Sentencing Scoresheet Compliance Report

September 2006

A Report to the Florida Legislature Detailing the Rate of Compliance of Each Judicial Circuit in Providing Scoresheets to the Department of Corrections





James R. McDonough Secretary

Prepared by: Florida Department of Corrections Bureau of Research and Data Analysis 2601 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, FL 32399-2500



Table of Contents

Executive Summaryi-iii
Fables
Scoresheets Received Compared with Admissions to the Department of Corrections (by Judicial Circuit)1
Scoresheets Received Compared with Admissions to the Department of Corrections (by Judicial Circuit/County)2-4
Scoresheets Received by Judicial Circuit5
Scoresheets Received by Judicial Circuit/County6-7
Sanctions Imposed by Judicial Circuit8
Sanctions Imposed by Judicial Circuit/County

Sentencing Scoresheet Compliance Report

Executive Summary

This report is in fulfillment of 921.002(4)(c) Florida Statutes, which mandates that the Florida Department of Corrections shall, no later than October 1 of each year, provide the Legislature with a sentencing scoresheet compliance report. This report details the compliance of each judicial circuit in submitting to the Department sentencing scoresheets for offenders convicted of felonies between July 1, 2005 and June 30, 2006.

Included in this report is the following information:

- 1. Using FY2005-06 commitments to the Department of Corrections as a baseline for comparing scoresheet submissions, a compliance rate is provided for each judicial circuit and county. Commitments include all felons convicted and sentenced to state prison, probation or community control as well as modifications to and revocations of supervision. Scoresheets reported are those received through September 1, 2006. The statewide rate of compliance for this time period is 70.5%, compared to 69.9% last fiscal year.
- 2. For scoresheets received with sentence dates during FY2005-06 (including those with non-department sanctions), county, circuit and region totals are provided.
- 3. Circuit and county totals for scoresheets with FY2005-06 sentence dates are listed according to most severe type of sanction. Of the 127,723 scoresheets received, 28,380 (22.2%) had a state prison sanction, 62,640 (49.0%) received a community supervision sanction and 33,797 (26.5%) received a county jail sanction.


The methodology for identifying missing scoresheets and calculating the compliance rate is described below:

• Consider all sentencing events (including new admissions, revocations and modifications) and compare them to the sentencing scoresheets received for each offender. There are three possible outcomes: the sentencing event matches the scoresheet, there is no scoresheet to match the sentencing event or there is a scoresheet but no record of a sentencing event. The compliance rate is then calculated by adding together the first two options (resulting in the total number of sentencing events) and comparing it to the number of scoresheets that match.

Note: The sentencing scoresheet database is dynamic in that it is constantly being updated. Every effort is made to include scoresheets that are received, however, there is a lag in data entry. While this lag time is generally less than 45 days it may exceed this in some of the larger circuits. As such, as more time is allowed for the data to mature, a more accurate and complete picture of the data may be presented.

Scoresheet Preparation

Beginning on July 1, 2001 Florida Statute 921.0024(3) gave sole responsibility for the preparation of scoresheets to the State Attorney. Previously this responsibility had been shared between the Department of Corrections and the State Attorney.



Sentencing Scoresheet Compliance Over 5 Years

Heggs V. State

In February 2000, the Florida Supreme Court, in its review of Curtis Leon Heggs, determined Chapter Law 95-184 to be unconstitutional due to a violation of the single subject rule of the Florida Constitution. This Chapter Law contained substantial changes in sentencing law referred commonly to as the "1995 Sentencing Guidelines."

In some cases, the 1995 Sentencing Guidelines provided for greater punishment than the 1994 Sentencing Guidelines. Some enhancements were made to the Guidelines, designed primarily to target offenders with more serious current or prior criminal records. There were a significant number of changes and the nature of the changes provided for significant effects for some offenders. However, many offenders sentenced under the 1995 Guidelines would have scored identically under the 1994 version of the law.

In May 2000, the Supreme Court revised and finalized their opinion in this case and further clarified who is eligible for re-sentencing. This decision stated that "only those persons adversely affected by the amendments made by Chapter Law 95-184 may rely on our decision here to obtain relief. Stated another way, in the guidelines context, we determine that if a person's sentence imposed under the 1995 Guidelines could have been imposed under the 1994 Guidelines (without a departure), then that person shall not be entitled to relief. "

The Supreme Court declined to rule in Heggs as to when the window period closed for offenders claiming their guidelines sentences are invalid due to the amendments contained in Chapter Law 95-184. However, in its review of Xzavier Trapp, the Supreme Court determined that the window period for challenging the Sentencing Guidelines provisions amended in Chapter Law 95-184 opened in October 1, 1995 and closed on May 24, 1997.

