50.8%	
12 (Sarasota)	3,186	2,760	86.6%	1,225	1,137	92.8%	4,411	3,897	88.3%	
13 (Tampa)	5,724	3,920	68.5%	2,275	1,505	66.2%	7,999	5,425	67.8%	
14 (Panama City)	2,547	1,414	55.5%	1,136	785	69.1%	3,683	2,199	59.7%	
15 (West Palm Beach)	2,179	1,706	78.3%	1,493	1,392	93.2%	3,672	3,098	84.4%	
16 (Key West)	546	443	81.1%	170	143	84.1%	716	586	81.8%	
17 (Ft. Lauderdale)	7,981	5,420	67.9%	2,032	1,423	70.0%	10,013	6,843	68.3%	
18 (Sanford)	4,833	3,621	74.9%	1,620	1,238	76.4%	6,453	4,859	75.3%	
19 (Ft. Pierce)	2,396	2,174	90.7%	1,472	1,365	92.7%	3,868	3,539	91.5%	
20 (Ft. Myers)	4,357	3,763	86.4%	1,864	1,543	82.8%	6,221	5,306	85.3%	
Statewide	81,522	55,730	68.4%	33,728	24,654	73.1%	115,250	80,384	69.7%	

^{*}Admissions include original sentencing events that result in the offender being remanded to the department as well as modification of sentences and revocations of supervision.

Note: Scoresheets prepared on an incorrect version of the guidelines due to offense date, or 1995 or subsequent scoresheets lacking judge's signature are not included in total.

Also, totals do not include county jail or other sanctions.

^{**} Excludes offense dates between October 1, 1995 and May 24, 1997.

Scoresheets Received Compared with Admissions* to the Department of Corrections by County

Jud	dicial Circuit Number / County	Superv	ision Admissi	ons	Pris	on Admissio	ons	All Admissions			
	7 County	Total	With Scoresheets		Total	With Sco	resheets	Total	With Scoresheets		
1	Escambia	2,019	1,754	86.9%	868	748	86.2%	2,887	2,502	86.7%	
	Okaloosa	1,248	769	61.6%	304	163	53.6%	1,552	932	60.1%	
	Santa Rosa	812	485	59.7%	280	45	16.1%	1,092	530	48.5%	
	Walton	456	275	60.3%	86	0	0.0%	542	275	50.7%	
2	Franklin	115	79	68.7%	54	33	61.1%	169	112	66.3%	
	Gadsden	407	232	57.0%	204	121	59.3%	611	353	57.8%	
	Jefferson	124	78	62.9%	66	43	65.2%	190	121	63.7%	
	Leon	1,826	992	54.3%	799	363	45.4%	2,625	1,355	51.6%	
	Liberty	95	59	62.1%	31	16	51.6%	126	75	59.5%	
	Wakulla	181	1	0.6%	76	8	10.5%	257	9	3.5%	
3	Columbia	612	332	54.2%	319	255	79.9%	931	587	63.1%	
	Dixie	126	29	23.0%	75	24	32.0%	201	53	26.4%	
	Hamilton	145	31	21.4%	35	2	5.7%	180	33	18.3%	
	Lafayette	57	24	42.1%	15	6	40.0%	72	30	41.7%	
	Madison	138	0	0.0%	62	0	0.0%	200	0	0.0%	
	Suwannee	356	30	8.4%	156	40	25.6%	512	70	13.7%	
	Taylor	281	164	58.4%	97	31	32.0%	378	195	51.6%	
4	Clay	634	560	88.3%	415	308	74.2%	1,049	868	82.7%	
	Duval	1,719	88	5.1%	2,495	1,801	72.2%	4,214	1,889	44.8%	
	Nassau	322	20	6.2%	127	91	71.7%	449	111	24.7%	
5	Citrus	523	279	53.3%	257	108	42.0%	780	387	49.6%	
	Hernando	1,047	764	73.0%	417	313	75.1%	1,464	1,077	73.6%	
	Lake	1,508	1,110	73.6%	567	331	58.4%	2,075	1,441	69.4%	
	Marion	1,752	945	53.9%	853	487	57.1%	2,605	1,432	55.0%	
	Sumter	317	297	93.7%	116	110	94.8%	433	407	94.0%	

Scoresheets Received Compared with Admissions* to the Department of Corrections by County