Approximately 192,267 sentencing events occurred under the 1995 sentencing structure for offenses committed between October 1, 1995 and May 24, 1997, based on the Guidelines scoresheets received by the Department of Corrections through February 29, 2000. Original analysis indicated that 73,467 of these sentencing events evidenced scores adversely affected by the changes brought about in the 1995 guidelines. This analysis did not determine that the 1995 sentence was outside the range of the 1994 sentence, only that the scoresheet calculation reflected a difference in points.

Due to the potential number of re-sentencing events, it would be inaccurate to attempt to analyze and compare the 1995 sentencing guidelines to other versions of the sentencing scoresheet. As re-sentencing events occur, the original 1995 scoresheet will be replaced in the database with a 1994 scoresheet. This is necessary because the re-sentencing invalidates the original sentencing event. Likewise, the new sentence will overwrite the original sentence in DOC sentence structure and databases. A computerized record of the original sentence is not kept in the database that is used for data analysis.

As such, it is not possible to determine which scoresheets are the result of a re-sentencing or to verify that a re-sentencing event was a result of the Heggs ruling. Therefore, any analysis of this time period would yield a mixture of offenders sentenced under both the 1994 and 1995 guidelines.

For the analysis of the compliance rates, we are excluding all scoresheets with offense dates that occur from October 1, 1995 through May 24, 1997 (less than 2% of all scoresheets received).

(Scoresheets Received through September 1, 2006 for Offenders Sentenced between July 1, 2005 and June 30, 2006)**

Judicial Circuit	Supervi	sion Admissi	ons	Pris	son Admissio	ins	All	Admissions	
	Total	With Score	esheets	Total	With Scor	resheets	Total	With Score	esheets
1 (Pensacola)	5,703	3,869	67.8%	1,778	1,229	69.1%	7,481	5,098	68.1%
2 (Tallahassee)	3,651	1,879	51.5%	1,234	733	59.4%	4,885	2,612	53.5%
3 (Lake City)	1,713	656	38.3%	625	367	58.7%	2,338	1,023	43.8%
4 (Jacksonville)	1,998	1,448	72.5%	2,040	1,731	84.9%	4,038	3,179	78.7%
5 (Tavares)	6,130	4,524	73.8%	2,315	1,753	75.7%	8,445	6,277	74.3%
6 (Clearwater)	9,877	9,367	94.8%	2,159	2,077	96.2%	12,036	11,444	95.1%
7 (Daytona)	5,302	2,920	55.1%	1,675	1,069	63.8%	6,977	3,989	57.2%
8 (Gainesville)	2,291	921	40.2%	1,160	466	40.2%	3,451	1,387	40.2%
9 (Orlando)	7,206	4,749	65.9%	2,118	1,102	52.0%	9,324	5,851	62.8%
10 (Bartow)	4,657	3,386	72.7%	2,522	1,900	75.3%	7,179	5,286	73.6%
11 (Miami)	7,955	5,419	68.1%	1,991	1,507	75.7%	9,946	6,926	69.6%
12 (Sarasota)	3,456	2,566	74.2%	707	458	64.8%	4,163	3,024	72.6%
13 (Tampa)	9,615	6,609	68.7%	2,887	2,055	71.2%	12,502	8,664	69.3%
14 (Panama City)	2,758	1,704	61.8%	1,284	855	66.6%	4,042	2,559	63.3%
15 (West Palm Beach)	3,228	1,976	61.2%	1,155	827	71.6%	4,383	2,803	64.0%
16 (Key West)	806	621	77.0%	201	157	78.1%	1,007	778	77.3%
17 (Ft. Lauderdale)	9,363	6,926	74.0%	2,541	1,885	74.2%	11,904	8,811	74.0%
18 (Sanford)	5,463	3,077	56.3%	1,521	802	52.7%	6,984	3,879	55.5%
19 (Ft. Pierce)	2,911	2,622	90.1%	1,604	1,482	92.4%	4,515	4,104	90.9%
20 (Ft. Myers)	4,494	3,863	86.0%	1,259	1,053	83.6%	5,753	4,916	85.5%
Statewide	98,577	69,102	70.1%	32,776	23,508	71.7%	131,353	92,610	70.5%

*Admissions include original sentencing events that result in the offender being remanded to the department as well as modification of sentences and revocations of supervision.

** Excludes offense dates between October 1, 1995 and May 24, 1997.

Note: Scoresheets prepared on an incorrect version of the guidelines due to offense date, or 1995 or subsequent scoresheets lacking judge's signature are not included in total.

Also, totals do not include county jail or other sanctions.

(Scoresheets Received through September 1, 2006 for Offenders Sentenced between July 1, 2005 and June 30, 2006)**

Ju	dicial Circuit Number / County	Superv	ision Admissi	ons	Pris	on Admissio	ns	,	All Admissior	ns
	/ County	Total	With Score	esheets	Total	With Scor	resheets	Total	With So	coresheets
1	Escambia	2,669	2,280	85.4%	1,095	961	87.8%	3,764	3,241	86.1%
	Okaloosa	1,770	1,032	58.3%	360	156	43.3%	2,130	1,188	55.8%
	Santa Rosa	687	518	75.4%	147	109	74.1%	834	627	75.2%
	Walton	577	39	6.8%	176	3	1.7%	753	42	5.6%
2	Franklin	236	170	72.0%	24	19	79.2%	260	189	72.7%
	Gadsden	604	329	54.5%	226	161	71.2%	830	490	59.0%
	Jefferson	128	0	0.0%	40	0	0.0%	168	-	0.0%
	Leon	2,319	1,347	58.1%	881	527	59.8%	3,200	1,874	58.6%
	Liberty	56	25	44.6%	27	16	59.3%	83	41	49.4%
	Wakulla	308	8	2.6%	36	10	27.8%	344	18	5.2%
3	Columbia	609	317	52.1%	245	215	87.8%	854	532	62.3%
	Dixie	124	58	46.8%	22	12	54.5%	146	70	47.9%
	Hamilton	134	38	28.4%	55	26	47.3%	189	64	33.9%
	Lafayette	40	4	10.0%	26	0	0.0%	66	4	6.1%
	Madison	148	19	12.8%	72	38	52.8%	220	57	25.9%
	Suwannee	393	55	14.0%	154	30	19.5%	547	85	15.5%
	Taylor	265	165	62.3%	51	46	90.2%	316	211	66.8%
4	Clay	475	447	94.1%	249	225	90.4%	724	672	92.8%
	Duval	1,252	763	60.9%	1,664	1,399	84.1%	2,916	2,162	74.1%
	Nassau	271	238	87.8%	127	107	84.3%	398	345	86.7%
5	Citrus	617	519	84.1%	231	217	93.9%	848	736	86.8%
	Hernando	1,267	700	55.2%	232	124	53.4%	1,499	824	55.0%
	Lake	1,705	1,434	84.1%	422	361	85.5%	2,127	1,795	84.4%
	Marion	2,159	1,581	73.2%	1272	910	71.5%	3,431	2,491	72.6%
	Sumter	382	290	75.9%	158	141	89.2%	540	431	79.8%

(Scoresheets Received through September 1, 2006 for Offenders Sentenced between July 1, 2005 and June 30, 2006)**