Judicial Circuit Numb / County	er Superv	rision Admissi	ons	Pris	son Admissic	ons	All Admissions			
/ County	Total	With Scoresheets		Total	With Sco	oresheets	Total	Total With Scoreshee		
6 Pasco	2,458	2,288	93.1%	933	880	94.3%	3,391	3,168	93.4%	
Pinellas	4,905	4,606	93.9%	2,272	2,116	93.1%	7,177	6,722	93.7%	
7 Flagler	331	148	44.7%	145	92	63.4%	476	240	50.4%	
Putnam	587	519	88.4%	247	182	73.7%	834	701	84.1%	
St. Johns	588	384	65.3%	364	186	51.1%	952	570	59.9%	
Volusia	2,806	1,554	55.4%	854	477	55.9%	3,660	2,031	55.5%	
8 Alachua	1,238	542	43.8%	667	286	42.9%	1,905	828	43.5%	
Baker	151	69	45.7%	73	5	6.8%	224	74	33.0%	
Bradford	170	142	83.5%	51	35	68.6%	221	177	80.1%	
Gilchrist	44	21	47.7%	23	7	30.4%	67	28	41.8%	
Levy	177	0	0.0%	202	0	0.0%	379	0	0.0%	
Union	71	50	70.4%	31	29	93.5%	102	79	77.5%	
9 Orange	4,809	3,211	66.8%	1,810	1608	88.8%	6,619	4,819	72.8%	
Osceola	1,470	1,189	80.9%	542	461	85.1%	2,012	1,650	82.0%	
10 Hardee	127	72	56.7%	76	25	32.9%	203	97	47.8%	
Highlands	474	418	88.2%	208	170	81.7%	682	588	86.2%	
Polk	2,929	2,119	72.3%	1,325	1086	82.0%	4,254	3,205	75.3%	
11 Miami-Dade	7,618	3,780	49.6%	1,844	1,031	55.9%	9,462	4,811	50.8%	
12 Desoto	198	159	80.3%	118	110	93.2%	316	269	85.1%	
Manatee	1,263	1,139	90.2%	573	548	95.6%	1,836	1,687	91.9%	
Sarasota	1,725	1,462	84.8%	534	479	89.7%	2,259	1,941	85.9%	
13 Hillsborough	5,724	3,920	68.5%	2,275	1,505	66.2%	7,999	5,425	67.8%	
14 Bay	1,642	1,098	66.9%	732	614	83.9%	2,374	1,712	72.1%	
Calhoun	117	84	71.8%	40	27	67.5%	157	111	70.7%	
Gulf	73	49	67.1%	41	30	73.2%	114	79	69.3%	

Scoresheets Received Compared with Admissions* to the Department of Corrections by County

(Scoresheets Received through September 1, 2011 for Offenders Sentenced between July 1, 2010 and June 30, 2011)**

Judicial Circuit Number / County	Superv	ision Admissi	ons	Pris	on Admissio	ons	All Admissions			
/ County	Total	With Scor	esheets	Total	With Sco	oresheets	Total	Total With Scoresheets		
Holmes	179	28	15.6%	61	4	6.6%	240	32	13.3%	
Jackson	294	143	48.6%	182	109	59.9%	476	252	52.9%	
Washington	242	12	5.0%	80	1	1.3%	322	13	4.0%	
15 Palm Beach	2,179	1,706	78.3%	1,493	1392	93.2%	3,672	3,098	84.4%	
16 Monroe	546	443	81.1%	170	143	84.1%	716	586	81.8%	
17 Broward	7,981	5,420	67.9%	2,032	1,423	70.0%	10,013	6,843	68.3%	
18 Brevard	3,005	2,324	77.3%	1213	976	80.5%	4,218	3,300	78.2%	
Seminole	1,828	1,297	71.0%	407	262	64.4%	2,235	1,559	69.8%	
19 Indian River	625	571	91.4%	281	261	92.9%	906	832	91.8%	
Martin	528	469	88.8%	394	373	94.7%	922	842	91.3%	
Okeechobee	260	218	83.8%	137	122	89.1%	397	340	85.6%	
St. Lucie	983	916	93.2%	660	609	92.3%	1,643	1,525	92.8%	
20 Charlotte	857	693	80.9%	348	303	87.1%	1,205	996	82.7%	
Collier	723	680	94.1%	388	365	94.1%	1,111	1,045	94.1%	
Glades	89	61	68.5%	24	6	25.0%	113	67	59.3%	
Hendry	230	181	78.7%	109	86	78.9%	339	267	78.8%	
Lee	2,458	2,148	87.4%	995	783	78.7%	3,453	2,931	84.9%	
Statewide	81,522	55,730	68.4%	33,728	24,654	73.1%	115,250	80,384	69.7%	

^{*}Admissions include original sentencing events that result in the offender being remanded to the department as well as modification of sentences and revocations of supervision.