Juc	dicial Circuit Number / County	Superv	ision Admissio	ons	Pris	on Admissio	ons		All Admission	s
	/ County	Total	With Score	esheets	Total	With Sco	resheets	Total	With Sc	oresheets
6	Pasco	2,783	2,543	91.4%	629	587	93.3%	3,412	3,130	91.7%
	Pinellas	7,094	6,824	96.2%	1,530	1,490	97.4%	8,624	8,314	96.4%
7	Flagler	308	254	82.5%	94	71	75.5%	402	325	80.8%
	Putnam	571	413	72.3%	129	96	74.4%	700	509	72.7%
	St. Johns	893	640	71.7%	295	229	77.6%	1,188	869	73.1%
	Volusia	3,530	1,613	45.7%	1,157	673	58.2%	4,687	2,286	48.8%
8	Alachua	1,619	644	39.8%	750	314	41.9%	2,369	958	40.4%
	Baker	114	5	4.4%	91	0	0.0%	205	5	2.4%
	Bradford	232	126	54.3%	112	48	42.9%	344	174	50.6%
	Gilchrist	46	7	15.2%	18	2	11.1%	64	9	14.1%
	Levy	216	83	38.4%	147	83	56.5%	363	166	45.7%
	Union	64	56	87.5%	42	19	45.2%	106	75	70.8%
9	Orange	5,669	3,503	61.8%	1,636	694	42.4%	7,305	4,197	57.5%
	Osceola	1,537	1,246	81.1%	482	408	84.6%	2,019	1,654	81.9%
10	Hardee	200	100	50.0%	55	28	50.9%	255	128	50.2%
	Highlands	477	255	53.5%	250	115	46.0%	727	370	50.9%
	Polk	3,980	3,031	76.2%	2,217	1757	79.3%	6,197	4,788	77.3%
11	Miami-Dade	7,955	5,419	68.1%	1,991	1,507	75.7%	9,946	6,926	69.6%
12	Desoto	254	88	34.6%	91	60	65.9%	345	148	42.9%
	Manatee	1,486	977	65.7%	317	120	37.9%	1,803	1,097	60.8%
	Sarasota	1,716	1,501	87.5%	299	278	93.0%	2,015	1,779	88.3%
13	Hillsborough	9,615	6,609	68.7%	2,887	2,055	71.2%	12,502	8,664	69.3%
14	Bay	1,884	1,326	70.4%	813	674	82.9%	2,697	2,000	74.2%
	Calhoun	135	68	50.4%	37	14	37.8%	172	82	47.7%
	Gulf	61	45	73.8%	42	36	85.7%	103	81	78.6%

Judicial Circuit Number / County	Superv	ision Admissi	ons	Pris	on Admissi	ons		Prison Admissions All Admissions				
/ County	Total	With Scor	resheets	Total	With Sco	oresheets	Total	With S	coresheets			
Holmes	177	57	32.2%	51	2	3.9%	228	59	25.9%			
Jackson	279	137	49.1%	216	117	54.2%	495	254	51.3%			
Washington	222	71	32.0%	125	12	9.6%	347	83	23.9%			
15 Palm Beach	3,228	1,976	61.2%	1,155	827	71.6%	4,383	2,803	64.0%			
16 Monroe	806	621	77.0%	201	157	78.1%	1,007	778	77.3%			
17 Broward	9,363	6,926	74.0%	2,541	1,885	74.2%	11,904	8,811	74.0%			
18 Brevard	3,576	1,992	55.7%	1110	524	47.2%	4,686	2,516	53.7%			
Seminole	1,887	1,085	57.5%	411	278	67.6%	2,298	1,363	59.3%			
19 Indian River	453	428	94.5%	367	325	88.6%	820	753	91.8%			
Martin	577	501	86.8%	399	386	96.7%	976	887	90.9%			
Okeechobee	289	253	87.5%	149	122	81.9%	438	375	85.6%			
St. Lucie	1,592	1,440	90.5%	689	649	94.2%	2,281	2,089	91.6%			
20 Charlotte	726	630	86.8%	199	165	82.9%	925	795	85.9%			
Collier	1,590	1,510	95.0%	369	354	95.9%	1,959	1,864	95.2%			
Glades	70	27	38.6%	28	5	17.9%	98	32	32.7%			
Hendry	249	190	76.3%	53	45	84.9%	302	235	77.8%			
Lee	1,859	1,506	81.0%	610	484	79.3%	2,469	1,990	80.6%			
Statewide	98,577	69,102	70.1%	32,776	23,508	71.7%	131,353	92,610	70.5%			

(Scoresheets Received through September 1, 2006 for Offenders Sentenced between July 1, 2005 and June 30, 2006)**

*Admissions include original sentencing events that result in the offender being remanded to the department as well as modification of sentences and revocations of supervision.

** Excludes offense dates between October 1, 1995 and May 24, 1997.

Note: Scoresheets prepared on an incorrect version of the guidelines due to offense date, or 1995 or subsequent scoresheets lacking judge's signature are not included in total.

Also, totals do not include county jail or other sanctions.

Scoresheets Received by Judicial Circuit

(Scoresheets Received through September 1, 2006 for Offenders Sentenced between July 1, 2005 and June 30, 2006)*

	Scoresheet	ts Received
Judicial Circuit	number	percent
1 (Pensacola)	6,594	5.2%
2 (Tallahassee)	2,940	2.3%
3 (Lake City)	1,180	0.9%
4 (Jacksonville)	7,809	6.1%
5 (Tavares)	7,755	6.1%
6 (Clearwater)	15,989	12.5%
7 (Daytona)	4,877	3.8%
8 (Gainesville)	1,811	1.4%
9 (Orlando)	7,959	6.2%
10 (Bartow)	6,034	4.7%
11 (Miami)	12,275	9.6%
12 (Sarasota)	4,554	3.6%
13 (Tampa)	11,178	8.8%
14 (Panama City)	2,971	2.3%
15 (West Palm Beach)	5,671	4.4%
16 (Key West)	1,035	0.8%
17 (Ft. Lauderdale)	10,634	8.3%
18 (Sanford)	3,924	3.1%
19 (Ft. Pierce)	5,398	4.2%
20 (Ft. Myers)	7,135	5.6%
GRAND TOTAL	127,723	100.0%

* Total number of scoresheets received by the Department, including those with offense dates between October 1, 1995 and May 24, 1997.

Scoresheets Received by County

(Scoresheets Received through September 1, 2006 for Offenders Sentenced between July 1, 2005 and June 30, 2006)*

Jı	udicial Circuit Number / County		s Received
1	Escambia	4,307	3.4%
Ľ	Okaloosa	1,507	1.2%
	Santa Rosa	731	0.6%
	Walton	49	0.0%
2	Franklin	190	0.1%
	Gadsden	516	0.4%
	Jefferson	0	0.0%
	Leon	2,173	1.7%
	Liberty	46	0.0%
	Wakulla	15	0.0%
3	Columbia	657	0.5%
_	Dixie	69	0.1%
	Hamilton	77	0.1%
	Lafayette	4	0.0%
	Madison	62	0.0%
	Suwannee	87	0.1%
	Taylor	224	0.2%
4	Clay	1,190	0.9%
	Duval	5,916	4.6%
	Nassau	703	0.6%
5	Citrus	881	0.7%
	Hernando	922	0.7%
	Lake	2,412	1.9%
	Marion	3,045	2.4%
	Sumter	495	0.4%
6	Pasco	4,025	3.2%
	Pinellas	11,964	9.4%
7	Flagler	407	0.3%
	Putnam	691	0.5%
	St. Johns	1,036	0.8%
	Volusia	2,743	2.1%
8	Alachua	1,267	1.0%
	Baker	4	0.0%
l	Bradford	220	0.2%
	Gilchrist	12	0.0%
	Levy	222	0.2%
	Union	86	0.1%

Scoresheets Received by County

(Scoresheets Received through September 1, 2006 for Offenders Sentenced between July 1, 2005 and June 30, 2006)*

F

7

Judicial Circuit Number / County	Scoresheet	ts Received
	number	percent
9 Orange	5,781	4.5%
Osceola	2,178	1.7%
10 Hardee	136	0.1%
Highlands	417	0.3%
Polk	5,481	4.3%
11 Miami-Dade	12,275	9.6%
12 Desoto	192	0.2%
Manatee	1,478	1.2%
Sarasota	2,884	2.3%
13 Hillsborough	11,178	8.8%
14 Bay	2,343	1.8%
Calhoun	94	0.1%
Gulf	84	0.1%
Holmes	61	0.0%
Jackson	298	0.2%
Washington	91	0.1%
15 Palm Beach	5,671	4.4%
16 Monroe	1,035	0.8%
17 Broward	10,634	8.3%
18 Brevard	2,442	1.9%
Seminole	1,482	1.2%
19 Indian River	1,102	0.9%
Martin	1,110	0.9%
Okeechobee	490	0.4%
St. Lucie	2,696	2.1%
20 Charlotte	993	0.8%
Collier	2,615	2.0%
Glades	64	0.1%
Hendry	307	0.2%
Lee	3,156	2.5%
Statewide	127,723	100.0%

* Total number of scoresheets received by the Department, including those with offense dates between October 1, 1995 and May 24, 1997.