Note: Scoresheets prepared on an incorrect version of the guidelines due to offense date, or 1995 or subsequent scoresheets lacking judge's signature are not included in total.

Also, totals do not include county jail or other sanctions.

^{**} Excludes offense dates between October 1, 1995 and May 24, 1997.

Scoresheets Received by Judicial Circuit

	Scoresheet	ts Received
Judicial Circuit	Number	Percent
1 (Pensacola)	5,467	5.1%
2 (Tallahassee)	2,326	2.2%
3 (Lake City)	1,063	1.0%
4 (Jacksonville)	4,514	4.2%
5 (Tavares)	5,990	5.6%
6 (Clearwater)	14,389	13.5%
7 (Daytona)	4,733	4.4%
8 (Gainesville)	1,615	1.5%
9 (Orlando)	8,579	8.1%
10 (Bartow)	4,609	4.3%
11 (Miami)	6,822	6.4%
12 (Sarasota)	5,459	5.1%
13 (Tampa)	6,917	6.5%
14 (Panama City)	2,662	2.5%
15 (West Palm Beach)	5,304	5.0%
16 (Key West)	793	0.7%
17 (Ft. Lauderdale)	8,091	7.6%
18 (Sanford)	5,684	5.3%
19 (Ft. Pierce)	4,646	4.4%
20 (Ft. Myers)	6,879	6.5%
GRAND TOTAL	106,542	100.0%

^{*} Total number of scoresheets received by the Department, including those with offense dates between October 1, 1995 and May 24, 1997.

Scoresheets Received by County

Ju	Idicial Circuit Number / County	Scoresheets Received Number Percent						
1	Escambia							
		3,414	3.2%					
	Okaloosa	1,169	1.1%					
	Santa Rosa	593	0.6%					
_	Walton	291	0.3%					
2	Franklin	139	0.1%					
	Gadsden	396	0.4%					
	Jefferson	126	0.1%					
	Leon	1,568	1.5%					
	Liberty	88	0.1%					
	Wakulla	9	0.0%					
3	Columbia	657	0.6%					
	Dixie	55	0.1%					
	Hamilton	35	0.0%					
	Lafayette	30	0.0%					
	Madison	0	0.0%					
	Suwannee	75	0.1%					
	Taylor	211	0.2%					
4	Clay	1,469	1.4%					
	Duval	2,903	2.7%					
	Nassau	142	0.1%					
5	Citrus	469	0.4%					
	Hernando	1,319	1.2%					
	Lake	1,867	1.8%					
	Marion	1,829	1.7%					
	Sumter	506	0.5%					
6	Pasco	3,988	3.7%					
$\ $	Pinellas	10,401	9.8%					
7	Flagler	279	0.3%					
	Putnam	969	0.5%					
	St. Johns	611	0.9%					
<u> </u>	Volusia	2,874	2.7%					
8	Alachua	1,152	1.1%					
	Baker	84	0.1%					
	Bradford	245	0.2%					
	Gilchrist	33	0.0%					
	Levy	0	0.0%					
	Union	101	0.1%					

Scoresheets Received by County

Ju	dicial Circuit Number / County							
		Number	Percent					
9	Orange	6,435	6.0%					
	Osceola	2,144	2.0%					
10	Hardee	122	0.1%					
	Highlands	699	0.7%					
	Polk	3,788	3.6%					
11	Miami-Dade	6,822	6.4%					
12	Desoto	342	0.3%					
	Manatee	2,408	2.3%					
	Sarasota	2,709	2.5%					
13	Hillsborough	6,917	6.5%					
14	Bay	1,940	1.8%					
	Calhoun	135	0.1%					
	Gulf	93	0.1%					
	Holmes	38	0.0%					
	Jackson	432	0.4%					
	Washington	24	0.0%					
15	Palm Beach	5,304	5.0%					
16	Monroe	793	0.7%					
17	Broward	8,091	7.6%					
18	Brevard	3,807	3.6%					
	Seminole	1,877	1.8%					
19	Indian River	1,093	1.0%					
	Martin	1,113	1.0%					
	Okeechobee	427	0.4%					
	St. Lucie	2,013	1.9%					
20	Charlotte	1,179	1.1%					
	Collier	1,434	1.3%					
	Glades	86	0.1%					
	Hendry	333	0.3%					
	Lee	3,847	3.6%					
Sta	tewide	106,542	100.0%					