Sanctions by Judicial Circuit

(Scoresheets Received through September 1, 2006 for Offenders

Sentenced between July 1, 2005 and June 30, 2006)*

	Judicial Circuit				Sanct	ion on Sc	oreshee	t				Total	
		Count	y Jail	Proba	ation	Comm Con	-	State F	Prison	Oth Dispos			a
1	(Pensacola)	1,446	21.9%	2,733	41.4%	631	9.6%	1,498	22.7%	286	4.3%	6,594	5.2%
2	(Tallahassee)	388	13.2%	1,499	51.0%	132	4.5%	904	30.7%	17	0.6%	2,940	2.3%
3	(Lake City)	62	5.3%	463	39.2%	113	9.6%	460	39.0%	82	6.9%	1,180	0.9%
4	(Jacksonville)	4,335	55.5%	1,158	14.8%	171	2.2%	2,130	27.3%	15	0.2%	7,809	6.1%
5	(Tavares)	1,395	18.0%	3,471	44.8%	409	5.3%	2,100	27.1%	380	4.9%	7,755	6.1%
6	(Clearwater)	4,163	26.0%	8,224	51.4%	551	3.4%	2,497	15.6%	554	3.5%	15,989	12.5%
7	(Daytona)	803	16.5%	2,315	47.5%	303	6.2%	1,282	26.3%	174	3.6%	4,877	3.8%
8	(Gainesville)	380	21.0%	782	43.2%	28	1.5%	532	29.4%	89	4.9%	1,811	1.4%
9	(Orlando)	2,552	32.1%	3,779	47.5%	298	3.7%	1,271	16.0%	59	0.7%	7,959	6.2%
10	(Bartow)	422	7.0%	2,732	45.3%	541	9.0%	2,319	38.4%	20	0.3%	6,034	4.7%
11	(Miami)	5,252	42.8%	4,787	39.0%	417	3.4%	1,819	14.8%	0	0.0%	12,275	9.6%
12	(Sarasota)	1,678	36.8%	2,036	44.7%	265	5.8%	564	12.4%	11	0.2%	4,554	3.6%
13	(Tampa)	2,324	20.8%	4,860	43.5%	937	8.4%	2,559	22.9%	498	4.5%	11,178	8.8%
14	(Panama City)	48	1.6%	1,331	44.8%	308	10.4%	1,102	37.1%	182	6.1%	2,971	2.3%
15	(West Palm Beach)	2,919	51.5%	1,751	30.9%	42	0.7%	954	16.8%	5	0.1%	5,671	4.4%
16	(Key West)	190	18.4%	599	57.9%	20	1.9%	187	18.1%	39	3.8%	1,035	0.8%
17	(Ft. Lauderdale)	1,783	16.8%	5,888	55.4%	594	5.6%	2,278	21.4%	91	0.9%	10,634	8.3%
18	(Sanford)	210	5.4%	2,364	60.2%	456	11.6%	894	22.8%	0	0.0%	3,924	3.1%
19	(Ft. Pierce)	1,256	23.3%	1,933	35.8%	285	5.3%	1,748	32.4%	176	3.3%	5,398	4.2%
20	(Ft. Myers)	2,191	30.7%	3,052	42.8%	382	5.4%	1,282	18.0%	228	3.2%	7,135	5.6%
Sta	tewide	33,797	26.5%	55,757	43.7%	6,883	5.4%	28,380	22.2%	2,906	2.3%	127,723	100.0%

Note: County jail includes time served and state prison includes life sentences.

* Total number of scoresheets received by the Department, including those with offense dates between October 1, 1995 and May 24, 1997.

** "Other Disposition" includes fines, county and non-reporting probation, termination of probation and re-instatement to probation.

Sanctions by County

(Scoresheets Received through September 1, 2006 for Offenders Sentenced between July 1, 2005 and June 30, 2006)*

	dicial Circuit Number /				Sancti	ion on Sc	oreshee	et					
Ju	County	County	Jail	Proba	tion	Commu Cont		State P	rison	Otl Dispos	her sition**	69 77 4 62 87 224 1,190	
1	Escambia	1,061	24.6%	1,618	37.6%	339	7.9%	1,134	26.3%	155	3.6%	4,307	3.4%
	Okaloosa	227	15.1%	702	46.6%	233	15.5%	228	15.1%	117	7.8%	1,507	1.2%
	Santa Rosa	158	21.6%	374	51.2%	53	7.3%	132	18.1%	14	1.9%	731	0.6%
	Walton	0	0.0%	39	79.6%	6	12.2%	4	8.2%	0	0.0%	49	0.0%
2	Franklin	6	3.2%	153	80.5%	5	2.6%	25	13.2%	1	0.5%	190	0.1%
	Gadsden	26	5.0%	273	52.9%	16	3.1%	201	39.0%	0	0.0%	516	0.4%
	Jefferson	0		0		0		0		0		0	0.0%
	Leon	354	16.3%	1,046	48.1%	108	5.0%	649	29.9%	16	0.7%	2,173	1.7%
	Liberty	1	2.2%	27	58.7%	1	2.2%	17	37.0%	0	0.0%	46	0.0%
	Wakulla	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	12	80.0%	0	0.0%	15	0.0%
3	Columbia	42	6.4%	194	29.5%	75	11.4%	275	41.9%	71	10.8%	657	0.5%
	Dixie	0	0.0%	49	71.0%	3	4.3%	16	23.2%	1	1.4%	69	0.1%
	Hamilton	4	5.2%	28	36.4%	5	6.5%	32	41.6%	8	10.4%	77	0.1%
	Lafayette	0	0.0%	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	0.0%
	Madison	5	8.1%	3	4.8%	5	8.1%	48	77.4%	1	1.6%	62	0.0%
	Suwannee	3	3.4%	37	42.5%	15	17.2%	31	35.6%	1	1.1%	87	0.1%
	Taylor	8	3.6%	149	66.5%	9	4.0%	58	25.9%	0	0.0%	224	0.2%
4	Clay	508	42.7%	338	28.4%	52	4.4%	291	24.5%	1	0.1%	1,190	0.9%
	Duval	3,583	60.6%	558	9.4%	91	1.5%	1,670	28.2%	14	0.2%	5,916	4.6%
	Nassau	244	34.7%	262	37.3%	28	4.0%	169	24.0%	0	0.0%	703	0.6%
5	Citrus	109	12.4%	364	41.3%	70	7.9%	275	31.2%	63	7.2%	881	0.7%
	Hernando	162	17.6%	494	53.6%	104	11.3%	157	17.0%	5	0.5%	922	0.7%
	Lake	609	25.2%	1,069	44.3%	87	3.6%	445	18.4%	202	8.4%	2,412	1.9%
	Marion	447	14.7%	1,331	43.7%	111	3.6%	1,046	34.4%	110	3.6%	3,045	2.4%
	Sumter	68	13.7%	213	43.0%	37	7.5%	177	35.8%	0	0.0%	495	0.4%

Sanctions by County

(Scoresheets Received through September 1, 2006 for Offenders Sentenced between July 1, 2005 and June 30, 2006)*

	dicial Circuit Number /				Sancti	on on Sc	oreshee	et					
Juc	County	County	Jail	Proba	tion	Commu Conti	,	State P	rison	Oth Dispos	ner sition**	Total 4,025 11,964 407 691 1,036 2,743 1,267 4 220 12 222 86 5,781 2,178	
6	Pasco	827	20.5%	2,089	51.9%	351	8.7%	706	17.5%	52	1.3%	4,025	3.2%
	Pinellas	3,336	27.9%	6,135	51.3%	200	1.7%	1,791	15.0%	502	4.2%	11,964	9.4%
7	Flagler	88	21.6%	207	50.9%	19	4.7%	91	22.4%	2	0.5%	407	0.3%
	Putnam	66	9.6%	365	52.8%	16	2.3%	125	18.1%	119	17.2%	691	0.5%
	St. Johns	119	11.5%	609	58.8%	38	3.7%	270	26.1%	0	0.0%	1,036	0.8%
	Volusia	530	19.3%	1,134	41.3%	230	8.4%	796	29.0%	53	1.9%	2,743	2.1%
8	Alachua	296	23.4%	528	41.7%	16	1.3%	360	28.4%	67	5.3%	1,267	1.0%
	Baker	0	0.0%	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	0.0%
	Bradford	34	15.5%	106	48.2%	5	2.3%	64	29.1%	11	5.0%	220	0.2%
	Gilchrist	2	16.7%	8	66.7%	0	0.0%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	12	0.0%
	Levy	34	15.3%	92	41.4%	3	1.4%	82	36.9%	11	5.0%	222	0.2%
	Union	14	16.3%	45	52.3%	3	3.5%	24	27.9%	0	0.0%	86	0.1%
9	Orange	1,854	32.1%	2,878	49.8%	211	3.6%	789	13.6%	49	0.8%	5,781	4.5%
	Osceola	698	32.0%	901	41.4%	87	4.0%	482	22.1%	10	0.5%	2,178	1.7%
10	Hardee	17	12.5%	85	62.5%	3	2.2%	31	22.8%	0	0.0%	136	0.1%
	Highlands	31	7.4%	199	47.7%	43	10.3%	138	33.1%	6	1.4%	417	0.3%
	Polk	374	6.8%	2,448	44.7%	495	9.0%	2,150	39.2%	14	0.3%	5,481	4.3%
11	Miami-Dade	5,252	42.8%	4,787	39.0%	417	3.4%	1,819	14.8%	0	0.0%	12,275	9.6%
12	Desoto	40	20.8%	51	26.6%	17	8.9%	83	43.2%	1	0.5%	192	0.2%
	Manatee	329	22.3%	835	56.5%	170	11.5%	144	9.7%	0	0.0%	1,478	1.2%
	Sarasota	1,309	45.4%	1,150	39.9%	78	2.7%	337	11.7%	10	0.3%	2,884	2.3%
13	Hillsborough	2,324	20.8%	4,860	43.5%	937	8.4%	2,559	22.9%	498	4.5%	11,178	8.8%
14	Bay	10	0.4%	1,039	44.3%	236	10.1%	880	37.6%	178	7.6%	2,343	1.8%
	Calhoun	4	4.3%	38	40.4%	26	27.7%	26	27.7%	0	0.0%	94	0.1%
	Gulf	6	7.1%	26	31.0%	9	10.7%	43	51.2%	0	0.0%	84	0.1%