^{*} Total number of scoresheets received by the Department, including those with offense dates between October 1, 1995 and May 24, 1997.

Sanctions by Judicial Circuit

(Scoresheets Received through September 1, 2011 for Offenders Sentenced between July 1, 2010 and June 30, 2011)*

	ludicial Circuit				Sanct	ion on Sc	oreshee	t				Total	
	Judicial Circuit	Count	y Jail	Proba	Probation		Community Control		State Prison		her sition**	Total	
1	(Pensacola)	1,238	22.6%	2,361	43.2%	594	10.9%	1,121	20.5%	153	2.8%	5,467	5.1%
2	(Tallahassee)	315	13.5%	1,103	47.4%	195	8.4%	666	28.6%	47	2.0%	2,326	2.2%
3	(Lake City)	56	5.3%	426	40.1%	136	12.8%	445	41.9%	0	0.0%	1,063	1.0%
4	(Jacksonville)	598	13.2%	588	13.0%	57	1.3%	3,267	72.4%	4	0.1%	4,514	4.2%
5	(Tavares)	1,287	21.5%	2,732	45.6%	332	5.5%	1,509	25.2%	130	2.2%	5,990	5.6%
6	(Clearwater)	4,081	28.4%	5,564	38.7%	1,020	7.1%	3,406	23.7%	318	2.2%	14,389	13.5%
7	(Daytona)	1,178	24.9%	2,054	43.4%	182	3.8%	1,144	24.2%	175	3.7%	4,733	4.4%
8	(Gainesville)	368	22.8%	610	37.8%	108	6.7%	441	27.3%	88	5.4%	1,615	1.5%
9	(Orlando)	2,183	25.4%	3,512	40.9%	417	4.9%	2,452	28.6%	15	0.2%	8,579	8.1%
10	(Bartow)	702	15.2%	1,713	37.2%	590	12.8%	1,575	34.2%	29	0.6%	4,609	4.3%
11	(Miami)	1,918	28.1%	3,391	49.7%	305	4.5%	1,207	17.7%	1	0.0%	6,822	6.4%
12	(Sarasota)	1,765	32.3%	1,989	36.4%	377	6.9%	1,302	23.9%	26	0.5%	5,459	5.1%
13	(Tampa)	1,295	18.7%	3,270	47.3%	354	5.1%	1,891	27.3%	107	1.5%	6,917	6.5%
14	(Panama City)	109	4.1%	1,177	44.2%	284	10.7%	1,072	40.3%	20	0.8%	2,662	2.5%
15	(West Palm Beach)	2,228	42.0%	1,455	27.4%	87	1.6%	1,515	28.6%	19	0.4%	5,304	5.0%
16	(Key West)	117	14.8%	434	54.7%	33	4.2%	152	19.2%	57	7.2%	793	0.7%
17	(Ft. Lauderdale)	1,194	14.8%	4,829	59.7%	330	4.1%	1,667	20.6%	71	0.9%	8,091	7.6%
18	(Sanford)	1,077	18.9%	2,693	47.4%	570	10.0%	1,314	23.1%	30	0.5%	5,684	5.3%
19	(Ft. Pierce)	1,136	24.5%	1,686	36.3%	212	4.6%	1,538	33.1%	74	1.6%	4,646	4.4%
20	(Ft. Myers)	1,774	25.8%	2,816	40.9%	421	6.1%	1,852	26.9%	16	0.2%	6,879	6.5%
Sta	tewide	24,619	23.1%	44,403	41.7%	6,604	6.2%	29,536	27.7%	1,380	1.3%	106,542	100.0%

Note: County jail includes time served and state prison includes life sentences.

^{*} Total number of scoresheets received by the Department, including those with offense dates between October 1, 1995 and May 24, 1997.

^{** &}quot;Other Disposition" includes fines, county and non-reporting probation, termination of probation and re-instatement to probation.

Sanctions by County

Judicial Circuit Number / County		Sanction on Scoresheet											
		County Jail		Probation		Community	Community Control		rison	Other Disposition**		Total	
1	Escambia	964	28.2%	1,243	36.4%	243	7.1%	883	25.9%	81	2.4%	3,414	3.2%
	Okaloosa	202	17.3%	562	48.1%	189	16.2%	190	16.3%	26	2.2%	1,169	1.1%
	Santa Rosa	70	11.8%	336	56.7%	100	16.9%	48	8.1%	39	6.6%	593	0.6%
	Walton	2	0.7%	220	75.6%	62	21.3%	0	0.0%	7	2.4%	291	0.3%
2	Franklin	21	15.1%	48	34.5%	18	12.9%	49	35.3%	3	2.2%	139	0.1%
	Gadsden	25	6.3%	207	52.3%	19	4.8%	143	36.1%	2	0.5%	396	0.4%
	Jefferson	2	1.6%	64	50.8%	12	9.5%	47	37.3%	1	0.8%	126	0.1%
	Leon	259	16.5%	730	46.6%	142	9.1%	396	25.3%	41	2.6%	1,568	1.5%
	Liberty	7	8.0%	54	61.4%	4	4.5%	23	26.1%	0	0.0%	88	0.1%
	Wakulla	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	88.9%	0	0.0%	9	0.0%
3	Columbia	40	6.1%	227	34.6%	87	13.2%	303	46.1%	0	0.0%	657	0.6%
	Dixie	5	9.1%	10	18.2%	10	18.2%	30	54.5%	0	0.0%	55	0.1%
	Hamilton	0	0.0%	25	71.4%	1	2.9%	9	25.7%	0	0.0%	35	0.0%
	Lafayette	1	3.3%	14	46.7%	5	16.7%	10	33.3%	0	0.0%	30	0.0%
	Madison	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Suwannee	0	0.0%	29	38.7%	2	2.7%	44	58.7%	0	0.0%	75	0.1%
	Taylor	10	4.7%	121	57.3%	31	14.7%	49	23.2%	0	0.0%	211	0.2%
4	Clay	522	35.5%	514	35.0%	39	2.7%	390	26.5%	4	0.3%	1,469	1.4%
	Duval	72	2.5%	63	2.2%	18	0.6%	2,750	94.7%	0	0.0%	2,903	2.7%
	Nassau	4	2.8%	11	7.7%	0	0.0%	127	89.4%	0	0.0%	142	0.1%
5	Citrus	64	13.6%	235	50.1%	38	8.1%	115	24.5%	17	3.6%	469	0.4%
	Hernando	342	25.9%	527	40.0%	88	6.7%	358	27.1%	4	0.3%	1,319	1.2%
	Lake	346	18.5%	1,063	56.9%	78	4.2%	370	19.8%	10	0.5%	1,867	1.8%
	Marion	417	22.8%	695	38.0%	103	5.6%	515	28.2%	99	5.4%	1,829	1.7%
	Sumter	118	23.3%	212	41.9%	25	4.9%	151	29.8%	0	0.0%	506	0.5%