Sanctions by County

(Scoresheets Received through September 1, 2006 for Offenders Sentenced between July 1, 2005 and June 30, 2006)*

				Sancti	on on Sc	oreshee	et					
Judicial Circuit Number / County	County	/ Jail	Proba	ition	Commu Conti	-	State P	rison	Oth Dispos		Tot	al
Holmes	1	1.6%	48	78.7%	9	14.8%	3	4.9%	0	0.0%	61	0.0%
Jackson	24	8.1%	133	44.6%	5	1.7%	134	45.0%	2	0.7%	298	0.2%
Washington	3	3.3%	47	51.6%	23	25.3%	16	17.6%	2	2.2%	91	0.1%
15 Palm Beach	2,919	51.5%	1,751	30.9%	42	0.7%	954	16.8%	5	0.1%	5,671	4.4%
16 Monroe	190	18.4%	599	57.9%	20	1.9%	187	18.1%	39	3.8%	1,035	0.8%
17 Broward	1,783	16.8%	5,888	55.4%	594	5.6%	2,278	21.4%	91	0.9%	10,634	8.3%
18 Brevard	56	2.3%	1,422	58.2%	386	15.8%	578	23.7%	0	0.0%	2,442	1.9%
Seminole	154	10.4%	942	63.6%	70	4.7%	316	21.3%	0	0.0%	1,482	1.2%
19 Indian River	380	34.5%	325	29.5%	36	3.3%	361	32.8%	0	0.0%	1,102	0.9%
Martin	257	23.2%	347	31.3%	53	4.8%	445	40.1%	8	0.7%	1,110	0.9%
Okeechobee	138	28.2%	183	37.3%	14	2.9%	146	29.8%	9	1.8%	490	0.4%
St. Lucie	481	17.8%	1,078	40.0%	182	6.8%	796	29.5%	159	5.9%	2,696	2.1%
20 Charlotte	284	28.6%	399	40.2%	106	10.7%	200	20.1%	4	0.4%	993	0.8%
Collier	893	34.1%	1,096	41.9%	152	5.8%	417	15.9%	57	2.2%	2,615	2.0%
Glades	12	18.8%	30	46.9%	9	14.1%	10	15.6%	3	4.7%	64	0.1%
Hendry	90	29.3%	146	47.6%	7	2.3%	63	20.5%	1	0.3%	307	0.2%
Lee	912	28.9%	1,381	43.8%	108	3.4%	592	18.8%	163	5.2%	3,156	2.5%
Statewide	33,797	26.5%	55,757	43.7%	6,883	5.4%	28,380	22.2%	2,906	2.3%	127,723	100.0%

Note: County jail includes time served and state prison includes life sentences.

* Total number of scoresheets received by the Department, including those with offense dates between October 1, 1995 and May 24, 1997.

** "Other Disposition" includes fines, county and non-reporting probation, termination of probation and re-instatement to probation.

Questions regarding this report should be directed to: Neal Fitch Research Manager Florida Department of Corrections Bureau of Research and Data Analysis 2601 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2500 (850) 410-4488, SC 210-4488 dcresearch@mail.dc.state.fl.us