Sanctions by County

	diaial Circuit Number /	Sanction on Scoresheet											
Ju	dicial Circuit Number / County	County Jail		Probation		Community Control		State Prison		Other Disposition**		Total	
6	Pasco	644	16.1%	1,718	43.1%	452	11.3%	1,057	26.5%	117	2.9%	3,988	3.7%
	Pinellas	3,437	33.0%	3,846	37.0%	568	5.5%	2,349	22.6%	201	1.9%	10,401	9.8%
7	Flagler	16	5.7%	117	41.9%	22	7.9%	111	39.8%	13	4.7%	279	0.3%
	Putnam	193	19.9%	411	42.4%	24	2.5%	237	24.5%	104	10.7%	969	0.9%
	St. Johns	64	10.5%	337	55.2%	9	1.5%	201	32.9%	0	0.0%	611	0.6%
	Volusia	905	31.5%	1,189	41.4%	127	4.4%	595	20.7%	58	2.0%	2,874	2.7%
8	Alachua	271	23.5%	400	34.7%	61	5.3%	351	30.5%	69	6.0%	1,152	1.1%
	Baker	6	7.1%	38	45.2%	30	35.7%	10	11.9%	0	0.0%	84	0.1%
	Bradford	67	27.3%	113	46.1%	10	4.1%	37	15.1%	18	7.3%	245	0.2%
	Gilchrist	4	12.1%	15	45.5%	2	6.1%	11	33.3%	1	3.0%	33	0.0%
	Levy	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Union	20	19.8%	44	43.6%	5	5.0%	32	31.7%	0	0.0%	101	0.1%
9	Orange	1,524	23.7%	2,707	42.1%	267	4.1%	1,922	29.9%	15	0.2%	6,435	6.0%
	Osceola	659	30.7%	805	37.5%	150	7.0%	530	24.7%	0	0.0%	2,144	2.0%
10	Hardee	16	13.1%	68	55.7%	10	8.2%	28	23.0%	0	0.0%	122	0.1%
	Highlands	112	16.0%	282	40.3%	64	9.2%	214	30.6%	27	3.9%	699	0.7%
	Polk	574	15.2%	1,363	36.0%	516	13.6%	1,333	35.2%	2	0.1%	3,788	3.6%
11	Miami-Dade	1,918	28.1%	3,391	49.7%	305	4.5%	1,207	17.7%	1	0.0%	6,822	6.4%
12	Desoto	79	23.1%	92	26.9%	41	12.0%	130	38.0%	0	0.0%	342	0.3%
	Manatee	838	34.8%	817	33.9%	142	5.9%	611	25.4%	0	0.0%	2,408	2.3%
	Sarasota	848	31.3%	1,080	39.9%	194	7.2%	561	20.7%	26	1.0%	2,709	2.5%
13	Hillsborough	1,295	18.7%	3,270	47.3%	354	5.1%	1,891	27.3%	107	1.5%	6,917	6.5%
14	Bay	59	3.0%	878	45.3%	189	9.7%	798	41.1%	16	0.8%	1,940	1.8%
	Calhoun	9	6.7%	56	41.5%	28	20.7%	42	31.1%	0	0.0%	135	0.1%
	Gulf	11	11.8%	40	43.0%	5	5.4%	36	38.7%	1	1.1%	93	0.1%

Sanctions by County

(Scoresheets Received through September 1, 2011 for Offenders Sentenced between July 1, 2010 and June 30, 2011)**

Ludicial Circuit Number /		Sanction on Scoresheet										
Judicial Circuit Number / County	County	Jail	Proba	tion	Community	Control	State P	rison	Oth Dispos		Tot	al
Holmes	0	0.0%	27	71.1%	7	18.4%	4	10.5%	0	0.0%	38	0.0%
Jackson	30	6.9%	164	38.0%	44	10.2%	191	44.2%	3	0.7%	432	0.4%
Washington	0	0.0%	12	50.0%	11	45.8%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	24	0.0%
15 Palm Beach	2,228	42.0%	1,455	27.4%	87	1.6%	1,515	28.6%	19	0.4%	5,304	5.0%
16 Monroe	117	14.8%	434	54.7%	33	4.2%	152	19.2%	57	7.2%	793	0.7%
17 Broward	1,194	14.8%	4,829	59.7%	330	4.1%	1,667	20.6%	71	0.9%	8,091	7.6%
18 Brevard	724	19.0%	1,569	41.2%	463	12.2%	1,024	26.9%	27	0.7%	3,807	3.6%
Seminole	353	18.8%	1,124	59.9%	107	5.7%	290	15.5%	3	0.2%	1,877	1.8%
19 Indian River	256	23.4%	457	41.8%	71	6.5%	293	26.8%	16	1.5%	1,093	1.0%
Martin	248	22.3%	363	32.6%	52	4.7%	413	37.1%	37	3.3%	1,113	1.0%
Okeechobee	84	19.7%	169	39.6%	33	7.7%	141	33.0%	0	0.0%	427	0.4%
St. Lucie	548	27.2%	697	34.6%	56	2.8%	691	34.3%	21	1.0%	2,013	1.9%
20 Charlotte	249	21.1%	463	39.3%	124	10.5%	342	29.0%	1	0.1%	1,179	1.1%
Collier	388	27.1%	490	34.2%	117	8.2%	437	30.5%	2	0.1%	1,434	1.3%
Glades	9	10.5%	64	74.4%	2	2.3%	10	11.6%	1	1.2%	86	0.1%
Hendry	63	18.9%	145	43.5%	9	2.7%	115	34.5%	1	0.3%	333	0.3%
Lee	1,065	27.7%	1,654	43.0%	169	4.4%	948	24.6%	11	0.3%	3,847	3.6%
Statewide	24,619	23.1%	44,403	41.7%	6,604	6.2%	29,536	27.7%	1,380	1.3%	106,542	100.0%

Note: County jail includes time served and state prison includes life sentences.

^{*} Total number of scoresheets received by the Department, including those with offense dates between October 1, 1995 and May 24, 1997.

^{** &}quot;Other Disposition" includes fines, county and non-reporting probation, termination of probation and re-instatement to probation.

APPENDIX

Total Admissions To Prison Fiscal Year 2010-2011

By County of Commitment

County	Total	Percent
ALACHUA	681	1.9%
BAKER	73	0.2%
BAY	867	2.4%
BRADFORD	46	0.1%
BREVARD	1208	3.3%
BROWARD	2034	5.6%
CALHOUN	43	0.1%
CHARLOTTE	338	0.9%
CITRUS	250	0.7%
CLAY	382	1.0%
COLLIER	394	1.1%
COLUMBIA	352	1.0%
MIAMI-DADE	1940	5.3%
DESOTO	113	0.3%
DIXIE	79	0.2%
DUVAL	2577	7.1%
ESCAMBIA	925	2.5%
FLAGLER	135	0.4%
FRANKLIN	52	0.1%
GADSDEN	191	0.5%
GILCHRIST	23	0.1%
GLADES	23	0.1%
GULF	33	0.1%
HAMILTON	33	0.1%
HARDEE	79	0.2%
HENDRY	112	0.3%
HERNANDO	374	1.0%
HIGHLANDS	241	0.7%
HILLSBOROUGH	2510	6.9%
HOLMES	55	0.2%
INDIAN RIVER	306	0.8%
JACKSON	186	0.5%
JEFFERSON	52	0.1%
LAFAYETTE	15	0.0%
LAKE	584	1.6%
LEE	957	2.6%

County	Total	Percent
LEON	774	2.1%
LEVY	200	0.5%
LIBERTY	23	0.1%
MADISON	59	0.2%
MANATEE	560	1.5%
MARION	824	2.3%
MARTIN	387	1.1%
MONROE	191	0.5%
NASSAU	126	0.3%
OKALOOSA	316	0.9%
OKEECHOBEE	144	0.4%
ORANGE	1769	4.9%
OSCEOLA	537	1.5%
PALM BEACH	1484	4.1%
PASCO	925	2.5%
PINELLAS	2242	6.2%
POLK	1477	4.1%
PUTNAM	246	0.7%
ST. JOHNS	357	1.0%
ST. LUCIE	642	1.8%
SANTA ROSA	263	0.7%
SARASOTA	485	1.3%
SEMINOLE	399	1.1%
SUMTER	120	0.3%
SUWANNEE	143	0.4%
TAYLOR	116	0.3%
UNION	20	0.1%
VOLUSIA	903	2.5%
WAKULLA	51	0.1%
WALTON	82	0.2%
WASHINGTON	78	0.2%
Other States	13	0.0%
Data Unavailable	773	
TOTAL	34,992	

Total Admissions To Prison Fiscal Year 2010-2011 By Circuit

CIRCUIT 1	1,586
CIRCUIT 2	1,143
CIRCUIT 3	797
CIRCUIT 4	3,085
CIRCUIT 5	2,152
CIRCUIT 6	3,167
CIRCUIT 7	1,641
CIRCUIT 8	1,043
CIRCUIT 9	2,306
CIRCUIT 10	1,797

CIRCUIT 11	1,940
CIRCUIT 12	1,158
CIRCUIT 13	2,510
CIRCUIT 14	1,262
CIRCUIT 15	1,484
CIRCUIT 16	191
CIRCUIT 17	2,034
CIRCUIT 18	1,607
CIRCUIT 19	1,479
CIRCUIT 20	1,824

Questions regarding this report should be directed to:

Research Manager
Florida Department of Corrections
Bureau of Research and Data Analysis
501 South Calhoun Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2500
(850) 717-3647
dcresearch@mail.dc.state.fl.us